# From rabbits in Canberra to convergence in D[0,t]: Part II

Phil Pollett

**Department of Mathematics and MASCOS** 

University of Queensland



AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH COUNCIL Centre of Excellence for Mathematics and Statistics of Complex Systems

#### **Recapitulation - Rabbits in Canberra**



Williams, R.T., Fullagar, P.J., Kogon, C. and Davey, C. (1973) Observations on a naturally occurring winter epizootic of myxomatosis at Canberra, Australia, in the presence of Rabbit fleas (spilopsyllus cuniculi dale) and virulent myxoma virus, J. Appl. Ecol. 10, 417–427.

#### **Recapitulation - Rabbits in Canberra**



Williams, R.T., Fullagar, P.J., Kogon, C. and Davey, C. (1973) Observations on a naturally occurring winter epizootic of myxomatosis at Canberra, Australia, in the presence of Rabbit fleas (spilopsyllus cuniculi dale) and virulent myxoma virus, J. Appl. Ecol. 10, 417–427.

## **Recapitulation - Growth of yeast**



Carlson, T. (1913) Uber Geschwindigkeit und Grosse der Hefevermehrung in Wurze. Biochemische Zeitschrift 57, 313–334.

#### **Recapitulation - Growth of yeast**



Carlson, T. (1913) Uber Geschwindigkeit und Grosse der Hefevermehrung in Wurze. Biochemische Zeitschrift 57, 313–334.

# **Sheep in Tasmania**



Davidson, J. (1938) On the growth of the sheep population in Tasmania, Trans. Roy. Soc. Sth. Austral. 62, 342–346.

# **Sheep in Tasmania**



Davidson, J. (1938) On the growth of the sheep population in Tasmania, Trans. Roy. Soc. Sth. Austral. 62, 342–346.

#### **Recapitulation - The Verhulst-Pearl model**

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = rn(1 - n/K).$$

Here r is the growth rate with unlimited resources and K is the "natural" population size (the carrying capacity).

Integration gives

$$n_t = \frac{K}{1 + \left(\frac{K - n_0}{n_0}\right)e^{-rt}} \qquad (t \ge 0).$$

## **Recapitulation - The Verhulst-Pearl model**



## **Recapitulation - Adding noise**

$$n_t = \frac{K}{1 + \left(\frac{K - n_0}{n_0}\right)e^{-rt}} + \text{something random}$$

or perhaps

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = rn\left(1 - \frac{n}{K}\right) + \sigma \times \text{noise}.$$

# **Recapitulation - White noise**



# **Recapitulation - Brownian motion**



In modern parlance, Langevin described the Brownian particle's *velocity* as an *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU)* process.

The Langevin equation (for a particle of unit mass) is

 $dv_t = -\mu v_t \, dt + \sigma dB_t,$ 

being Newton's law ( $-\mu v = \text{Force} = m\dot{v}$ ) *plus* noise. The (strong) solution to this SDE is the OU process:

$$v_t = v_0 e^{-\mu t} + \int_0^t \sigma e^{-\mu (t-s)} dB_s.$$

Let's start from scratch specifying a stochastic model with variation being an inherent property: a *Markovian model*.

We will suppose that  $n_t$  (integer-valued!) evolves as a birth-death process with rates

 $q_{n,n+1} = \lambda n \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)$  and  $q_{n,n-1} = \mu n$ ,

where  $\lambda$  is the per-capita birth rate (when N is large), and  $\mu$  is per-capita death rate. Here N is the *population ceiling* ( $n_t$  now takes values in  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ ).

I will call this model the *stochastic logistic (SL) model*, though it has many names, having been rediscovered several times since Feller proposed it in 1939.

We will suppose that  $n_t$  (integer-valued!) evolves as a birth-death process with rates

 $q_{n,n+1} = \lambda n \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)$  and  $q_{n,n-1} = \mu n$ ,

where  $\lambda$  is the per-capita birth rate (when N is large), and  $\mu$  is per-capita death rate. Here N is the *population ceiling* ( $n_t$  now takes values in  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ ).

I will call this model the *stochastic logistic (SL) model*, though it has many names, having been rediscovered several times since Feller proposed it in 1939.

It shares an important property with the deterministic logistic model: that of *density dependence*.

The Verhulst-Pearl model  $\frac{dn}{dt} = rn\left(1 - \frac{n}{K}\right)$  can be written

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{dn}{dt} = r\frac{n}{N}\left(1 - \frac{N}{K}\frac{n}{N}\right).$$

The state  $n_t$  changes at a rate that depends on  $n_t$  only through  $n_t/N$ .

So, letting  $x_t = n_t/N$  be the "population density", we get

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx\left(1 - \frac{x}{E}\right), \text{ where } E = K/N.$$

This is a convenient space scaling. We could have set  $x_t = n_t/A$ , where A is habitat area, and then

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx\left(1 - \frac{x}{DE}\right), \text{ where } D = N/A.$$

Let  $(n_t, t \ge 0)$  be a continuous-time Markov chain taking values in  $S \subseteq Z^k$  with transition rates  $Q = (q_{nm}, n, m \in S)$ . We identify a quantity N, usually related to the size of the system being modelled.

**Definition** (Kurtz\*) The model is *density dependent* if there is a subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^k \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$q_{n,n+l} = N f_l\left(\frac{n}{N}\right), \quad l \neq 0 \quad (l \in \mathbf{Z}^k).$$

(So, the idea is the same: the rate of change of  $n_t$  depends on  $n_t$  only through the "density"  $n_t/N$ .)

\*Kurtz, T. (1970) Solutions of ordinary differential equations as limits of pure jump Markov processes, *J. of Appl. Probab.* 7, 49–58.

Let  $(n_t, t \ge 0)$  be a continuous-time Markov chain taking values in  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^k$  with transition rates  $Q = (q_{nm}, n, m \in S)$ . We identify a quantity N, usually related to the size of the system being modelled.

**Definition** (Kurtz\*) The model is *density dependent* if there is a subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^k \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$q_{n,n+l} = N f_l\left(\frac{n}{N}\right), \quad l \neq 0 \quad (l \in \mathbf{Z}^k).$$

(So, the idea is the same: the rate of change of  $n_t$  depends on  $n_t$  only through the "density"  $n_t/N$ .)

\*Kurtz, T. (1970) Solutions of ordinary differential equations as limits of pure jump Markov processes, *J. of Appl. Probab.* 7, 49–58.

## **Tom Kurtz**



Thomas Kurtz (taken in 2003)

Consider the *forward equations* for  $p_n(t) := Pr(n_t = n)$ . Let  $q_n = \sum_{m \neq n} q_{nm}$ . Then,

 $p'_{n}(t) = -q_{n}p_{n}(t) + \sum_{m \neq n} p_{m}(t)q_{mn},$ 

Consider the *forward equations* for  $p_n(t) := Pr(n_t = n)$ . Let  $q_n = \sum_{m \neq n} q_{nm}$ . Then,

 $p'_{n}(t) = -q_{n}p_{n}(t) + \sum_{m \neq n} p_{m}(t)q_{mn},$ 

and so (formally)  $\mathbb{E}(n_t) = \sum_n np_n(t)$  satisfies

 $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}(n_t) = -\sum_n q_n n p_n(t) + \sum_m p_m(t) \sum_{n \neq m} n q_{mn}.$ 

Consider the *forward equations* for  $p_n(t) := Pr(n_t = n)$ . Let  $q_n = \sum_{m \neq n} q_{nm}$ . Then,

$$p'_{n}(t) = -q_{n}p_{n}(t) + \sum_{m \neq n} p_{m}(t)q_{mn},$$

and so (formally)  $\mathbb{E}(n_t) = \sum_n np_n(t)$  satisfies

 $\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}(n_t) = -\sum_n q_n n p_n(t) + \sum_m p_m(t) \sum_{n \neq m} n q_{mn}.$ 

So if  $q_{n,n+l} = Nf_l(n/N)$ , then  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(n_t) = -\sum_n \sum_{l \neq 0} Nf_l(n/N)np_n(t) + \sum_m p_m(t) \sum_{l \neq 0} (m+l)Nf_l(m/N)$   $= \sum_m p_m(t)N \sum_{l \neq 0} lf_l(m/N) = N\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{l \neq 0} lf_l(n_t/N)\right).$  For an arbitrary density dependent model, define  $F: E \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(x) = \sum_{l \neq 0} lf_l(x)$ . Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(n_t) = N \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(\frac{n_t}{N}\right)\right),\,$$

or, setting  $X_t = n_t/N$  (the density process),

 $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}\left(F(X_t)\right).$ 

For an arbitrary density dependent model, define  $F: E \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(x) = \sum_{l \neq 0} lf_l(x)$ . Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(n_t) = N \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(\frac{n_t}{N}\right)\right),\,$$

or, setting  $X_t = n_t/N$  (the *density process*),

 $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}\left(F(X_t)\right).$ 

Warning: I'm not saying that  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(X_t) = F(\mathbb{E}(X_t))$ .

For an arbitrary density dependent model, define  $F: E \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $F(x) = \sum_{l \neq 0} lf_l(x)$ . Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(n_t) = N \mathbb{E}\left(F\left(\frac{n_t}{N}\right)\right),\,$$

or, setting  $X_t = n_t/N$  (the *density process*),

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}(X_t) = \mathbb{E}\left(F(X_t)\right).$$

Warning: I'm not saying that  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}(X_t) = F(\mathbb{E}(X_t))$ .

(But, I *am* hoping for something like that to be true!)

For the SL model we have  $S = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$  and  $q_{n,n+1} = \lambda n \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)$  and  $q_{n,n-1} = \mu n$ . Therefore,  $f_{+1}(x) = \lambda x (1 - x)$  and  $f_{-1}(x) = \mu x$ ,  $x \in E := [0, 1]$ , and so  $F(x) = \lambda x (1 - \rho - x)$ ,  $x \in E$ , where  $\rho = \mu/\lambda$ . For the SL model we have  $S = \{0, 1, ..., N\}$  and  $q_{n,n+1} = \lambda n \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right)$  and  $q_{n,n-1} = \mu n$ . Therefore,  $f_{+1}(x) = \lambda x (1 - x)$  and  $f_{-1}(x) = \mu x$ ,  $x \in E := [0, 1]$ , and so  $F(x) = \lambda x (1 - \rho - x)$ ,  $x \in E$ , where  $\rho = \mu/\lambda$ .

Now compare F(x) with the right-hand side of the Verhulst-Pearl model for the density process:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = rx\left(1 - \frac{x}{E}\right), \quad \text{where} \quad E = K/N.$$
 (2)

If  $K \sim \beta N$  for N large, so that  $K/N \rightarrow \beta$ , then we may identify  $\beta$  with  $1 - \rho$  and r with  $\lambda\beta$ , and discover that (2) can be rewritten as dx/dt = F(x).

#### **Recall that ...**

Recall that  $(n_t, t \ge 0)$  is a continuous-time Markov chain taking values in  $S \subseteq Z^k$  with transition rates  $Q = (q_{nm}, n, m \in S)$ , and we have identified a quantity N, usually related to the size of the system being modelled.

#### **Recall that ...**

Recall that  $(n_t, t \ge 0)$  is a continuous-time Markov chain taking values in  $S \subseteq Z^k$  with transition rates  $Q = (q_{nm}, n, m \in S)$ , and we have identified a quantity N, usually related to the size of the system being modelled.

The model is assumed to be *density dependent*: there is a subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^k \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that

 $q_{n,n+l} = N f_l\left(\frac{n}{N}\right), \quad l \neq 0 \quad (l \in \mathbb{Z}^k).$ 

#### **Recall that ...**

Recall that  $(n_t, t \ge 0)$  is a continuous-time Markov chain taking values in  $S \subseteq Z^k$  with transition rates  $Q = (q_{nm}, n, m \in S)$ , and we have identified a quantity N, usually related to the size of the system being modelled.

The model is assumed to be *density dependent*: there is a subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and a continuous function  $f: \mathbb{Z}^k \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ , such that

 $q_{n,n+l} = N f_l\left(\frac{n}{N}\right), \quad l \neq 0 \quad (l \in \mathbf{Z}^k).$ 

We set  $F(x) = \sum_{l \neq 0} lf_l(x), x \in E$ .

We now formally define the *density process*  $(X_t^{(N)})$  by  $X_t^{(N)} = n_t/N$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . We hope that  $(X_t^{(N)})$  becomes more deterministic as N gets large.

We now formally define the *density process*  $(X_t^{(N)})$  by  $X_t^{(N)} = n_t/N$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . We hope that  $(X_t^{(N)})$  becomes more deterministic as N gets large.

To simplify the statement of results, I'm going to assume that the state space *S* is finite.

The following *functional law of large numbers* establishes convergence of the family  $(X_t^{(N)})$  to the unique trajectory of an appropriate approximating deterministic model.

**Theorem (Kurtz\*)** Suppose *F* is Lipschitz on *E* (that is,  $|F(x) - F(y)| < M_E |x - y|$ ). If  $\lim_{N\to\infty} X_0^{(N)} = x_0$ , then the density process  $(X_t^{(N)})$  converges uniformly in probability on [0, t] to  $(x_t)$ , the unique (deterministic) trajectory satisfying

$$\frac{d}{ds}x_s = F(x_s), \quad x_s \in E, \ s \in [0, t].$$

\*Kurtz, T. (1970) Solutions of ordinary differential equations as limits of pure jump Markov processes, *J. of Appl. Probab.* 7, 49–58.

**Theorem (Kurtz\*)** Suppose *F* is Lipschitz on *E* (that is,  $|F(x) - F(y)| < M_E |x - y|$ ). If  $\lim_{N\to\infty} X_0^{(N)} = x_0$ , then the density process  $(X_t^{(N)})$  converges uniformly in probability on [0, t] to  $(x_t)$ , the unique (deterministic) trajectory satisfying

$$\frac{d}{ds}x_s = F(x_s), \quad x_s \in E, \ s \in [0, t].$$

\*Kurtz, T. (1970) Solutions of ordinary differential equations as limits of pure jump Markov processes, *J. of Appl. Probab.* 7, 49–58.

(If *S* is an infinite set, we have the additional conditions  $\sup_{x \in E} \sum_{l \neq 0} |l| f_l(x) < \infty$  and  $\lim_{d \to \infty} \sum_{|l| > d} |l| f_l(x) = 0$ ,  $x \in E$ .) Convergence *uniformly in probability* on [0, t] means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

 $\lim_{N \to \infty} \Pr\left(\sup_{s \le t} \left| X_t^{(N)} - x_t \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0.$ 

Convergence *uniformly in probability* on [0, t] means that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \Pr\left(\sup_{s \le t} \left| X_t^{(N)} - x_t \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0.$$

The conditions of the theorem hold for the SL model: since  $F(x) = \lambda x(1 - \rho - x)$ , we have, for all  $x, y \in E = [0, 1]$ , that

 $|F(x) - F(y)| = \lambda |x - y| |1 - \rho - (x + y)| \le (1 + \rho)\lambda |x - y|.$ 

That is, F is Lipschitz on E.

# A law of large numbers

So, provided  $X_0^{(N)} \to x_0$  as  $N \to \infty$ , the population density  $(X_t^{(N)})$  converges (uniformly in probability on finite time intervals) to the solution  $(x_t)$  of the deterministic model

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \lambda x (1 - \rho - x) \qquad (x_t \in E).$$

#### The SL model (N = 20)



## The SL model (N = 50)



#### The SL model (N = 100)



#### The SL model (N = 200)



#### The SL model (N = 500)



## **The SL model** $(N = 1\,000)$



MASCOS Meeting, 21st September 2009 - Page 35

## **The SL model** $(N = 10\,000)$



#### Simulation of the SL model



(Solution to the deterministic model is in green)

In a later paper Kurtz\* proved a *functional central limit law* which establishes that, for large *N*, the fluctuations about the deterministic trajectory follow a *Gaussian diffusion*, provided that some mild extra conditions are satisfied.

He considered the family of processes  $\{(Z_t^{\scriptscriptstyle (N)})\}$  defined by

$$Z_s^{(N)} = \sqrt{N} \left( X_s^{(N)} - x_s \right), \qquad 0 \le s \le t.$$

\*Kurtz, T. (1971) Limit theorems for sequences of jump Markov processes approximating ordinary differential processes. *J. Appl. Probab.* 8, 344–356.

#### The SL model (N = 20)



#### The SL model (N = 50)



#### The SL model (N = 100)



#### The SL model (N = 200)



#### The SL model (N = 500)



## **The SL model** $(N = 1\,000)$



## **The SL model** $(N = 10\,000)$



# **Recapitulation - Brownian motion**



MASCOS Meeting, 21st September 2009 - Page 46

## **The SL model** $(N = 10\,000)$



**Theorem** Suppose that *F* is Lipschitz and has uniformly continuous first derivative on E, and that the  $k \times k$  matrix G(x), defined for  $x \in E$  by  $G_{ij}(x) = \sum_{l \neq 0} l_i l_j f_l(x)$ , is uniformly continuous on E. Let  $(x_t)$  be the unique deterministic trajectory starting at  $x_0$  and suppose that  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sqrt{N} \left( X_0^{(N)} - x_0 \right) = z$ . Then,  $\{(Z_t^{(N)})\}$  converges weakly in D[0,t] (the space of right-continuous, left-hand limits functions on [0, t]) to a Gaussian diffusion  $(Z_t)$  with initial value  $Z_0 = z$  and with mean and covariance given by  $\mu_s := \mathbb{E}(Z_s) = M_s z$ ,

where  $M_s = \exp(\int_0^s B_u \, du)$  and  $B_s = \partial F(x_s)$ , and

 $V_s := \operatorname{Cov}(Z_s) = M_s \left( \int_0^s M_u^{-1} G(x_u) (M_u^{-1})^T \, du \right) M_s^T.$ 

The functional central limit theorem tells us that, for large N, the scaled density process  $Z_t^{(N)}$  can be approximated *over finite time intervals* by the Gaussian diffusion  $(Z_t)$ .

In particular, for all t > 0,  $X_t^{(N)}$  has an approximate normal distribution with  $Cov(X_t^{(N)}) \simeq V_t/N$ .

We would usually take  $x_0 = X_0^{(N)}$ , thus giving  $\mathbb{E}(X_t^{(N)}) \simeq x_t$ .

#### A central limit law

For the SL model we have  $F(x) = \lambda x(1 - \rho - x)$ , and the solution to dx/dt = F(x) is

$$x(t) = \frac{(1-\rho)x_0}{x_0 + (1-\rho-x_0)e^{-\lambda(1-\rho)t}}.$$

We also have  $F'(x) = \lambda(1 - \rho - 2x)$  and

$$G(x) = \sum_{l} l^{2} f_{l}(x) = \lambda x (1 + \rho - x) = F(x) + 2\mu x,$$

giving

$$M_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t F'(x_s) \, ds\right) = \frac{(1-\rho)^2 e^{-\lambda(1-\rho)t}}{(x_0 + (1-\rho - x_0)e^{-\lambda(1-\rho)t})^2}.$$

We can evaluate

$$V_t := \operatorname{Var}(Z_t) = M_t^2 \left( \int_0^t G(x_s) / M_s^2 \, ds \right)$$

numerically, or ...

$$V_{t} = x_{0} \left( \rho x_{0}^{3} + x_{0}^{2} (1+5\rho)(1-\rho-x_{0})e^{-\lambda(1-\rho)t} + 2x_{0}(1+2\rho)(1-\rho-x_{0})^{2}(\lambda(1-\rho)t)e^{-2\lambda(1-\rho)t} - ((1-\rho-x_{0})[3\rho x_{0}^{2} + (2+\rho)(1-\rho)x_{0} - ((1+2\rho))(1-\rho)^{2}] + \rho(1-\rho)^{3})e^{-2\lambda(1-\rho)t} + \rho(1-\rho)^{3}e^{-3\lambda(1-\rho)t} \right) / \left( x_{0} + (1-\rho-x_{0})e^{-\lambda(1-\rho)t} \right)^{4}.$$

# The SL model



(Deterministic trajectory plus or minus two standard deviations in green)

If the initial point  $x_0$  of the deterministic trajectory is chosen to be an equilibrium point of the deterministic model, we can be far more precise about the approximating diffusion. **Corollary** If  $x_{eq}$  satisfies  $F(x_{eq}) = 0$ , then, under the conditions of the theorem, the family  $\{(Z_t^{(N)})\}$ , defined by

$$Z_s^{(N)} = \sqrt{N} (X_s^{(N)} - x_{\text{eq}}), \qquad 0 \le s \le t,$$

converges weakly in D[0,t] to an *OU process*  $(Z_t)$  with initial value  $Z_0 = z$ , local drift matrix  $B = \partial F(x_{eq})$  and local covariance matrix  $G(x_{eq})$ . In particular,  $Z_s$  is normally distributed with mean and covariance given by  $\mu_s := \mathbb{E}(Z_s) = e^{Bs}z$  and

$$V_s := \text{Cov}(Z_s) = \int_0^s e^{Bu} G(x_{\text{eq}}) e^{B^T u} du$$
.

# **The OU approximation**

#### Note that

$$V_{s} = \int_{0}^{s} e^{Bu} G(x_{eq}) e^{B^{T}u} \, du = V_{\infty} - e^{Bs} V_{\infty} e^{B^{T}s},$$

where  $V_{\infty}$ , the stationary covariance matrix, satisfies

$$BV_{\infty} + V_{\infty}B^T + G(x_{\text{eq}}) = 0.$$

# **The OU approximation**

#### Note that

$$V_{s} = \int_{0}^{s} e^{Bu} G(x_{eq}) e^{B^{T}u} \, du = V_{\infty} - e^{Bs} V_{\infty} e^{B^{T}s},$$

where  $\mathit{V}_\infty$ , the stationary covariance matrix, satisfies

$$BV_{\infty} + V_{\infty}B^T + G(x_{\text{eq}}) = 0.$$

We conclude that, for N large,  $X_t^{(N)}$  has an approximate Gaussian distribution with  $Cov(X_t^{(N)}) \simeq V_t/N$ .

# **The OU approximation**

#### Note that

$$V_{s} = \int_{0}^{s} e^{Bu} G(x_{eq}) e^{B^{T}u} \, du = V_{\infty} - e^{Bs} V_{\infty} e^{B^{T}s},$$

where  $V_{\infty}$ , the stationary covariance matrix, satisfies  $BV_{\infty} + V_{\infty}B^T + G(x_{eq}) = 0.$ 

We conclude that, for N large,  $X_t^{(N)}$  has an approximate Gaussian distribution with  $Cov(X_t^{(N)}) \simeq V_t/N$ .

For the SL model,  $\operatorname{Var}(X_t^{(N)}) \simeq \rho(1 - e^{-2\lambda(1-\rho)t})/N$ .

This brings us "full circle" to the approximating SDE

$$dn_t = -\alpha(n_t - K)\,dt + \sqrt{2N\alpha\rho}\,dB_t,$$

where  $\alpha = \lambda(1 - \rho)$ .

# The SL model



(Deterministic equilibrium plus or minus two standard deviations is in black)