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\begin{aligned}
& n=30, s_{i} \sim \operatorname{Beta}(25.2,19.8)\left(\mathbb{E} s_{i}=0.56\right) \text { and } c(x)=0.7 x \\
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\end{aligned}
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## SPOM

Thus, we have a Chain Bernoulli structure:

$$
X_{i, t+1}^{(n)} \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Bin}\left(X_{i, t}^{(n)}+\operatorname{Bin}\left(1-X_{i, t}^{(n)}, c\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j, t}^{(n)}\right)\right), s_{i}\right)
$$

## SPOM - Homogeneous case

In the homogeneous case, where $s_{i}=s$ (non-random) is the same for each $i$, the number $N_{t}^{(n)}$ of occupied patches at time $t$ is Markovian.

It has the following Chain Binomial structure:

$$
N_{t+1}^{(n)} \stackrel{d}{=} \operatorname{Bin}\left(N_{t}^{(n)}+\operatorname{Bin}\left(n-N_{t}^{(n)}, c\left(\frac{1}{n} N_{t}^{(n)}\right)\right), s\right)
$$

## A deterministic limit

Letting the initial number $N_{0}^{(n)}$ of occupied patches grow at the same rate as $n \ldots$
Theorem [BP] If $N_{0}^{(n)} / n \xrightarrow{p} x_{0}$ (a constant), then

$$
N_{t}^{(n)} / n \xrightarrow{p} x_{t}, \quad \text { for all } t \geq 1,
$$

with $\left(x_{t}\right)$ determined by $x_{t+1}=f\left(x_{t}\right)$, where

$$
f(x)=s(x+(1-x) c(x)) .
$$

[BP] Buckley, F.M. and Pollett, P.K. (2010) Limit theorems for discrete-time metapopulation models. Probability Surveys 7, 53-83.
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## CE Model - Evanescence



## CE Model - Quasi stationarity

CE Model simulation $(n=100, s=0.8, c(x)=c x$ with $c=0.7)$
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## Our approach - Point Processes!

Equivalently, we may define $\left(\sigma_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{n, t}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int h(s) \sigma_{n}(d s)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(s_{i}\right) \\
\int h(s) \mu_{n, t}(d s)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, t}^{(n)} h\left(s_{i}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

for $h$ in $C^{+}([0,1])$, the class of continuous functions that map $[0,1]$ to $[0, \infty)$. For example ( $h \equiv 1$ ),

$$
\int \mu_{n, t}(d s)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, t}^{(n)} \quad \text { (proportion occupied). }
$$

## A measure-valued difference equation

Theorem Suppose that $\sigma_{n} \xrightarrow{d} \sigma$ and $\mu_{n, 0} \xrightarrow{d} \mu_{0}$ for some non-random measures $\sigma$ and $\mu_{0}$. Then, $\mu_{n, t} \xrightarrow{d} \mu_{t}$ for all $t=1,2, \ldots$, where $\mu_{t}$ is defined by the following recursion: for $h \in C^{+}([0,1])$,

$$
\int h(s) \mu_{t+1}(d s)=\left(1-c_{t}\right) \int s h(s) \mu_{t}(d s)+c_{t} \int \operatorname{sh}(s) \sigma(d s)
$$

where $c_{t}=c\left(\mu_{t}([0,1])\right)=c\left(\int \mu_{t}(d s)\right)$.

## Moments

Set $h(s)=s^{k}$. Then, our recursion is

$$
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## Moments

Set $h(s)=s^{k}$. Then, our recursion is

$$
\int s^{k} \mu_{t+1}(d s)=\left(1-c_{t}\right) \int s^{k+1} \mu_{t}(d s)+c_{t} \int s^{k+1} \sigma(d s),
$$

where $c_{t}=c\left(\mu_{t}([0,1])\right)=c\left(\int \mu_{t}(d s)\right)$. So, with moments defined by $\bar{\sigma}^{(k)}:=\int s^{k} \sigma(d s)$ and $\bar{\mu}_{t}^{(k)}:=\int s^{k} \mu_{t}(d s)$,

$$
\bar{\mu}_{t+1}^{(k)}=\left(1-\bar{\mu}_{t}^{(0)}\right) \bar{\mu}_{t}^{(k+1)}+\bar{\mu}_{t}^{(0)} \bar{\sigma}^{(k+1)},
$$

and the theorem allows to conclude that

$$
\left.\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{k} X_{i, t}^{(n)}\left(=\int s^{k} \mu_{n, t}(d s)\right)\right) \rightarrow \bar{\mu}_{t}^{(k)},
$$

for example, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i, t}^{(n)} \rightarrow \bar{\mu}_{t}^{(0)}$.

## Equilibria?

Our recursion is
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Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to $\sigma$ and whose Radon-Nikodym derivative is bounded by $1, \sigma-$ a.e.

We shall be interested in the behaviour of solutions to our recursion starting with $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$.
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## Equilibria?

"Differentiating" with respect to $\sigma$, we see that our recursion can be written

$$
\frac{\partial \mu_{t+1}}{\partial \sigma}=s \frac{\partial \mu_{t}}{\partial \sigma}+s c_{t}\left(1-\frac{\partial \mu_{t}}{\partial \sigma}\right) .
$$

It will be clear that $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$ implies that $\mu_{t} \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $t$.
Furthermore, a measure $\mu_{\infty} \in \mathcal{M}$ will be an equilibrium point of our recursion if it satisfies

$$
\frac{\partial \mu_{\infty}}{\partial \sigma}=s \frac{\partial \mu_{\infty}}{\partial \sigma}+s c_{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\partial \mu_{\infty}}{\partial \sigma}\right),
$$

where $c_{\infty}=c\left(\mu_{\infty}([0,1])\right)$.

## Equilibria?

Theorem Suppose that $c(0)=0$ and $c^{\prime}(0)<\infty$. Let $\psi^{*}$ be a solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=R_{\sigma}(\psi):=\int \frac{s c(\psi)}{1-s+s c(\psi)} \sigma(d s) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fixed points of our recursion are given by

$$
\mu_{\infty}(d s)=\frac{s c\left(\psi^{*}\right)}{1-s+s c\left(\psi^{*}\right)} \sigma(d s) .
$$

Equation (1) has the unique solution $\psi^{*}=0$ if and only if

$$
c^{\prime}(0) \int \frac{s}{1-s} \sigma(d s) \leq 1 .
$$

Otherwise, there are two solutions, one of which is $\psi^{*}=0$.

## Recovery of a near-extinct population

Return to our patch occupancy model ...
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## Recovery of a near-extinct population

Fix the initial configuration $X_{0}^{(n)}\left(=X_{0}\right)$, and let $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The aim is to determine conditions under which a (large) metapopulation that is close to extinction may recover with positive probability.

First notice that if $c$ has a continuous second derivative near 0, then, for fixed $m, \operatorname{Bin}(n-m, c(m / n)) \xrightarrow{d} \operatorname{Poi}(\lambda m)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\lambda=c^{\prime}(0)$. So, if every patch had the same survival probability, then we might expect the number of occupied patches ( $N_{t}^{(n)}, t=0,1, \ldots$ ) to converge to a Galton-Watson process (see [BP] for details).

## Recovery of a near-extinct population

As before, treat the collection of patch survival probabilities of occupied patches at time $t$ as a point process on $[0,1]$, but now define $\left(S_{t}^{(n)}, t \geq 0\right)$ by $S_{t}^{(n)}=\left\{s_{i}: X_{i, t}^{(n)}=1\right\}$.
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## Recovery of a near-extinct population

As before, treat the collection of patch survival probabilities of occupied patches at time $t$ as a point process on $[0,1]$, but now define $\left(S_{t}^{(n)}, t \geq 0\right)$ by $S_{t}^{(n)}=\left\{s_{i}: X_{i, t}^{(n)}=1\right\}$.

Extinction of the metapopulation by time $t$ corresponds to the event that $S_{t}^{(n)}$ is the empty set.

The aim is to show that there is a point process $S_{t}$ such that $S_{t}^{(n)} \Rightarrow S_{t}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then to evaluate $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(S_{t}=\varnothing\right)$.

We now work with the sequence $\left(\mu_{n, t}\right)$ of random measures defined by $\mu_{n, t}(B)=\#\left\{s_{i} \in B: X_{i, t}^{(n)}=1\right\}, B \in \mathcal{B}([0,1])$.

## Tools*

Define the probability generating functional (p.g.fl) of a point process $S$ by

$$
G_{S}[\xi]=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{s \in S} \xi(s)\right),
$$

where $\xi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is some Borel function. It determines the point process uniquely. Convergence of $G_{s_{t}^{(n)}}$ to $G_{S_{t}}$ establishes that $S_{t}^{(n)} \Rightarrow S_{t}$. Furthermore,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(S_{t}=\varnothing\right)=\lim _{b \downarrow 0} G_{s_{t}}\left[1_{b}(x)\right] .
$$

*Daley, D. J. and Vere-Jones, D. (2008) An Introduction to the Theory of Point Processes.
Volume II: General Theory and Structure, 2nd Edn., Springer, New York.

## Convergence

Theorem Suppose that $S_{0}^{(n)}$ converges weakly to a point process $S_{0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (its p.g.fl being $\left.G_{S_{0}}\right)^{*}$.

Then, $S_{t}^{(n)}$ converges weakly to a point process $S_{t}$ whose p.g.fl satisfies the recursion $G_{S_{t+1}}[\xi]=G_{S_{t}}[h[\xi]](t \geq 0)$, where $h[\xi]$ is given by

$$
h[\xi](s)=(1-s(1-\xi(s))) \exp \left(-c^{\prime}(0) \int y(1-\xi(y)) \sigma(d y)\right) .
$$

*More general than (as earlier) fixing the initial configuration and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## Interpretation of limit

The limit point process $\left(S_{t}, t=0,1, \ldots\right)$ is a multiplicative population chain*, where each member of the population at time $t$ produces offpring independently of the other members of the population. The offspring from the member of the population "located" at $s$ is generated according to an inhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity measure $c^{\prime}(0) s \sigma(\cdot)$, and the original member of the population survives to the next generation with probability $s$.
*Moyal, J.E. (1962). Multiplicative population chains. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 266, 518-526.

## Probability of total extinction

Theorem $S_{t}$ eventually becomes empty with probability 1 ( $S_{t}=\varnothing$ for some $t>0$ ) if

$$
c^{\prime}(0) \int \frac{s}{1-s} \sigma(d s) \leq 1
$$

Otherwise, it eventually becomes empty with probability $G_{S_{0}}[g]$, where $g(s)=\psi(1-s) /(1-\psi s)$, with $\psi(<1)$ being the unique solution to

$$
\psi=\exp \left(-c^{\prime}(0) \int \frac{(1-\psi) s}{1-\psi s} \sigma(d s)\right),
$$

that is, with probability

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{s \in S_{0}} \frac{\psi(1-s)}{1-\psi s}\right) .
$$
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Suppose that $\left(s_{i}\right)$ are chosen independently according to $\sigma$ and patches are initially occupied independently with probability $p_{n}$, where $n p_{n} \rightarrow \lambda(>0)$.

## Example

Suppose that $\left(s_{i}\right)$ are chosen independently according to $\sigma$ and patches are initially occupied independently with probability $p_{n}$, where $n p_{n} \rightarrow \lambda(>0)$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{s_{0}^{(n)}}[\xi]=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{s \in S_{0}^{(n)}} \xi(s)\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \xi\left(s_{i}\right) \mid X_{0}^{(n)}\right)\right) \\
=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i, 0}^{(n)} \xi\left(s_{i}\right)+1-X_{i, 0}^{(n)}\right)\right)=\left(p_{n} \int \xi(s) \sigma(d s)+1-p_{n}\right)^{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

Suppose that $\left(s_{i}\right)$ are chosen independently according to $\sigma$ and patches are initially occupied independently with probability $p_{n}$, where $n p_{n} \rightarrow \lambda(>0)$. Then,

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{S_{0}^{(n)}}[\xi]=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{s \in S_{0}^{(n)}} \xi(s)\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \xi\left(s_{i}\right) \mid X_{0}^{(n)}\right)\right) \\
=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i, 0}^{(n)} \xi\left(s_{i}\right)+1-X_{i, 0}^{(n)}\right)\right)=\left(p_{n} \int \xi(s) \sigma(d s)+1-p_{n}\right)^{n} \\
\sim\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{n}\left(1-\int \xi(s) \sigma(d s)\right)\right)^{n} \rightarrow G_{S_{0}}[\xi], \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
G_{s_{0}}[\xi]=\exp \left(-\lambda\left(\int 1-\xi(s) \sigma(d s)\right)\right) .
$$
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So, $S_{0}^{(n)} \Rightarrow S_{0}$, where $S_{0}$ contains a Poi( $(\lambda)$ number of points distributed on $[0,1]$ independently according to $\sigma$.

## Example

So, $S_{0}^{(n)} \Rightarrow S_{0}$, where $S_{0}$ contains a Poi $(\lambda)$ number of points distributed on $[0,1]$ independently according to $\sigma$.

That is, in the limiting initial patch configuration, there is a Poi( $\lambda$ ) number of occupied patches, and the survival probabilities are distributed independently according to $\sigma$.

## Example - probability of total extinction

In the example, where the limiting ( $n$ large) initial patch configuration had a $\operatorname{Poi}(\lambda)$ number of occupied patches, and survival probabilities were distributed independently according to $\sigma$, the "limiting metapopulation" will eventually go extinct with probability 1 if

$$
c^{\prime}(0) \int \frac{s}{1-s} \sigma(d s) \leq 1 .
$$

Otherwise, it will go extinct with probability

$$
\exp \left(-\lambda \int \frac{1-s}{1-\psi s} \sigma(d s)\right) .
$$

## Example - probability of total extinction

In the case where $\sigma$ is the beta distribution with parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (both $>0$ ), that is

$$
\sigma(d s)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} s^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\beta-1} d s, \quad s \in[0,1],
$$

we have that

$$
\int \frac{s}{1-s} \sigma(d s)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha}{\beta-1} & \text { if } \beta>1 \\ \infty & \text { if } \beta \leq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

## Example - probability of total extinction

So, the "limiting metapopulation" ( $n$ large) will eventually go extinct with probability 1 if $\beta \geq 1+\alpha c^{\prime}(0)$. Otherwise, it will go extinct with probability

$$
\exp \left(-\lambda \int \frac{1-s}{1-\psi s} \sigma(d s)\right),
$$

where $\psi$ solves (uniquely)

$$
\psi=\exp \left(-c^{\prime}(0) \int \frac{(1-\psi) s}{1-\psi s} \sigma(d s)\right) .
$$

