## Vector Operators and a Polynomial Identity for SO(n)

### A. J. Bracken and H. S. Green

Department of Mathematical Physics, University of Adelaide, \* Adelaide, S. Australia 5001 (Received 5 April 1971)

It is shown that if  $\alpha$  denotes an  $n \times n$  antisymmetric matrix of operators  $\alpha_{pq}, p, q = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , which satisfy the commutation relations characteristic of the Lie algebra of SO(n), then  $\alpha$  satisfies an nth degree polynomial identity. A method is presented for determining the form of this polynomial for any value of n. An indication is given of the simple significance of this identity with regard to the problem of resolving an arbitrary n-vector operator into n components, each of which is a vector shift operator for the invariants of the SO(n) Lie algebra.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The structure of 3-vector operators in quantum theory was investigated by Dirac, <sup>1</sup> Güttinger, and Pauli, <sup>2</sup> who considered the matrix elements of such operators in an angular momentum basis. Later Wigner <sup>3</sup> indicated the possibility of a systematic treatment of any set of operators transforming according to an irreducible representation of the rotation group, and the calculus of tensor operators was subsequently developed by Racah. <sup>4</sup> Some of the results of these investigations, and their application to calculations in the quantum theory of atomic spectra, can be found in the books by Condon and Shortley <sup>5</sup> and Slater. <sup>6</sup>

Racah<sup>7</sup> and Biedenharn<sup>8</sup> have emphasized the desirability of finding, in the case of other semisimple groups, the generalization of these and other results in the theory of angular momentum, or SU(2). We present here some results in the theory of SO(n), or, more accurately, of its universal covering group [for convenience, this group is subsequently referred to as SO(n)], relating in particular to the description of n-vector operators. Even in the much studied case n=3, our approach has, we believe, some novel and attractive features.

We are concerned with the general situation where one is given a set of operators  $\theta_p$ ,  $\alpha_{qr} (= -\alpha_{rq})$ ,  $p,q,r=1,2,\ldots,n$ , satisfying the commutation relations

$$[\alpha_{pq}, \alpha_{rs}] = \delta_{qr}\alpha_{ps} + \delta_{ps}\alpha_{qr} - \delta_{pr}\alpha_{qs} - \delta_{qs}\alpha_{pr}, \qquad (1)$$

$$[\theta_p, \alpha_{qr}] = \delta_{pq}\theta_r - \delta_{pr}\theta_q. \tag{2}$$

In particular, the  $\alpha_{pq}$  could be anti-Hermitian operators acting in a Hilbert space, in which case they form the generators of a unitary representation, in general reducible, of SO(n). Then  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  [ =  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n)$ ] is an n-vector operator acting within the corresponding representation space.

In such a case, the Casimir operator  $\sigma_2 = \alpha_{pq} \alpha_{qp}$  can be expressed in the form<sup>9</sup>

$$\sigma_2 = 2\Lambda_1(\Lambda_1 + n - 2) + 2\Lambda_2(\Lambda_2 + n - 4) + \cdots + 2\Lambda_m(\Lambda_m + n - 2m),$$
 (3)

where m is the integral part of  $\frac{1}{2}n$  and the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of the operators  $\Lambda_i$ , which serve to label the irreducible components of the representation of SO(n), are either all integers or all half-odd integers and satisfy

$$\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_m \ge 0, \quad n = 2m + 1,$$

$$\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_{m-1} \ge |\lambda_m| \ge 0, \quad n = 2m. \quad (4)$$

When n=3, an established result<sup>1, 2</sup> is that  $\theta$  can be resolved into three components, each of which is a 3-vector shift operator for  $\Lambda_1$ , the magnitude of the angular momentum. Thus

$$\theta = \theta^+ + \theta^0 + \theta^-,$$

where

$$\Lambda_1\theta^0=\theta^0\Lambda_1,\quad \Lambda_1\theta^\pm=\theta^\pm(\Lambda_1\pm 1).$$

The results obtained by Bhabha,  $^{10}$  in investigations of 4-vector operators within finite-dimensional representations of SO(3,1), enable us to deduce that, for n=4,

$$\theta = \theta_1^+ + \theta_1^- + \theta_2^+ + \theta_2^-,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_1\theta_1^\pm &= \theta_1^\pm(\Lambda_1\pm 1), & \Lambda_2\theta_1^\pm &= \theta_1^\pm\Lambda_2, & \Lambda_1\theta_2^\pm &= \theta_2^\pm\Lambda_1, \\ \Lambda_2\theta_2^\pm &= \theta_2^\pm(\Lambda_2\pm 1). \end{split}$$

It is not difficult (see Appendix B) to deduce the generalization of these results for n=3 and 4. Thus when n=2m+1,  $\theta$  can be resolved into components  $\theta^0$ ,  $\theta^i_t$ ,  $\theta^i_t$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ , where

$$\Lambda_{i}\theta^{0} = \theta^{0}\Lambda_{i}, \quad \Lambda_{i}\theta^{\pm}_{i} = \theta^{\pm}_{i}(\Lambda_{i} \pm \delta_{ii}), \quad (5)$$

while, in the case n = 2m, the result is the same, except that  $\theta^0$  does not occur.

In what follows, it is convenient to think of  $\alpha_{pq}$  as the element in the pth row and qth column of an antisymmetric  $n \times n$  matrix of operators. We denote this matrix by  $\alpha$  and by  $\alpha^k$  and  $\alpha^k$  the matrices whose pqth elements are

$$(\alpha^k)_{pq} = (\alpha^{k-1})_{pr}\alpha_{rq}, \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots,$$

$$(\overline{\alpha^k})_{pq} = (\alpha^k)_{qp}.$$

Furthermore (cf.  $\sigma_2$ ), we define  $\sigma_k$  by

$$\sigma_k = \operatorname{tr} \left[\alpha^k\right] = \left(\alpha^k\right)_{pp}.$$

We shall show that, as a consequence of the relations (1),  $\alpha$  satisfies an *n*th-degree polynomial

identity, of the form

$$F_n(\alpha) = \alpha^n + a_1 \alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \qquad (6)$$

where the coefficients  $a_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , are invariants of the SO(n) Lie algebra.

The existence of this identity is in no way dependent on the existence or nonexistence, within the representation space for  $\alpha$ , of an n-vector operator  $\theta$ . However, when such a  $\theta$  does exist, its resolution into n n-vector shift operators for the SO(n) invariants, as in Eqs. (5) above, can most readily be achieved with the use of this identity, as we shall see.

We are mainly concerned with the determination of the form of the coefficients  $a_k$  in Eq.(6), as functions of  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots$ , which are in turn functions, also to be determined, of  $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots, \Lambda_m$ . Before proceeding, however, we make the following general remarks concerning the identity expressed in Eq.(6).

It is clearly an analog of the Cayley-Hamilton identity for an  $n \times n$  matrix of complex numbers. There are, however, some interesting differences.

In particular, suppose we define the determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix A of noncommuting elements, by

$$\det(A) = (1/n!)\epsilon_{ij\ldots m}\epsilon_{pq\ldots t}A_{ip}A_{jq}\cdots A_{mt},$$

where  $\epsilon_{ij \dots m}$  is the alternating tensor, with  $\epsilon_{12 \dots n} = 1$ . Then in the present context, we find

$$\det(\alpha - \rho I) = \rho^n + a_1' \rho^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n', \qquad (7)$$

where  $\rho$  is an arbitrary complex number, I is the  $n \times n$  unit matrix, and  $a'_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , like  $a_k$ , is an invariant of SO(n). However, we find that, in general,  $a'_k \neq a_k$ , in contrast with the case when  $\alpha$  is a matrix of complex numbers.

The existence of the polynomial (7) is comparatively well known, having been discussed in studies of Lie algebras by Killing<sup>11</sup> before the turn of the century and, more recently, by Racah<sup>7</sup> and Biedenharn.<sup>8</sup> Much less, it seems, is known of identities of the form in Eq. (6).

This equation actually expresses  $n^2$  identities in the elements of  $\alpha$ . Lehrer-Ilamed<sup>12</sup> has shown that  $n^2$  identities of more general form are satisfied by the elements of any  $n \times n$  matrix, provided these elements belong to a free associative algebra. Here we are dealing with a special case, where the algebra is in fact a Lie algebra, whose structure constants are such that these identities can be expressed in the simple form of a polynomial identity in  $\alpha$ . [One of the authors (H.S.G.) has now determined similar identities for Sp(n) and SU(n).]

In the course of investigating certain identities satisfied by elements of any representation of the Lie algebra of SU(3), Lehrer-Hamed<sup>13</sup> has utilized

similar generalized Cayley-Hamilton identities satisfied by some elements of the algebra. (See also Racah. 14) However, to our knowledge, the identity (6) for SO(n) has not previously been presented, even for the case n=3.

## 2. VECTOR SHIFT OPERATORS AND THE POLY-NOMIAL IDENTITY

Considering a system of operators  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ ,  $\alpha$  as in Eqs. (1)-(5), we find that  $\theta^0$ ,  $\theta_i^+$ , and  $\theta_i^-$  are eigenvectors of the generator matrix  $\alpha$ , in the sense that if  $\theta_\tau$  represents any one of these n operators,

$$\alpha \theta_{\tau} = d_{\tau} \theta_{\tau}$$
,

i.e.,

$$\alpha_{pq}\theta_{\tau q} = d_{\tau}\theta_{\tau p},$$

where  $d_{\tau}$  is an invariant of SO(n). This follows from the fact that, for any vector operator  $\theta_{\tau}$ ,

$$[\sigma_2, \theta_\tau] = 2(2\alpha - n + 1)\theta_\tau.$$

For, in view of Eqs. (3) and (5) above,  $\sigma_2$  commutes with  $\theta^0$ , so that

$$(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2})\theta^0 = 0.$$
(8)

Also.

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_2, \theta_i^+] &= 2[\Lambda_i(\Lambda_i + n - 2i), \theta_i^+] \\ &= 2(2\Lambda_i + n - 2i + 1)\theta_i^+, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n + 1)\theta_i^+ = (\Lambda_i + \frac{1}{2}n - i)\theta_i^+.$$
 (9)

Similarly,

$$(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n + 1)\theta_{i}^{-} = -(\Lambda_{i} + \frac{1}{2}n - i)\theta_{i}^{-}. \tag{10}$$

In Appendix A we show that  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial identity of the general form of Eq.(6). The results (8)-(10) then allow us to write the identity in more precise form, effectively determining  $a_k$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots,n$  as a function of  $\Lambda_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ . We must have

$$F_n(\alpha) = 0, \tag{11}$$

where

$$F_n(\alpha) = G_m(\alpha^2), \qquad n = 2m, \tag{12}$$

$$F_n(\alpha) = (a - \frac{1}{2})G_m(a^2), \qquad n = 2m + 1,$$
 (13)

and

$$a=\alpha-\tfrac{1}{2}n+1,$$

$$G_m(a^2) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left[ a^2 - (\Lambda_i + \frac{1}{2}n - i)^2 \right]. \tag{14}$$

Conversely, once the results (11)-(14) are known, one can see why and how an arbitrary n-vector operator  $\theta$  can be resolved in the manner indicated by Eqs. (5). Thus, in the case n=2m+1, we use Eq. (11) in the obvious way to define projection operators  $P^0(\alpha)$ ,  $P_i^+(\alpha)$ ,  $P_i^-(\alpha)$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ , which are polynomials of the (n-1)th degree in  $\alpha$  and which satisfy

$$(\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n + \frac{1}{2})P^{0} = 0,$$

$$[\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n + 1 \mp (\Lambda_{i} + \frac{1}{2}n - i)]P_{i}^{\pm} = 0,$$

$$P^{0}P_{i}^{\pm} = P_{i}^{\pm}P^{0} = P_{i}^{+}P_{j}^{-} = P_{i}^{-}P_{j}^{+} = 0,$$

$$(P^{0})^{2} = P^{0}, \quad P_{i}^{\pm}P_{j}^{\pm} = \delta_{ij}P_{i}^{\pm},$$

$$P^{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (P_{i}^{+} + P_{i}^{-}) = 1.$$
(15)

Then the required resolution is

$$\theta = \theta^{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\theta_{i}^{+} + \theta_{i}^{-}),$$

with

$$\theta^0 = P^0\theta, \quad \theta_i^{\pm} = P_i^{\pm}\theta. \tag{16}$$

The case n = 2m is similar, except that  $P^0$  and  $\theta^0$  do not occur.

We do not go into details here, but mention that in order to confirm that Eqs. (5) follow from Eqs. (15) and (6), it is not sufficient to consider the commutators of  $\theta^0$ ,  $\theta^{\pm}_i$  only with  $\sigma_2$ . Rather one needs to calculate the commutators of these vectors with a complete set of invariants, which, like  $\sigma_2$  but unlike  $\Lambda_i$ , are explicitly constructed from the set of  $\alpha_{pq}$ . Finally, one must know the expression for each member of this complete set in terms of the  $\Lambda_i$ . We return to this last point in Sec. 5.

# 3. SYMMETRIC AND ANTISYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS IN $\alpha$

If the matrix polynomial  $f(\alpha)$  is symmetric, i.e., if  $\overline{f(\alpha)} = f(\alpha)$ , then

$$g(\alpha) = (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n)f(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left[ f(\alpha) \right]$$
 (17)

is antisymmetric, i.e.,  $\overline{g(\alpha)} = -g(\alpha)$ .

Furthermore, if  $g(\alpha)$  is antisymmetric, then

$$h(\alpha) = (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}n + 1)g(\alpha) \tag{18}$$

is symmetric.

The proof is as follows. Since  $f_{pq}(\alpha)$  transforms as a tensor under SO(n),

$$[\alpha_{pq}, f_{rs}(\alpha)] = \delta_{qr} f_{ps}(\alpha) - \delta_{pr} f_{qs}(\alpha) + \delta_{qs} f_{rp}(\alpha) - \delta_{ps} f_{rq}(\alpha).$$
(19)

By putting q = r and using  $f_{rs}(\alpha) = f_{sr}(\alpha)$ , we have

$$\alpha f(\alpha) + \overline{f(\alpha)\alpha} = nf(\alpha) - \operatorname{tr} [f(\alpha)],$$

with the help of which the antisymmetry of  $g(\alpha)$ , defined as in Eq. (17), is readily established. On the other hand, if  $f_{rs}(\alpha)$  is replaced in Eq. (19) by  $g_{rs}(\alpha)$ , where  $g_{rs}(\alpha) = -g_{sr}(\alpha)$ , we deduce that

$$\alpha g(\alpha) - \overline{g(\alpha)\alpha} = (n-2)g(\alpha),$$

which establishes the symmetry of  $h(\alpha)$ , defined as in Eq. (18).

Noting that  $\alpha^0=1$  is symmetric and that  $\alpha$  is antisymmetric, we see from these results that any polynomial of degree 2l in  $\alpha$ ,  $l=0,1,2,\ldots$ , can be expressed as the sum of a symmetric one of degree 2l and an antisymmetric one of lower degree. Similarly, any polynomial of degree (2l+1) can be expressed as the sum of an antisymmetric one of that degree and a symmetric one of lower degree.

We then infer from Eqs. (11)-(14) that  $F_n(\alpha)$  is symmetric or antisymmetric, according as n is even or odd, i.e.,

$$\overline{F_n(\alpha)} = (-1)^n F_n(\alpha). \tag{20}$$

# 4. METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF THE MATRIX POLYNOMIAL

For any given value of n,  $F_n(\alpha)$  can be calculated by reduction to polynomial form of the appropriate equation (A3) or (A10), as shown in Appendix A for n=3,4, and 5. However, as n increases, such a calculation quickly becomes very involved. Here we present, in each of the cases n even and n odd, a method of obtaining  $F_n(\alpha)$  quite easily for any given n.

(a) When n = 2m is even,  $F_n(\alpha)$  is completely determined by the conditions

- (i)  $F_n(\alpha) = G_m(a^2)$ , where  $G_m(a^2)$  is a polynomial in  $a^2$  of degree m and  $a = \alpha m + 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{F_n(\alpha)} = F_n(\alpha)$ ,
- (iii) tr  $[F_n(\alpha)] = 0$ ,

which follow from Eqs. (12), (20), and (11). The proof is as follows.

Consider the sequence of polynomials defined by

$$f_{0} = 1, f_{1} = \alpha(\alpha - m + 1) + b_{0}f_{0},$$

$$f_{l+1} = [\alpha(\alpha - 2m + 1) + b_{l}]f_{l}$$

$$+ [\frac{1}{2}\alpha + c_{l}\alpha(\alpha - m + 1) + d_{l}] \operatorname{tr}[f_{l}],$$

$$l = 1, 2, \dots, (21)$$

where  $b_0$ ,  $b_l$ ,  $c_l$ , and  $d_l$  are arbitrary constants. According to the results of the preceding section, each polynomial in the sequence is symmetric.

Moreover, in view of the conditions (ii) and (iii) above, we see that, for some choice of  $b_0, b_l, c_l$ , and  $d_l, l = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1$ ,

$$F_n = f_m - \text{tr} [f_m]/(2m).$$
 (22)

Then  $f_m$ , like  $F_n$ , must be even in a, and we shall use this to determine  $b_0$ ,  $b_l$ ,  $c_l$ , and  $d_l$  uniquely [except for  $d_{m-1}$ , which remains arbitrary, but which does not in any way contribute to  $F_n$ , in view of Eq. (22)].

We denote by  $f_l^0$  that part of  $f_l$  which is a linear combination of positive powers of  $\alpha$ , with numerical coefficients, i.e., not involving  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots$ . It is evident that

$$f_{l}^{0} = \alpha(\alpha - m + 1)p_{l}[\alpha(\alpha - 2m + 1)]$$
  
=  $\alpha(\alpha + m - 1)p_{l}[(\alpha + m - 1)(\alpha - m)],$ 

where  $p_l(x)$  is a polynomial of the (l-1)th degree in x. It is also clear that if  $f_m$  is even in a, so are  $f_m^0$  and  $(f_m - f_m^0)$ . But, if  $f_m^0$  is even in a, we have

$$(a+m-1)p_m[(a+m-1)(a-m)]$$
  
=  $(a-m+1)p_m[(a-m+1)(a+m)].$ 

We set, in succession, a=m-1, a=m-2, ..., a=1 in this identity and thus obtain (for n>2)

$$p_m[1(2-2m)] = p_m[2(3-2m)] = \dots$$
  
=  $p_m[(m-1)(-m)] = 0,$ 

 $p_{-}(x) = (x + 2m - 2)(x + 4m - 6) \cdots$ 

and therefore

$$\times [x + m(m-1)],$$

$$f_m^0 = (a + m - 1)[(a - m + 1)(a + m - 2)]$$

$$\times [(a - m + 2)(a + m - 3)] \cdots [(a - 1)(a)]a,$$

$$= \alpha[(\alpha - 2m + 2)(\alpha - 1)][(\alpha - 2m + 3)(\alpha - 2)]$$

Thus  $b_l = l(2m-l+1), \ l=0,1,\ldots,m-1$ , and in order that  $f_m$  should also be an even function of a, we must take  $c_l = -1/(2l), \ l=1,2,\ldots,m-1$  and  $d_l = -\frac{1}{2}(m-1), \ l=1,2,\ldots,m-2$ , while  $d_{m-1}$  is left arbitrary.

 $\times \cdots [(\alpha - m)(\alpha - m + 1)](\alpha - m + 1).$ 

Thus, when n = 2m,

$$F_n(\alpha) = f_m - \text{tr} [f_m]/(2m),$$

where

$$f_1 = \alpha(\alpha - m + 1),$$
  
 $f_{l+1} = (\alpha - l)(\alpha - 2m + l + 1)f_l - (\alpha - m + 1)$   
 $\times (\alpha - l) \text{ tr } [f_l]/(2l).$ 

For example, when n = 2,

$$F_2(\alpha) = f_1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} [f_1],$$

$$f_1 = \alpha^2$$
;

for n = 4 [cf. Eq. (A6)],

$$F_4(\alpha) = f_2 - \frac{1}{4} \text{ tr } [f_2],$$

$$f_1 = \alpha(\alpha - 1),$$

$$f_2 = (\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)^2\sigma_2$$
;

for n=6.

$$F_6(\alpha) = f_3 - \frac{1}{6} \operatorname{tr} [f_3],$$

$$f_1 = \alpha(\alpha - 2),$$

$$f_2 = (\alpha - 4)(\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)\sigma_2$$

$$f_3 = (\alpha - 4)(\alpha - 3)(\alpha - 2)^2(\alpha - 1)\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 3)$$

$$\times (\alpha - 2)^{2}(\alpha - 1)\sigma_{2} - \frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 2)^{2}[2\sigma_{4} - 14\sigma_{3}]$$

+ 
$$16\sigma_2 - (\sigma_2)^2$$
].

(b) When  $n=2\,m+1$  is odd,  $F_n(\alpha)$  is completely determined by the conditions

- (i)  $F_n(\alpha) = (a \frac{1}{2})G_m(a^2)$ , where  $G_m(a^2)$  is a polynomial in  $a^2$  of degree m and  $a = \alpha m + \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- (ii)  $\overline{F_n(\alpha)} = -F_n(\alpha)$ ,

which follow from Eqs. (13) and (20).

In this case we consider the sequence of antisymmetric polynomials generated by

$$g_1 = \alpha$$
,  
 $g_{l+1} = [\alpha(\alpha - 2m) + b_l]g_l + [c_l\alpha + \frac{1}{2}] \text{ tr } [\alpha g_l]$ ,  
 $l = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

For some choice of  $b_l$  and  $c_l$ ,  $l=1,2,\ldots,m$ , we must have  $F_n=g_{m+1}$ . We determine these constants uniquely by requiring that  $g_{m+1}$  satisfy condition (i) above.

Suppose  $g_l^0$  is obtained from  $g_l$  by dropping terms involving  $\sigma_k$ . Then

$$g_{l+1}^{0} = \alpha p_{l}[\alpha(\alpha - 2m)],$$
  
=  $[a + m - \frac{1}{2}]p_{l}[(a - \frac{1}{2})^{2} - m^{2}],$ 

where  $p_l(x)$  is of degree l in x. From condition (i), we see that  $\mathcal{E}_{m+1}^0$  must vanish for  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ , so that  $p_m(-m^2) = 0$  for m > 0, and we can write

$$p_m(x) = (x + m^2)q_m(x),$$
(23) 
$$g_{m+1}^0 = (a + m - \frac{1}{2})(a - \frac{1}{2})^2q_m[(a - \frac{1}{2})^2 - m^2].$$

Again from condition (i), we see that  $(a + m - \frac{1}{2})(a - \frac{1}{2})q_m[(a - \frac{1}{2})^2 - m^2]$  must be an even polymonial in a, so that

$$(a + m - \frac{1}{2})(a - \frac{1}{2}) q_m [(a - \frac{1}{2})^2 - m^2]$$

$$= (a - m + \frac{1}{2})(a + \frac{1}{2}) q_m [(a + \frac{1}{2})^2 - m^2].$$

We set, in succession,  $a=m-\frac{1}{2},\ a=m-\frac{3}{2},\ \dots$ ,  $a=\frac{3}{2},\$ and obtain, for m>1,

$$q_m[1(1-2m)] = q_m[2(2-2m)] = \cdots$$
  
=  $q_m[(m-1)(-1-m)] = 0,$ 

whence

$$q_{m}(x) = [x + 1(2m - 1)][x + 2(2m - 2)] \cdots$$

$$\times [x + (m - 1)(m + 1)],$$

$$g_{m+1}^{0} = (a - \frac{1}{2})(a + m - \frac{1}{2})[(a - m + \frac{1}{2})(a + m - \frac{3}{2})]$$

$$g_{m+1}^{0} = (a - \frac{3}{2})(a + m - \frac{3}{2})[(a - m + \frac{3}{2})(a + m - \frac{5}{2})]$$

$$\times \left[ (a - m + \frac{3}{2})(a + m - \frac{5}{2}) \right] \cdot \cdot \cdot$$

$$\times \left[ (a - \frac{3}{2})(a + \frac{1}{2}) \right] (a - \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$= \alpha[(\alpha - 2m) + 1(2m - 1)][\alpha(\alpha - 2m) + 2(2m - 2)]$$

$$\times \cdots [\alpha(\alpha - 2m) + m^{2}].$$

For m=1,  $q_m=1$ . Thus  $b_l=l(2m-l)$ , and, to make  $g_{m+1}/(a-\frac{1}{2})$  an even polynomial in a, we must also take  $c_l=-1/(2l)$ .

Thus, when n = 2m + 1,

$$F_n(\alpha) = g_{m+1}(\alpha),$$

where

$$g_1 = \alpha$$
,

$$g_{l+1} = (\alpha - l)(\alpha - 2m + l)g_l - (\alpha - l) \operatorname{tr}[\alpha g_l]/(2l).$$
(24)

For example, when n = 3 [cf. Eq. (A12)].

$$g_2 = (\alpha - 1)^2 \alpha - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 1) \sigma_2;$$

for n = 5 [cf. Eq. (A13)],

$$g_2 = (\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 3)\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)\sigma_2$$

$$g_3 = (\alpha - 2)^2(\alpha - 3)(\alpha - 1)\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)^2\sigma_2$$
$$- \frac{1}{4}(\alpha - 2)[\sigma_4 - 4\sigma_3 + 3\sigma_2 - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2)^2].$$

## 5. INVARIANTS OF SO(n)

Consider the sequence of antisymmetric polynomials  $e_l(\alpha)$ ,  $l=1,2,\ldots$ , defined by

$$e_1 = \alpha$$
,  $e_{l+1} = \alpha(\alpha - n + 1)e_l + \frac{1}{2} tr[\alpha e_l]$ .

The identity tr  $[e_l] = 0$  then expresses  $\sigma_{2l-1}$  as a function of  $\sigma_2, \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{2l-2}$ . Thus

$$\sigma_3=\frac{1}{2}(n-2)\sigma_2,$$

$$\sigma_5 = \frac{1}{2}(3n - 4)\sigma_4 - \frac{1}{2}(n - 1)(n - 2)\sigma_3 - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_2)^2,$$

We see also, from the fact that  $\operatorname{tr}\left[\alpha^{k}F_{n}(\alpha)\right]=0$ ,  $k=1,2,\ldots$ , that  $\sigma_{n+1},\sigma_{n+2},\ldots$  can be expressed as functions of  $\sigma_{2},\sigma_{3},\ldots,\sigma_{n}$ . It follows that all  $\sigma_{k}$  can be regarded as functions of  $\sigma_{2l},\ l=1,2,\ldots,m$ , or, alternatively, and more conveniently from our point of view, as functions of  $\tau_{l}$ , where

$$\tau_l = \operatorname{tr} \left[ f_l \right] / (2l), \quad n = 2m,$$

$$\tau_{l} = \text{tr} \left[ \alpha g_{l} \right] / (2l), \quad n = 2m + 1,$$

with  $f_1, g_1$  as in Eqs. (23) and (24).

The functional dependence of  $\tau_l$  on  $\Lambda_i$ ,  $i, l = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , and hence the eigenvalues of  $\tau_l$ , can be determined by comparing the two forms  $G_m(a^2)$  given, on the one hand in Eq. (14), on the other via Eqs. (23) or (24).

Thus in the case n = 2m + 1, we deduce from Eq. (24) that

$$G_{m}(a^{2}) = \left\{ -\tau_{m} - \left[a^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right]\tau_{m-1} - \left[a^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right]\left[a^{2} - \frac{9}{4}\right]\tau_{m-2} - \cdots - \left[a^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right]\left[a^{2} - \frac{9}{4}\right] \cdots \left[a^{2} - (m - \frac{3}{2})^{2}\right]\tau_{1} + \left[a^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\right]\left[a^{2} - \frac{9}{4}\right] \cdots \left[a^{2} - (m - \frac{1}{2})^{2}\right]\right\},$$
 (25)

so that

$$\tau_m = -G_m(\frac{1}{4}), \quad \tau_{m-1} = \frac{1}{2}[-\tau_m - G_m(\frac{9}{4})],$$

$$\tau_{m-2} = [-\tau_m - 6\tau_{m-1} - G_m(\frac{25}{4})]/24,$$
 etc.

Then from Eq. (14) we have, writing  $\chi_i = (\Lambda_i + \frac{1}{2}n - i)^2$ ,

$$\begin{split} \tau_m = & (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\chi_i - \frac{1}{4}\right), \\ \tau_{m-1} = & (-1)^{m+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \left(\chi_i - \frac{9}{4}\right) - \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\chi_i - \frac{1}{4}\right)\right), \quad \text{etc} \end{split}$$

We note also, from a comparison of Eqs. (25) and (A10), that

$$\beta_p^{(m)}\beta_p^{(m)} = -m\tau_m = m(-1)^m \prod_{i=1}^m (\chi_i - \frac{1}{4}).$$

In the case n = 2m, we find from Eq. (23) that

$$G_m(a^2) = \{ -\tau_m - a^2\tau_{m-1} - a^2[a^2 - 1]\tau_{m-2} - \cdots$$

$$-a^2[a^2 - 1] \cdots [a^2 - (m-2)^2]\tau_1$$

$$+a^2[a^2 - 1] \cdots [a^2 - (m-1)^2] \}, \qquad (26)$$

$$au_m = -G_m(0), \qquad au_{m-1} = -\tau_m - G_m(1),$$

$$au_{m-2} = \frac{1}{12} [-\tau_m - 4\tau_{m-1} - G_m(4)], \quad \text{etc}$$

Again writing  $\chi_i = (\Lambda_i + \frac{1}{2}n - i)^2$ , we see from Eq. (14) that

$$\begin{split} \tau_m &= \left(-1\right)^{m+1} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_i, \\ \tau_{m-1} &= \left(-1\right)^{m+1} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\chi_i - 1\right) - \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_i \right], \quad \text{etc.} \end{split}$$

The set of operators  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_{m-1}$  and  $\tau_m$  (or  $\beta_p^{(m)}\beta_p^{(m)}$ ) is a complete set of invariants for SO(2m+1). However, in the case n=2m, as is well known, one pseudoscalar invariant such as  $\beta^{(m)}$  (see Appendix A) or  $\Lambda_m$  is needed. We note from a comparison of Eqs. (26) and (A3) that  $[\beta^{(m)}]^2/m^2 = -\tau_m$ , so that

$$\beta^{(m)} = m(i)^m \prod_{i=1}^m (\Lambda_i + \frac{1}{2}n - i),$$

where we have taken the sign of the square root which is consistent with that weight vector interpretation of  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$  adopted in Appendix B. The set of operators  $\tau_l$ ,  $l=1,2,\ldots,m-1$ , and  $\beta^{(m)}$  is a complete set of invariants for SO(2m).

#### APPENDIX A:

Here we establish the existence of an nth degree polynomial identity for  $\alpha$ . Following Bakri, <sup>15</sup> we define the completely antisymmetric tensors  $\beta_{pq}^{(k)} \cdots_t$ ,  $k=0,1,\ldots,m$ , of rank n-2k, with

$$\beta_{pq\dots v}^{(0)} = \epsilon_{pq\dots v},$$

$$\beta_{pq\dots t}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{uv}\beta_{pq\dots tuv}^{(k-1)}.$$
(A1)

Then one finds that, for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1, 15$ 

$$\beta_{pq...tu}^{(k)}\alpha_{uv} - k\beta_{pq...tv}^{(k)} = (-1)^{n+1} (\delta_{pv}\beta_{qr...t}^{(k+1)} - \delta_{qv}\beta_{pr...t}^{(k+1)} + \cdots + (-1)^{n}\delta_{tv}\beta_{pq...s}^{(k+1)})/(k+1).$$
(A2)

In the case k = 0, this identity is proved by inspection, and the proof for general values of k is obtained by induction.

(a) When n = 2m, we take k = m - 1 in Eq. (A2) to obtain

$$\beta_{bc}^{(m-1)}\alpha_{cr} - (m-1)\beta_{br}^{(m-1)} = -\delta_{br}\beta^{(m)}/m$$
,

i.e.,  $\beta^{(m-1)}(\alpha - m + 1) = -\beta^{(m)}/m$ . Moreover,  $\alpha \beta^{(m-1)} = \beta^{(m-1)} \alpha$ , so that

$$(\alpha - m + 1)^2 [\beta^{(m-1)}]^2 = [\beta^{(m)}]^2 / m^2.$$
 (A3)

The quantity  $[\beta^{(m-1)}]^2$  can be reduced to a polynomial of degree (2m-2) in  $\alpha$ , so that Eq.(A3) is in fact the required identity. It is not a simple matter to complete this reduction for a general value of m. However, for small values of m, the desired result can be obtained from the identity

$$\epsilon_{pij} \dots_{klr} \epsilon_{qst} \dots_{uvr} = \sum_{i} (-1)^{S(P)} \delta_{pq} \delta_{is} \delta_{jt} \cdots \delta_{ku} \delta_{lv},$$
(A4)

where  $\Sigma(-1)^{S(P)}$  means a sum over all permutations of  $p, i, \ldots, k$ , and l, with appropriate signatures, by multiplying with

$$(\alpha_{ij}\cdots\alpha_{kl})(\alpha_{st}\cdots\alpha_{uv}) \tag{A5}$$

and shuffling factors till the required order is reached. For example, when n = 4,

$$[\beta^{(1)}]^2 = -\overline{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2 = -\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2$$

so that

$$(\alpha - 2)(\alpha - 1)^2\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1)^2\sigma_2 + \frac{1}{4}[\beta^{(2)}]^2 = 0.$$
 (A6)

{In the important related case of SO(3, 1), with generators  $J_{\lambda\mu}$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , satisfying

$$[J_{\lambda\mu},J_{\rho\sigma}] = -i(g_{\lambda\rho}J_{\mu\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma}J_{\lambda\rho} - g_{\mu\rho}J_{\lambda\sigma} - g_{\lambda\sigma}J_{\mu\rho})$$

where  $g_{\lambda\mu}$  is the pseudo-Euclidean metric tensor, the corresponding result is

$$\begin{split} J_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}J_{\rho}{}^{\sigma}J_{\sigma}{}^{\nu} - 4i J_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}{}^{\rho}J_{\rho}{}^{\nu} \\ &+ (J_{1} - 5) J_{\mu}{}^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}{}^{\nu} - 2i(J_{1} - 1)J_{\mu}{}^{\nu} \\ &= (J_{1} + [J_{2}]^{2})\delta_{\mu}{}^{\nu}, \end{split}$$

where 
$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2}J_{\lambda\mu}J^{\lambda\mu}$$
, and  $J_2 = \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}J^{\lambda\mu}J^{\nu\rho}$ .

(b) When n = 2m + 1, we take k = m - 1 in Eq. (A2) to obtain

$$\beta_{pqr}^{(m-1)}\alpha_{rs} - (m-1)\beta_{pqs}^{(m-1)}$$

$$= (1/m)(\delta_{sp}\beta_q^{(m)} - \delta_{sq}\beta_p^{(m)}). \tag{A7}$$

Premultiplying this equation, on the one hand by  $\alpha_{pq}$ , on the other by  $\beta_p^{(m)}$ , we obtain

$$\alpha_{bo}\beta_a^{(m)} = \beta_a^{(m)}\alpha_{ab} = m\beta_b^{(m)}. \tag{A8}$$

and

$$\gamma_{qr}\alpha_{rs} + (m-1)\gamma_{sq} = (1/m)(\beta_s^{(m)}\beta_q^{(m)} - \beta_p^{(m)}\beta_p^{(m)}\delta_{qs}),$$
(A9)

where  $\gamma_{pq}=-\gamma_{qp}=\beta_r^{(m)}\beta_{pq}^{(m-1)}$ . Next, premultiplying Eq. (A9) by  $(\alpha_{us}-m\delta_{us})$  and using Eq. (A8), we obtain

$$(\alpha - m)\left[\overline{\gamma\alpha} + (m-1)\gamma + (1/m)\beta_p^{(m)}\beta_p^{(m)}\right] = 0$$

or, since  $\gamma$  is antisymmetric

$$(\alpha - m)[(\alpha - m)_{\gamma} + (1/m)\beta_{p}^{(m)}\beta_{p}^{(m)}] = 0.$$
 (A10)

This is the required identity, as  $\gamma$  can be reduced to a polynomial of degree (n-2) in  $\alpha$ .

In this case, using Eq. (A4), we find

$$\gamma_{pq} = 2^{(2-n)} (\alpha_{ij} \cdots \alpha_{kl}) (\alpha_{st} \cdots \alpha_{uv})$$

$$\times \sum (-1)^{S(P)} \delta_{pq} \delta_{is} \delta_{jt} \cdots \delta_{kn} \delta_{lv}. \tag{A11}$$

For example, when n=3, we find  $\gamma=\alpha$ , so that

$$(\alpha - 1)[(\alpha - 1)\alpha + \beta_p^{(1)}\beta_p^{(1)}] = 0;$$
 (A12)

for n = 5, after a lengthy calculation, we find

$$\gamma = 2\alpha^3 - 8\alpha^2 + (6 - \sigma_2)\alpha + \sigma_2$$

so that

$$(\alpha - 2)\{(\alpha - 2)[2\alpha^3 - 8\alpha^2 + (6 - \sigma_2)\alpha + \sigma_2] + \frac{1}{2}\beta_b^{(2)}\beta_b^{(2)}\} = 0.$$
 (A13)

#### APPENDIX B:

Here we justify the assertion that an arbitrary *n*-vector operator  $\theta$  can be resolved into components satisfying Eqs. (5).

An irreducible representation of SO(n) is characterized by a set of integers or half-odd integers  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ , as in Eq.(4). This set may be interpreted as the weight vector of highest weight for the corresponding representation, 16 when each weight vector is defined as an ordered set of eigenvalues of, in particular,  $-i\alpha_{12}, -i\alpha_{34}, \ldots$  ,  $-i\alpha_{2m-1,2m}$ . Accordingly, for such a representation, one can find an element  $\psi$  of the representation space, such that

$$-i\alpha_{2i-1,2i}\psi = \lambda_i\psi, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$
 (B1)

Moreover, since  $\psi$  corresponds to the highest weight and since, for q > 2i > 2j,

$$(-i\alpha_{2i-1,2i})(\alpha_{2i-1,q} + i\alpha_{2i,q})$$

$$= (\alpha_{2i-1,q} + i\alpha_{2i,q})(-i\alpha_{2i-1,2i} + 1)$$

and

$$[(-i\alpha_{2j-1,2j}),(\alpha_{2i-1,q}+i\alpha_{2i,q})]=0,$$

it follows that

$$(\alpha_{2i-1,q} + i\alpha_{2i,q})\psi = 0, \quad q > 2i.$$
 (B2)

One sees conversely that any  $\psi$  satisfying Eqs. (B1) and (B2) belongs to the representation labeled  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ . For example, Eq. (B2) implies that (no summation over repeated subscripts here except where indicated)

$$\begin{split} &(\alpha_{2i-1,\,q}-i\alpha_{2i,\,q})(\alpha_{2i-1,\,q}+i\alpha_{2i,\,q})\psi=0,\\ &q>2i,\\ &\text{i.e.,}\\ &(\alpha_{2i-1,\,q}\alpha_{q,\,2i-1}+\alpha_{2i,\,q}\alpha_{q,\,2i})\psi \end{split}$$

 $=-i\alpha_{2i-1,2i}\psi=\lambda_i\psi.$ 

Thus

$$\sum_{q=2\,i+1}^{n} (\alpha_{2\,i-1,\,q} \alpha_{q,\,2\,i-1} + \alpha_{2\,i,\,q} \alpha_{q,\,2\,i}) = (n-2i)\lambda_{i}\psi,$$

(A13) 
$$\left[ \sum_{q=2i}^{n} (\alpha_{2i-1, q} \alpha_{q, 2i-1}) + \sum_{q=2i+1}^{n} (\alpha_{2i, q} \alpha_{q, 2i}) \right] \psi$$

$$= \lambda_{i} (\lambda_{i} + n - 2i) \psi,$$

$$\sigma_2\psi=\sum_{i=1}^m 2\lambda_i(\lambda_i+n-2i)\psi.$$

Now suppose there exists an n-vector operator  $\theta$  acting on  $\psi$ . In the case n=2m+1, define  $\psi_i^{\pm} = (\theta_{2i-1} \pm i\theta_{2i})\psi, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \text{ and } \psi^0 = \theta_n\psi$ . It follows from Eqs.(2), (B1), and (B2) that  $\psi_i^\pm$  and  $\psi^0$  correspond to weight vectors  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i \pm 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_m)$  and  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$  and that the set  $\psi^0, \psi_i^{\pm}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$  is invariant under the action of the operators  $(\alpha_{2j-1,q} + i\alpha_{2j,q}), j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$ q > 2j.

We deduce therefore that  $\theta\psi$  has components only in respresentations labeled  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i \pm 1, \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_m)$   $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, \text{ or } (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ . It is easy to see then that the same must be true if  $\psi$  is replaced by any element of the representation space labeled  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ , so that the required result follows for n = 2m + 1. The argument for the case n = 2m is similar except that  $\psi^0$  does not occur, and  $\theta\psi$  can have no component in the representation labeled  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ .

This work was done in part at the University of Adelaide and in part while the authors were at Michigan State University and the International Centre for Theoretical Physics at Trieste.

P. A. M. Dirac, The Principles of Quantum Mechanics (Clarendon, Oxford, 1930).

P. Güttinger and W. Pauli, Z. Phys. 67, 743 (1931).

<sup>3</sup> E. P. Wigner, Gruppentheorie und ihre Anwendung auf die Quantenmechanik der Atomspektren (Braunschweig, 1931; English Ed., Academic, New York, 1959). G. Racah, Phys. Rev. 62, 438 (1942).

E. V. Condon and G. H. Shortley, The Theory of Atomic Spectra (Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 1935).

- J. C. Slater, The Quantum Theory of Atomic Structure (Mc-Graw-Hill, New York, 1960), Vol. II.
- G. Racah, in Ergebnisse der exacten Naturwissenschaften (Springer, Berlin, 1965), Vol. 37.
- L. C. Biedenharn, in Lectures on Theoretical Physics, Boulder 1962 (Interscience, New York, 1963), Vol. 5. See also L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, Commun. Math. Phys. 8, 89 (1968).

J. D. Louck, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report LA2451 (1960).

10 H. J. Bhabha, Rev. Mod. Phys. 17, 200 (1945); 21, 451 (1949).

- 11 W. Killing, Math. Ann. 31, 252 (1888); 33, 1 (1889); 34, 57 (1889); 36, 161 (1890).
- 12 Y. Lehrer-Ilamed, Bull. Res. Council Israel 5A, 197 (1956).
- 13 Y. Lehrer-llamed, in Spectroscopic and Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, edited by F. Bloch et al. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968). See also H. Goldberg and Y. Lehrer-Ilamed, J. Math. Phys. 4, 501 (1963); B. Gruber and L. O' Raifeartaigh, ibid. 5, 1796 (1964).
- 14 G. Racah, in Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics (Lectures of the 1962 Istanbul Summer School), edited by F. Gürsey, (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964).
- 15 M. M. Bakri, Commun. Math. Phys. 9, 146 (1968).
- 16 F. D. Murnaghan, The Theory of Group Representations (Dover, New York, 1963).

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS

VOLUME 12, NUMBER 10

OCTOBER 1971

# Characteristic Identities for Generators of GL(n), O(n) and Sp(n)

H.S. Green

Department of Mathematical Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, S. Australia 5001 (Received 5 April 1971)

A hierarchy of tensor identities, satisfied by the generators of the general linear group GL(n), is obtained in terms of two different sets of invariants. An application to the identification of irreducible representations and the decomposition of reducible representations is described. Similar results are obtained for the generators of orthogonal, pseudo-orthogonal, and symplectic groups.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The generators of the group GL(n) satisfy the com-

$$[a_{j}^{i}, a_{l}^{k}] = \delta_{j}^{k} a_{l}^{i} - \delta_{l}^{i} a_{j}^{k}, \quad i, \dots, l = 1, \dots, n,$$
(1)

and their matrix representations are of some interest, as they also furnish representations for other Lie algebras. Indeed, the commutation rela-

$$[z_i, z_j] = C_{ij}^k z_k,$$

in which the structure constants necessarily

$$C_{ij}^{k} + C_{ii}^{k} = 0, C_{ij}^{l} C_{kl}^{m} + C_{ik}^{l} C_{il}^{m} + C_{ki}^{l} C_{il}^{m} = 0,$$

can be satisfied identically by writing

$$z_i = C_{ii}^k a_k^j$$

provided that  $a^i_j$  satisfy (1). Different irreducible representations of the  $a^i_j$  are, moreover, associated with different sets of eigenvalues of the invariants  $\sigma_r$  defined by (repeated affixes  $i, j, k, l, \ldots$ are understood to be summed over values from 1 to n; however, subscripts  $r, s, \ldots$  are exempted from this summation convention)

$$\sigma_1 = a^i_{\ i}, \quad \sigma_2 = a^i_{\ i} a^j_{\ i}, \quad \sigma_3 = a^i_{\ i} a^j_{\ k} a^k_{\ i}, \quad (2)$$

etc., which are Casimir operators, i.e., commute with all elements of the algebra. Thus, an irreducible representation of GL(n) can, in principle, be identified by determining the eigenvalues of  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n$ . Of course, such a representation is not necessarily irreducible for the  $z_i$ , and the  $\sigma_r$ ,

 $r \leq n$ , are not necessarily independent. The problem of determining a complete set of independent invariants for a semisimple Lie algebra has been considered by Biedenharn<sup>2</sup> and by Gruber and O'Raiffeartaigh.3

Another, less explicit but sometimes more convenient way of defining a set of invariants for GL(n) is in terms of the highest weights of the finite-dimensional irreducible representations. Let  $\lambda_1$  be an operator whose eigenvalue, in a particular representation R of this kind, is the same as the maximum eigenvalue  $\ell_1$  of  $a^1_1$  in this representation. Further, let  $\lambda_r, r=2,\ldots,n$ ) be an operator whose eigenvalue  $\ell_r$  in R is the same as the maximum eigenvalues of  $a_r$ , when  $a_1$ , ...,  $a^{r-1}_{r-1}$  have the eigenvalues  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{r-1}$ , respectively. Then, if  $\psi$  is a vector such that  $a^r, \psi = \ell_r \psi$ , it must satisfy  $a^i, \psi = 0, j > i$ , and (as one can verify by computing  $\sigma_1 \psi$  and  $\sigma_2 \psi$ )

$$\sigma_1 = \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r, \quad \sigma_2 = \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r (\lambda_r + n + 1 - 2r).$$
 (3)

The representation R is labeled by  $\ell=(\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_n)$ , where  $\ell_r-\ell_s$  is integral and nonnegative when  $r\leq s$ , and can be identified in this way if the dependence of the first n of the  $\sigma_r$  on the  $\lambda_s$  is known. Unfortunately, the complexity of the expressions for the  $\sigma_r$  in terms of the  $\lambda_s$  increases rapidly with r.

One use of the invariants is in the decomposition of a reducible representation into distinct irreducible components, which can be solved by determining the projections on to different eigenvectors of the  $\sigma_r$  (or  $\lambda_s$ ). There are, of course, other ways of dealing with this problem, notably the method of character analysis, which has been applied to U(n) and SU(n) by Blaha. Our present interest in the problem arises from its connection with a