

THE A_2 ANDREWS–GORDON IDENTITIES AND CYLINDRIC PARTITIONS

S. OLE WARNAAR

ABSTRACT. Inspired by a number of recent papers by Corteel, Dousse, Foda, Uncu and Welsh on cylindric partitions and Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities, we obtain the A_2 (or $A_2^{(1)}$) analogues of the celebrated Andrews–Gordon identities. We further prove q -series identities that correspond to the infinite-level limit of the Andrews–Gordon identities for A_{r-1} (or $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$) for arbitrary rank r . Our results for A_2 also lead to conjectural, manifestly positive, combinatorial formulas for the 2-variable generating function of cylindric partitions of rank 3 and level d , such that d is not a multiple of 3.

Keywords: $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ branching functions, character formulas, cylindric partitions, Rogers–Ramanujan identities.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Rogers–Ramanujan identities [59–61]

$$(1.1a) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+1})(1-q^{5n+4})}$$

and

$$(1.1b) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(1-q)\cdots(1-q^n)} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5n+2})(1-q^{5n+3})}$$

are widely regarded as two of the deepest and most beautiful q -series identities in all of mathematics. They play an important role in the theory of partitions [4, 52, 63], arise as characters in the representation theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras and vertex operator algebras [21, 43, 44, 46–48, 53], and have appeared in numerous other branches of mathematics. The reader is referred to the recent book by Sills [64] for a comprehensive account of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities.

A partition λ of n is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of nonnegative integers such that $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots = n$. If $m_i = m_i(\lambda) := |\{j \geq 1 : \lambda_j = i\}|$ denotes the multiplicity of the parts of λ equal to i , then $|\lambda| = \sum_{i \geq 1} im_i$. Schur and MacMahon [52, 63] independently observed that the Rogers–Ramanujan identities are equivalent to the following combinatorial statement about partitions. For fixed $s \in \{1, 2\}$, the number of partitions of n such that consecutive parts differ by at least two and such that $m_1 \leq s - 1$ is equal to the number of partitions of n such that all parts are congruent to $\pm(3 - s)$ modulo 5. Here $s = 2$ corresponds to (1.1a) and $s = 1$ to (1.1b). Gordon [26] generalised the combinatorial form of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities to arbitrary odd moduli $2k + 1$, proving that for $1 \leq s \leq k$ the number of partitions of n such that $m_i + m_{i+1} \leq k - 1$ (for all $i \geq 1$) and $m_1 \leq s - 1$ is equal to the number

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A15, 05A19, 11P84, 17B65, 33D15, 81R10.
Work supported by the Australian Research Council.

of partitions of n into parts not congruent to $0, \pm s$ modulo $2k + 1$. Subsequently, Andrews [3] discovered the analytic counterpart of Gordon's partition theorem, proving that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + \dots + n_{k-1}^2 + n_s + \dots + n_{k-1}}}{(q)_{n_1 - n_2} \cdots (q)_{n_{k-2} - n_{k-1}} (q)_{n_{k-1}}} = \frac{(q^{2k+1}; q^{2k+1})_\infty}{(q)_\infty} \theta(q^s; q^{2k+1}).$$

Here $(a)_n = (a; q)_n := (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$ and $(a)_\infty = (a; q)_\infty := (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots$ are q -shifted factorials, and $\theta(a; q) := (a; q)_\infty (q/a; q)_\infty$ is a modified Jacobi theta function. The identities (1.2) are now commonly referred to as the Andrews–Gordon identities.

Let $\text{AG}_{k,s}(q)$ denote the q -series in (1.2), so that $\text{AG}_{k,s}(q)$ may denote either the left- or the right-hand side of the identity. Apart from Gordon's two partition theoretic interpretations, the series $\text{AG}_{k,s}(q)$ for $0 \leq s \leq k$ have also been identified as characters (or specialised characters) of affine Lie algebras. Here we mention two such identifications. (For further examples, see e.g., [54, 55].) Let \mathfrak{g} be an affine Lie algebra of rank ℓ with simple roots $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_\ell$, simple coroots $\alpha_0^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee$ and fundamental weights $\Lambda_0, \dots, \Lambda_\ell$ (so that $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \Lambda_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$), where we adopt the labelling of the Dynkin diagrams as in Tables 1 and 2 of [30, Chapter 4]. Let P_+^d denote the set of level- d dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g} and let $\text{ch } L(\lambda)$ be the character of the standard (or integrable highest weight) module $L(\lambda)$ of highest weight λ . Then, up to a simple infinite product $F := (-q; q)_\infty = 1/(q; q^2)_\infty$ corresponding to the principally specialised character of the fundamental representation $L(\Lambda_a)$ ($a = 0, 1$), $\text{AG}_{k,s}(q)$ is equal to the principally specialised characters of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ [44, Theorem 5.16]:

$$e^{-\lambda} \text{ch } L(\lambda) \Big|_{(e^{-\alpha_0}, e^{-\alpha_1}) \mapsto (q, q)} = F \cdot \text{AG}_{k,s}(q),$$

where $\lambda = (2k - s)\Lambda_0 + (s - 1)\Lambda_1 \in P_+^{2k-1}$. For $k = 2$ this led Lepowsky and Wilson to the discovery of the principal Heisenberg subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of $A_1^{(1)}$, culminating in the first purely Lie theoretic proof of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities [45, 47]. In particular, Lepowsky and Wilson showed that $\text{AG}_{2,s}(q)$ is exactly the character of the vacuum space of highest-weight vectors of $L((4 - s)\Lambda_0 + (s - 1)\Lambda_1)$ with respect to \mathfrak{s} . This was subsequently extended to higher-level standard modules of $A_1^{(1)}$ by Meurman and Primc [53] to yield a Lie theoretic proof of all of the Andrews–Gordon identities (as well as their even moduli analogues).¹

Along a different route, Griffin, Ono and the author showed that a suitable non-principal specialisation of the characters of the $A_2^{(2)}$ standard modules of level $2k - 2$ also leads to the Rogers–Ramanujan and Andrews–Gordon q -series. Specifically,

$$e^{-\lambda} \text{ch } L(\lambda) \Big|_{(e^{-\alpha_0}, e^{-\alpha_1}) \mapsto (-1, q)} = \text{AG}_{k,s}(q),$$

where λ is parametrised as $\lambda = (2k - 2s)\Lambda_0 + (s - 1)\Lambda_1 \in P_+^{2k-2}$. This was then shown to generalise to $A_{2r}^{(2)}$ for arbitrary $r \geq 1$, resulting in higher-rank generalisations of the Rogers–Ramanujan and Andrews–Gordon identities. For example, if k is a positive integer and $\kappa :=$

¹A distinction between the results of [45, 47] and [53] is that in the latter work, the combinatorial instead of the analytic form of the identities is obtained.

$2k + 2r - 1$, then [27, Theorem 1.1]

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.3) \quad & e^{-(k-1)\Lambda_r} \operatorname{ch} L((k-1)\Lambda_r) \Big|_{(e^{-\alpha_0}, e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_r}) \mapsto (-1, q, \dots, q)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{\lambda \text{ even} \\ \lambda_1 \leq 2k-2}} q^{|\lambda|/2} P_\lambda(1, q, q^2, \dots; q^{2r-1}) \\
&= \frac{(q^\kappa; q^\kappa)_\infty^r}{(q)_\infty^r} \prod_{i=1}^r \theta(q^{i+k-1}; q^\kappa) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(q^{j-i}, q^{i+j-1}; q^\kappa),
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots; t)$ is a Hall–Littlewood symmetric function in infinitely many variables [50]. For $r = 1$ the identity (1.3) simplifies to the $s = k$ instance of (1.2).

Surprisingly, finding the higher-rank generalisation of the Andrews–Gordon for the seemingly simpler affine Lie algebra $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ remains an open problem. Parametrising the dominant integral weights of $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ as

$$(1.4) \quad \lambda = (d - \mu_1 + \mu_r)\Lambda_0 + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)\Lambda_1 + \dots + (\mu_{r-1} - \mu_r)\Lambda_{r-1} \in P_+^d,$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$ is a partition such that $\mu_1 - \mu_r \leq d$, the challenge is to find generalisations $\operatorname{AG}_{\lambda; r}(q)$ of the sum-side of (1.2) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.5) \quad & e^{-\lambda} \operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) \Big|_{(e^{-\alpha_0}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_{r-1}}) \mapsto (q, \dots, q)} \\
&= F \cdot \frac{(q^{d+r}; q^{d+r})_\infty^{r-1}}{(q)_\infty^{r-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j + j - i}; q^{d+r}) \\
&= F \cdot \operatorname{AG}_{\lambda; r}(q),
\end{aligned}$$

where now, for arbitrary $0 \leq a \leq r - 1$,

$$F := e^{-\Lambda_a} \operatorname{ch} L(\Lambda_a) \Big|_{(e^{-\alpha_0}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_{r-1}}) \mapsto (q, \dots, q)} = \frac{(q^r; q^r)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$

In [6] it was shown that the infinite product in (1.5) (without the factor F) is the character of a non-unitary W_r -module (with W_2 the Virasoro algebra) as well as an $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ branching function, see Section 4 for details.

Arguably the most powerful method for discovering and proving identities of the Rogers–Ramanujan type is that of Bailey chains and lattices, see [2, 5, 58, 68]. In [6] Andrews, Schilling and the author developed an A_2 -analogue of the classical Bailey chain and applied this to the problem of finding Rogers–Ramanujan and Andrews–Gordon identities for $A_2^{(1)}$. Although this resulted in several infinite families of Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities, the q -series of [6] correspond to $\operatorname{AG}_{\lambda; 3}(q)$ multiplied by an unwanted factor $1/(q)_\infty$, obscuring the fact that the coefficients of the former are all nonnegative. Only for $d = 2$ and $d = 4$ could this unwanted factor be eliminated, resulting in, for example,

$$(1.6) \quad \operatorname{AG}_{\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1; 3}(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q)_n} = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{5n+1})(1 - q^{5n+4})}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.7) \quad \operatorname{AG}_{2\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2; 3}(q) &= \sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2 - nm + m^2}}{(q)_n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{7n+1})^2 (1 - q^{7n+3})(1 - q^{7n+4})(1 - q^{7n+6})^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ is a q -binomial coefficient, see (5.2) below. The first of these results is essentially trivial since, by level-rank duality, $\text{AG}_{\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1;3}(q) = \text{AG}_{2\Lambda_0+\Lambda_1;2}(q)$. The second Rogers–Ramanujan identity (1.1b) follows in a similar manner from $\text{AG}_{2\Lambda_0;3}(q) = \text{AG}_{3\Lambda_0;2}(q)$. The identity (1.7) is one of five² modulus-7 identities for $A_2^{(1)}$ known collectively as the A_2 Rogers–Ramanujan identities, see [6, 17, 20, 69].

In a series of recent papers [13, 15, 17, 23] a new approach to the problem of finding manifestly positive multisum expressions for $\text{AG}_{\lambda;r}(q)$ has emerged, based on cylindric partitions. Cylindric partitions, first introduced by Gessel and Krattenthaler in [25], are an affine analogue of plane partitions. Using notation and terminology as defined in Section 3, let $\text{GK}_c(q)$ be the size (or norm) generating function of cylindric partitions of rank r and profile $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})$:

$$\text{GK}_c(q) := \sum_{\substack{\pi \\ \text{profile}(\pi)=c}} q^{|\pi|},$$

where $|\pi|$ denotes the size of π . The first key observation, due to Foda and Welsh [23] (see also [66]), is that Borodin’s product formula [8] for cylindric partitions implies that

$$(1.8) \quad \text{AG}_{\lambda;r}(q) = (q)_\infty \text{GK}_c(q).$$

Here the entries of the profile c are fixed in terms of λ as $c_i = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle$, i.e., if λ is parametrised as in (1.4), then $c_0 = d - \mu_1 + \mu_r$ and $c_i = \mu_i - \mu_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. As an important consequence of (1.8), the combinatorics of cylindric partitions can be utilised to compute $\text{AG}_{\lambda;r}(q)$. The second key observation, due to Corteel and Welsh [17], is that by considering the more general two-variable generating function $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$,

$$\text{GK}_c(z, q) := \sum_{\substack{\pi \\ \text{profile}(\pi)=c}} z^{\max(\pi)} q^{|\pi|},$$

where $\max(\pi)$ is the largest largest part of the cylindric partition π , a system of functional equations arises that fully determines $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$ for fixed level $c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} = d$. Solving this system for arbitrary rank r and level d appears extremely challenging, but in a recent paper Corteel, Dousse and Uncu [15] managed to solve the case $r = 3$ and $d = 5$, giving rise to manifestly positive multisum expression for all five characters. For example [15, Theorem 3.3],

$$\text{GK}_{(2,2,1)}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_\infty} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

which by (1.5) and (1.8) implies the beautiful [15, Theorem 1.6]

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{AG}_{2\Lambda_0+2\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2;3}(q) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{8n+1})^2(1-q^{8n+2})(1-q^{8n+4})^2(1-q^{8n+6})(1-q^{8n+7})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Motivated by the results of Corteel et al., we succeeded in finding the analogues of the Andrews–Gordon identities for $A_2^{(1)}$ for all moduli not congruent to 0 modulo 3. The simplest examples for each fixed modulus are given below, where $\theta(a_1, \dots, a_k; q) := \theta(a_1; q) \cdots \theta(a_k; q)$.

²There are only four characters for modulus 7, but one of these admits two distinct double-sum expressions.

Theorem 1.1 (A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities, I). *For k a positive integer, the following identity for $AG_{k\Lambda_0+k\Lambda_1+(k-1)\Lambda_2;3}(q)$ holds:*

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{n_1^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+2}; q^{3k+2})_\infty^2}{(q)_\infty^2} \theta(q^k, q^{k+1}, q^{k+1}; q^{3k+2}),$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$. Similarly, for $AG_{k\Lambda_0+(k-1)\Lambda_1+(k-1)\Lambda_2;3}(q)$ there holds $AG_{\Lambda_0;3}(q) = 1$ and

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2)}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{k-1} \\ m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+1}; q^{3k+1})_\infty^2}{(q)_\infty^2} \theta(q^k, q^k, q^{k+1}; q^{3k+1})$$

for $k \geq 2$.

The $k = 1$ case of (1.10) is (1.6), the $k = 2$ case of (1.11) is (1.7), but the $k = 2$ case of (1.10) does not give (1.9)! Instead we obtain the triple-sum identity

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{8n+1})^2 (1 - q^{8n+2}) (1 - q^{8n+4})^2 (1 - q^{8n+6}) (1 - q^{8n+7})^2}.$$

The first two moduli that have not appeared before are 10 and 11, for which we get

$$\sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2, m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 - n_2 m_2 + m_2^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_2 + m_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_2 \\ m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - q^{10n+1})^2 (1 - q^{10n+2})^2 (1 - q^{10n+4}) (1 - q^{10n+5})^2} \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{1}{(1 - q^{10n+6}) (1 - q^{10n+8})^2 (1 - q^{10n+9})^2} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2, m_2, n_3=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 - n_2 m_2 + m_2^2 + n_3^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_2 + m_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 + n_3 \\ m_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - q^{11n+1})^2 (1 - q^{11n+2})^2 (1 - q^{11n+3}) (1 - q^{11n+5})^2} \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{1}{(1 - q^{11n+6})^2 (1 - q^{11n+8}) (1 - q^{11n+9})^2 (1 - q^{11n+10})^2} \right).$$

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In the next section we state our main results on A_2 (or $A_2^{(1)}$) Rogers–Ramanujan and Andrews–Gordon identities. This section also contains conjectural, manifestly-positive multi-sum expressions for the two-variable generating

function of cylindric partition of rank 3. These conjectures, if true, show that the statistic \max on cylindric partitions is compatible with our $A_2^{(1)}$ character formulas, suggesting that \max should have a natural representation-theoretic interpretation. In Section 3 we review some of the basics of cylindric partitions needed in the remainder of the paper, and in Section 4 we give representation theoretic interpretations of $AG_{\lambda;r}(q)$. In Section 5 a number of identities for basic hypergeometric series are proved, laying the groundwork for Section 6, which focuses on the modulus-8 case and provides a connection with the work of Corteel, Dousse and Uncu. In Section 7 we prove our main results, including the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities of Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Theorem 8.3 of Section 8 we present an identity for A_{r-1} corresponding to the infinite-level limit of the as-yet-to-be found Andrews–Gordon identities for $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ for $r \geq 4$.

2. MAIN RESULTS AND CONJECTURES

In this section we give a summary of the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities for $AG_{\lambda;3}(q)$ as well as some closely related, manifestly positive multisum expressions for the two-variable generating function of cylindric partitions $GK_{(c_0, c_1, c_2)}(z, q)$ for $c_0 + c_1 + c_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

2.1. The modulus- $(3k+2)$ case. The simplest A_2 Andrews–Gordon identity for the modulus $3k+2$ is (1.10) of the introduction. This result is complemented with several further identities, the first of which corresponds to $AG_{(3k-s)\Lambda_0+(s-1)\Lambda_1;3}(q)$.

Conjecture 2.1 (A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities, II). *For integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k+1$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + m_i} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+2}; q^{3k+2})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+2}),$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$.

Theorem 2.2. *Conjecture 2.1 holds for $s \in \{1, k, k+1\}$.*

Remark 2.3. The proofs of the various A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities all use the Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities of [6] as a seed. In these seeds, the summation variables n_i and m_i play a more symmetric role than in the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities. If a seed has a summand that is near-symmetric in the sense that it is invariant when n_i and m_i are interchanged for all i , except for a linear factor in the exponent of q , then this near-symmetry can be exploited to yield two different forms for the sum side of the corresponding A_2 Andrews–Gordon identity. What is more, by conjecturing some near-symmetric seeds missing from [6] (see Conjecture 7.4) this extends to Conjecture 2.1 in full. Hence this conjecture admits the companion

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{n_k^2 + n_k + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} m_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + n_i} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i, s-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+2}; q^{3k+2})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+2})$$

for $1 \leq s \leq k$, and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{n_k^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + n_i} \begin{bmatrix} n_i + \delta_{i,k-1} \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+2}; q^{3k+2})_\infty^2}{(q)_\infty^2} \theta(q, q^{k+1}, q^{k+2}; q^{3k+2})$$

when $s = k + 1$. In both cases $m_k := 2n_k$ as before and $\delta_{i,j}$ is a Kronecker delta.

The identity in the next theorem has a more complicated right-hand side, corresponding to the linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^s q^{s-i} \text{AG}_{(3k-2i+1)\Lambda_0 + (i-1)\Lambda_1 + (i-1)\Lambda_2; 3}(q)$.

Theorem 2.4 (A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities, III). *For integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} m_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i,s-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+2}; q^{3k+2})_\infty^2}{(q)_\infty^2} \sum_{i=1}^s q^{s-i} \theta(q^i, q^i, q^{2i}; q^{3k+2}),$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$.

The above results give $3k + 1$ distinct identities for modulus $3k + 2$, except when $k = 1$, in which case the two Rogers–Ramanujan identities (1.1a) and (1.1b) arise. In the modulus-8 case we have found another three results giving a total of 11 identities, including (1.12) of the introduction. All of these will be discussed in detail in Section 6.

Conjecturally, some of the above results can be extended to the two-variable generating function for cylindric partitions of rank 3.

Conjecture 2.5. *For a positive integer k ,*

$$\text{GK}_{(k,k,k-1)}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}$$

and, for integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k + 1$,

$$\text{GK}_{(3k-s, s-1, 0)}(z, q) \\ = \frac{1}{(zq)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + m_i} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$ in both identities.

Theorem 2.6. *Conjecture 2.5 holds for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$.*

Conjecture 2.5 is consistent with the following conjecture of Corteel et al., see [15, Conjecture 4.2]. For a nonnegative integer n and $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})$, define

$$(2.4) \quad Q_{n,c}(q) := (q^\ell; q^\ell)_n [z^n] \left((zq)_\infty \text{GK}_c(z, q) \right) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]],$$

where, for $d := c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1}$, $\ell := \gcd(d, r)$.

Conjecture 2.7. *Let $c = (c_0, c_1, c_2)$ and $d := c_0 + c_1 + c_2$ such that $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Then $Q_{n,(c_0,c_1,c_2)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q with nonnegative coefficients. Moreover,*

$$(2.5) \quad Q_{n,(c_0,c_1,c_2)}(1) = \left(\frac{1}{6}(d+1)(d+2) - 1 \right)^n.$$

The polynomiality and (2.5) have both been proven by Welsh [70], but the positivity part of the conjecture remains wide open. From Conjecture 2.5, we immediately infer the following manifestly positive representations for $d = 3k - 1$:

$$Q_{n_1,(k,k,k-1)}(q) = \sum_{\substack{n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} q^{n_k^2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{n_1,(3k-s,s-1,0)}(q) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_2, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + m_i} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$. For $q = 1$ it is a standard exercise in binomial sums to show that this implies

$$Q_{n,(k,k,k-1)}(1) = Q_{n,(3k-s,s-1,0)}(1) = \left(\frac{1}{2}k(3k+1) - 1 \right)^n,$$

in accordance with (2.5).

2.2. The modulus- $(3k+1)$ case. All the modulus- $(3k+2)$ identities have counterparts for modulus $3k+1$. Our first result beyond (1.11) is a companion to Conjecture 2.1 and gives Andrews–Gordon identities for $\text{AG}_{(3k-s-1)\Lambda_0 + (s-1)\Lambda_1; 3}(q)$.

Conjecture 2.8 (A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities, II'). *For integers k, s such that $k \geq 2$ and $1 \leq s \leq k$,*

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + m_i) + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} n_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{k-1} \\ m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+1}; q^{3k+1})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+1}).$$

Again we have a proof for three (or two when $k = 2$) values of s .

Theorem 2.9. *Conjecture 2.8 holds for $s \in \{1, k-1, k\}$.*

If Conjecture 2.8 is true, then for $1 \leq s \leq k$,

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + n_i) + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} m_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{k-1} + \delta_{s,k} \\ m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i,s-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+1}; q^{3k+1})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+1})$$

in analogy with (2.2) and (2.3). Equations (1.11), (2.6) and (2.7) for $k = 2$ give the four A_2 Rogers–Ramanujan identities of [6, Theorem 5.6]. The fifth A_2 Rogers–Ramanujan, which was conjectured in [20] and proved in [17, Theorem 1.2], is missing from our modulus- $(3k + 1)$ generalisations.

The next identity for character sum $\sum_{i=1}^s q^{s-i} \text{AG}_{(3k-2i)\Lambda_0+(i-1)\Lambda_1+(i-1)\Lambda_2;3}(q)$ is the analogue of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.10 (A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities, III'). *For integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k-1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2) + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} (n_i + m_i)}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{k-1} \\ m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i,s-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{(q^{3k+1}; q^{3k+1})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^2} \sum_{i=1}^s q^{s-i} \theta(q^i, q^i, q^{2i}; q^{3k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The lifting of (1.11) and (2.6) to the two-variable generating function for cylindric partitions results in our final conjecture.

Conjecture 2.11. *For k a positive integer,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GK}_{(k,k-1,k-1)}(z, q) \\ &= \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2)}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{k-1} \\ m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and, for integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GK}_{(3k-s-1,s-1,0)}(z, q) \\ &= \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 + m_i) + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} n_i}}{(q)_{n_1}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} 2n_{k-1} \\ m_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$


The $k = 1$ case, corresponding to $\text{GK}_{(1,0,0)}(z, q) = 1/(zq)_{\infty}$, is trivial.³ The $k = 2$ case of Conjecture 2.11 is [17, Theorem 3.2] by Corteel and Welsh. Their theorem also includes manifestly positive double-sum expressions for $\text{GK}_{(3,0,1)}(z, q)$ and $\text{GK}_{(2,2,0)}(z, q)$, which are not included in the $k = 2$ case of Conjecture 2.11.

3. CYLINDRIC PARTITIONS

Let \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}_0 denote the set of positive integers and nonnegative integers respectively. Then an integer partition of size n and length r is a weakly decreasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ such that $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r = n$. Here the λ_i are referred to as the parts of λ . The above differs slightly from the standard definitions of part and length [50] in that 0 can be a part. For example, in this paper the partitions $(7, 6, 6, 4, 0)$ and $(7, 6, 6, 4)$ of 23 will be viewed as distinct, having length 5 and 4 respectively. We will alternatively use the multiplicities as exponent to represent a partition, leaving out the exponent 1. Hence $(7, 6, 6, 4, 0) = (7, 6^2, 4, 0)$.


³Also the more general $\text{GK}_{(L^r)/(0^r)/1}(z, q) = 1/(zq)_{rL}$ is trivially true, where we refer to Section 3 for the definition of $\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q)$. This implies that $\text{GK}_{(1,0^{r-1})}(z, q) = 1/(zq)_{\infty}$ for arbitrary r .

A Young diagram Y is a configuration of (unit) squares or boxes that are arranged in left-justified rows such that row-lengths are weakly decreasing from top to bottom, as in



If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is a partition and Y a Young diagram of at most r rows such that the i th row of Y contains λ_i squares, we say that Y is the Young diagram of λ . For example, the above diagram is the Young diagram of $(7, 6^2, 4, 0^k)$ for arbitrary nonnegative integer k . If ν is a partition such that its Young diagram is the transpose of the Young diagram of λ , we say that ν is a conjugate of λ and write $\nu = \lambda'$. Of course, the conjugate of λ is not unique and $(7, 6^2, 4, 0^k)' = (4^3, 2^2, 1, 0^\ell)$ for k, ℓ nonnegative integers. We note that the multiplicity $m_i = m_i(\lambda)$ of parts of size i of the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ is given by $m_0 = r - \lambda_1'$ and $m_i(\lambda) = \lambda'_i - \lambda'_{i+1}$ for $i \geq 1$.


Given two partitions λ, μ of length r , write $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ if $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the skew (Young) diagram of λ/μ is obtained from the Young diagram of λ by deleting all squares contained in the Young diagram of μ . Hence the skew diagram of $(7, 6, 4, 4)/(3, 1, 1, 0)$ is given by



The skew diagram of λ/μ is connected if for all positive λ_i (such that $i \neq 1$), $\mu_{i-1} < \lambda_i$. Conversely, it is disconnected if there exists an i such that $\mu_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} > 0$.

Cylindric partitions were first introduced by Gessel and Krattenthaler in [25] as an affine analogue of (skew) plane partitions. Fix a positive integer r , which we will refer to as the rank, and let λ, μ be two partitions of length r such that $\mu \subseteq \lambda$.⁴ Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$. Then a plane partition π on \mathcal{A} of shape λ/μ and size n is a filling of the diagram of λ/μ with elements from \mathcal{A} such that the rows and columns are both weakly decreasing and such that $|\pi|$, the sum of the entries of π , is equal to n . For example,

			9	7	6	2
	11	11	8	7	4	
	10	8	8			
9	8	5	5			



is a plane partition on \mathbb{N} of shape $(7, 6, 4, 4)/(3, 1, 1, 0)$ and size 118 (which we blatantly copied from [25]). The representation on the right corresponds to the usual stacking of unit cubes so that $|\pi|$ corresponds to the volume of π . When $\mu = 0$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{N}$ one obtains an ordinary plane partition of shape λ in the sense of MacMahon [51]. Each row and column of a plane partition π is an ordinary integer partition (with parts in \mathcal{A}). It will be convenient to encode the rows as a multipartition

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^{(1)}, \nu^{(2)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)}),$$

⁴Gessel and Krattenthaler consider more general integer sequences λ and μ of length r , but we have no need for these here.

where the partition $\nu^{(i)} = (\nu_1^{(i)}, \dots, \nu_{\lambda_i - \mu_i}^{(i)})$ corresponds to the filling of the i th row of π . The condition that each of the $\lambda_1 - \mu_r$ columns of π also form a partition then translates to

$$(3.1) \quad \nu_j^{(i)} \geq \nu_{j+\mu_i-\mu_{i+1}}^{(i+1)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_{i+1} - \mu_i.$$

In the above example

$$\nu = ((9, 7, 6, 2), (11, 11, 8, 7, 4), (10, 8, 8), (9, 8, 5, 5)).$$

Mostly one is interested in enumerating plane partitions with fixed shape, in which case it is natural to consider connected shapes only.

Let d be an integer, which we will call the level, such that

$$d \geq \max\{\mu_1 - \mu_r, \lambda_1 - \lambda_r\}.$$

Then a plane partition π of shape λ/μ is said to be a cylindric partition of shape $\lambda/\mu/d$ if it is also a plane partition of shape

$$(3.2) \quad (d + \lambda_r, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)/(d + \mu_r, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$$

with multipartition given by

$$(\nu^{(r)}, \nu^{(1)}, \nu^{(2)}, \dots, \nu^{(r)}).$$

In other words, on top of (3.1) one also has the cyclic conditions


$$(3.3) \quad \nu_j^{(r)} \geq \nu_{j-\mu_1+\mu_r+d}^{(1)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_1 - \mu_r - d.$$

If one is interested in cylindric partitions of fixed shape $\lambda/\mu/d$ it is natural to further restrict the level to

$$d < \lambda_1 - \mu_r$$

to avoid (3.3) from trivialising.

The cylindric condition should really be viewed as the wrapping of the plane partition round a semi-infinite cylinder. Our earlier example of a plane partition is a non-trivial cylindric partition of shape $(7, 6, 4, 4)/(3, 1, 1, 0)/d$ on \mathbb{N} for $d = 5, 6, 7$, and a trivial one for $d \geq 8$. For example, for $d = 5$ either of the two diagrams below represents this cylindric partition:



where the blue labels on the left correspond to the profile of the cylindric partition as explained below. For this same cylindric partition represented in terms of stacked unit cubes, see Figure 1.

Let π be cylindric partition of shape $\lambda/\mu/d$ and rank r . Then the profile $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})$ of π is a sequence of r nonnegative integers that sums to d , given by

$$c_0 = d - \mu_1 + \mu_r \quad \text{and} \quad c_i = \mu_i - \mu_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r-1.$$

The profile of the cylindric partition in our example is $(2, 2, 0, 1)$.




FIGURE 1. Geometric representation of a cylindric partition, showing five of the infinitely many copies of a fundamental domain. The drift is due to the fact that $d \neq r$.

Given a cylindric partition π of rank r , its size $|\pi|$ (Gessel and Krattenthaler use the term norm) is once again defined as the sum of its entries, i.e.,

$$|\pi| = |\boldsymbol{\nu}| := \sum_{i=1}^r |\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(i)}|,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the multipartition corresponding to π . Note that this corresponds to the volume of a fundamental domain as shown in Figure 1. Also,

$$\max(\pi) := \max \{ \nu_j^{(i)} : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i - \mu_i \}$$

denotes the value of the maximal entry (or entries) of π (or the height of π in its geometric representation). The above example of a cylindric partition of shape $(7, 6, 4, 4)/(3, 1, 1, 0)/5$ has size 118, rank 4, level 5 and maximal entry 11.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of cylindric partitions of shape $\lambda/\mu/d$ on \mathcal{A} . We are interested in the generating functions

$$(3.4a) \quad \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(\mathcal{A})} z^{\max(\pi)} q^{|\pi|}$$

and

$$(3.4b) \quad \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d;n}(q; \mathcal{A}) := \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \max(\pi) \leq n}} q^{|\pi|} = \sum_{m=0}^n [z^m] \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}),$$

where $[z^m]f(z)$ stands for the coefficient of z^m in the polynomial or formal power series $f(z)$.

For later convenience we also set

$$(3.5) \quad \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}) = 0$$

if $\mu \not\subseteq \lambda$ or if λ and μ are not both partitions.

The two-variable generating function for cylindric partitions has translation symmetry

$$(3.6) \quad \text{GK}_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)/(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}) = \text{GK}_{(\lambda_1 - k, \dots, \lambda_r - k)/(\mu_1 - k, \dots, \mu_r - k)/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A})$$

for $0 \leq k \leq \mu_r$, cyclic symmetry

$$(3.7) \quad \text{GK}_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)/(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}) = \text{GK}_{(\lambda_r + d, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{r-1})/(\mu_r + d, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{r-1})/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}),$$

and conjugation symmetry [25, page 462]

$$(3.8) \quad \text{GK}_{(\lambda_1 + dL, \dots, \lambda_r + dL)/\mu/d}(z, q; \mathcal{A}) = \text{GK}_{(\lambda'_1 + rL, \dots, \lambda'_d + rL)/\mu'/r}(z, q; \mathcal{A})$$

for $\lambda, \mu \subseteq (d^r)$, $\mu', \lambda' \subseteq (r^d)$ and L a nonnegative integer. Following [23], we will refer to (3.8) as level-rank duality for cylindric partitions, in analogy with level-rank duality in representation theory.

By a special case of [25, Theorem 2] due to Gessel and Krattenthaler,

$$(3.9) \quad \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d;n}(q; \mathbb{N}_0) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \left(q^{r(d+r)\binom{k_i}{2} + (d+r)ik_i + (\mu_j - j)(rk_i + i - j)} \times \begin{bmatrix} n + \lambda_i - \mu_j - dk_i \\ \lambda_i - \mu_j - (d+r)k_i + j - i \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

Taking the large- n limit and then specialising $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_r = L \geq \mu_1$, this simplifies to [25, Theorem 5]

$$(3.10) \quad \text{GK}_{(Lr)/\mu/d}(1, q; \mathbb{N}_0) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q^{r(d+r)\binom{k_i}{2} + (di+r\mu_i)k_i}}{(q)_{L-\mu_i - (d+r)k_i + i - 1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \left(1 - q^{(d+r)(k_i - k_j) + \mu_i - \mu_j + j - i} \right).$$

Let ν and λ be partitions of length r such that $\nu \subseteq \lambda$. Any $\bar{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_{\nu/\mu/d}(\mathbb{N})$ maps to a unique $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(\mathbb{N}_0)$ such that $|\pi| = |\bar{\pi}|$ and $\max(\pi) = \max(\bar{\pi})$, by simply filling those squares of π not contained in $\bar{\pi}$ by 0 and leaving the other squares unchanged. Conversely, by deleting all squares with filling 0, any $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(\mathbb{N}_0)$ maps to a unique $\bar{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_{\nu/\mu/d}(\mathbb{N})$ for some fixed $\nu \subseteq \lambda$. Hence, if for a fixed profile $c \in \mathbb{N}_0^r$ such that $c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} = d$, we set

$$\mu(c) := (c_1 + \dots + c_{r-1}, c_2 + \dots + c_{r-1}, \dots, c_{r-1}, 0),$$

then the generating function $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$ of all cylindric partitions on \mathbb{N} of rank r , level d and profile c is given by

$$(3.11) \quad \text{GK}_c(z, q) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \supseteq \mu(c) \\ l(\lambda) = r}} \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu(c)/d}(z, q; \mathbb{N}) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \text{GK}_{(Lr)/\mu(c)/d}(z, q; \mathbb{N}_0).$$

From the cyclic symmetry (3.7),

$$(3.12) \quad \text{GK}_{(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1})}(z, q) = \text{GK}_{(c_{r-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-2})}(z, q).$$

Hence the number of inequivalent profiles $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})$ such that $c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} = d$ is given by

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{r} [x^d] \sum_{k|r} \phi(k) \left(\frac{1}{1-x^k} \right)^{r/k},$$

where ϕ is Euler's totient function.

When $z = 1$ it follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$\text{GK}_c(1, q) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^r} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r q^{r(d+r)\binom{k_i}{2} + (di+r\mu_i)k_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \left(1 - q^{(d+r)(k_i - k_j) + \mu_i - \mu_j + j - i} \right),$$

where $\mu = \mu(c)$ and $d = c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1}$. By the $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ Macdonald identity [49]

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{rk_i} q^{r\binom{k_i}{2} + ik_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - q^{k_i - k_j} x_i/x_j) = (q)_\infty^{r-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(x_i/x_j; q)$$

with $(q, x_i) \mapsto (q^{d+r}, q^{\mu_i - i})$, this yields Borodin's product formula [8]

$$(3.15) \quad \text{GK}_c(1, q) = \frac{(q^{d+r}; q^{d+r})_{\infty}^{r-1}}{(q)_{\infty}^r} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j + j - i}; q^{d+r}).$$

(The reader is referred to [16, 36, 38–40, 66] for alternative derivations and or generalisations.) Comparing this with the definition of $\text{AG}_{\lambda; r}(q)$ given in the introduction, we thus have

$$(3.16) \quad \text{GK}_{(c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})}(1, q) = \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \text{AG}_{c_0 \Lambda_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} \Lambda_{r-1}; r}(q),$$

which is (1.8).

Due to the additional reversal symmetry

$$(3.17) \quad \text{GK}_{(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{r-1})}(1, q) = \text{GK}_{(c_{r-1}, \dots, c_1, c_0)}(1, q),$$

(3.15) yields a smaller number of distinct infinite products than predicted by (3.13). For rank 3 and $d = 3k + i - 3$ with $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $k \geq 1$ (such that $d \geq 1$), the cyclic symmetry plus (3.17) leads to a total of

$$(3.18) \quad \binom{k+1}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{1}{4}(k+i-1)^2 \right\rfloor$$

distinct infinite products for the modulus $3k + i$.

For later reference we also note that the level-rank duality (3.8) implies that

$$(3.19) \quad \text{GK}_c(z, q) = \text{GK}_{c'}(z, q),$$

where $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})$ such that $c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} = d$ and $c' = (c'_0, \dots, c'_{d-1})$ such that $c'_1 + \dots + c'_{d-1} = r$ are related by $\mu(c) = (\mu(c'))'$, where $l(\mu(c)) = r$ and $l(\mu(c')) = d$. For example,

$$(3.20a) \quad \text{GK}_{(1,1,0)}(z, q) = \text{GK}_{(2,1)}(z, q)$$

since $\mu(1, 1, 0) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $\mu(2, 1) = (1, 0)$, and

$$(3.20b) \quad \text{GK}_{(2,0,0)}(z, q) = \text{GK}_{(3,0)}(z, q)$$

since $\mu(2, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\mu(3, 0) = (0, 0)$. In particular, the expression (3.13) is symmetric in d and r .

4. CONNECTIONS TO REPRESENTATION THEORY

Let \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* be the Cartan subalgebra and its dual of the affine Lie algebra $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$, with pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, see [30, 67]. As usual we use the non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{h} to identify \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}^* . For $I := \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, let $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ be the set of simple roots, $\{\Lambda_i : i \in I\}$ the set of fundamental weights and $\delta = \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$ the null root. Then $\mathfrak{h}^* = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\Lambda_0, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{r-1}\}$. By the above identification, and since we are considering $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ only, we do not need to distinguish between roots and coroots, i.e., $\langle \alpha_i, \Lambda_j \rangle = (\alpha_i | \Lambda_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$. We further denote the finite part of \mathfrak{h}^* by $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, i.e., $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\alpha_i : i \in \bar{I}\}$, where $\bar{I} := \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$. The level of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is defined as $\text{lev}(\lambda) := \langle \lambda, \delta \rangle$. In particular, $\text{lev}(\Lambda_i) = 1$ for all $i \in I$. Let P_+ and P_+^m denote the set of dominant integral weights, and level- m dominant integral weights, respectively:

$$P_+ := \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for } i \in I \} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{N}_0 \Lambda_i + \mathbb{C} \delta,$$

$$P_+^m := \{ \lambda \in P_+ : \text{lev}(\lambda) = m \},$$

where m is a nonnegative integer. Since the δ -part of P_+^m does not play a role in what follows, we will typically ignore it and parametrise weights in P_+^m as

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda = (m - \mu_1 + \mu_r) \Lambda_0 + (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \Lambda_1 + \dots + (\mu_{r-1} - \mu_r) \Lambda_{r-1},$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$ is a partition such that $\mu_1 - \mu_r \leq m$. This parametrisation only depends on the differences $\mu_i - \mu_r$ for $i \in \bar{I}$, and without loss of generality we may assume that $\mu_r = 0$. The Weyl vector ρ is defined by $\langle \rho, \alpha_i \rangle = 1$ for all $i \in I$. Although this fixes ρ modulo $\mathbb{C}\delta$, we once again ignore the δ -part and simply take $\rho = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i$. Note that $\text{lev}(\rho) = r$. Similarly, we fix the fundamental weights Λ_i for $i \in \bar{I}$ as $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_0 + \sum_{j \in \bar{I}} (\min\{i, j\} - ij/r) \alpha_j$.

For $\lambda \in P_+$, let $L(\lambda)$ be the standard module of $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ of highest weight λ with V_μ the weight-space indexed by μ in the weight-space decomposition of $L(\lambda)$. Then the character of $L(\lambda)$ is defined as

$$\text{ch } L(\lambda) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim(V_\mu) e^\mu.$$

Since $\dim(V_\mu) = 0$ if $\lambda - \mu \notin \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{N}_0 \alpha_i$,

$$e^{-\lambda} \text{ch } L(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}[[e^{-\alpha_0}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_{r-1}}]].$$

Let $W = \bar{W} \times \bar{Q}$ be the Weyl group of $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$, with $\bar{W} \cong S_r$ the classical part of W and $\bar{Q} := \sum_{i \in \bar{I}} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$. Then, by the Weyl–Kac character formula [28, 30],

$$(4.2) \quad \text{ch } L(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}}{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\text{mult}(\alpha)}},$$

where the product in the denominator is over the positive roots in the root system of $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ and $\text{mult}(\alpha)$ is the multiplicity of the root α . If $\lambda \in P_+^1$, we have the alternative simpler expression (see e.g., [29, 31] or [30, Eq. (12.13.6)]),

$$(4.3) \quad e^{-\Lambda_\ell} \text{ch } L(\Lambda_\ell) = \frac{1}{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-n\delta})^{r-1}} \sum_{\alpha \in \bar{Q}} e^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha\|^2 \delta - (\alpha | \Lambda_\ell) \delta},$$

where $\bar{Q} := \sum_{i \in \bar{I}} \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$.

Define the graded or q -dimension of $L(\lambda)$ as

$$\dim_q L(\lambda) := F_1(e^{-\lambda} \text{ch } L(\lambda)),$$

where F_1 is the principal specialisation [41]:

$$F_1 : \mathbb{C}[[e^{-\alpha_0}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_{r-1}}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[q]] \\ e^{-\alpha_i} \mapsto q \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

Then [29, 42],

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_q L(\lambda) &= \prod_{\alpha > 0} \left(\frac{1 - q^{\langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha \rangle}}{1 - q^{\langle \rho, \alpha \rangle}} \right)^{\text{mult}(\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{(q^{d+r}; q^{d+r})_{\infty}^{r-1} (q^r; q^r)_{\infty}}{(q)_{\infty}^r} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j + j - i}; q^{d+r}), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second expression on the right it is assumed that $\lambda \in P_+^d$ with λ parametrised as in (4.1) with m replaced by d . For $\lambda = \Lambda_\ell$ the above simplifies to $(q^r; q^r)_{\infty} / (q)_{\infty}$ for all $\ell \in I$. By (3.15) and (3.16) we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})}(1, q) &= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \text{AG}_{c_0 \Lambda_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} \Lambda_{r-1}; r}(q) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q)_{\infty}} \cdot \frac{\dim_q L(c_0 \Lambda_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} \Lambda_{r-1})}{\dim_q L(\Lambda_\ell)}, \end{aligned}$$

see also [23, 66].

Alternatively, we can identify $\text{GK}_{(c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})}(1, q)$ as an $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ branching function. To this end we require the characters of certain admissible representations of $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ [32, 33]. Let d, m be a pair of relatively prime integers such that $d \geq 1$ and $m + (d-1)r \geq 0$. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$ a partition such that $\mu_1 - \mu_r \leq m + (d-1)r$, let

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda(\mu; m/d) := (m/d - \mu_1 + \mu_r)\Lambda_0 + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)\Lambda_1 + \dots + (\mu_{r-1} - \mu_r)\Lambda_{r-1},$$

(so that $\text{lev}(\lambda(\mu; m/d)) = m/d$), and let $P^{m/d}$ denote the set of all such weights. For $d = 1$ this is just P_+^m but for $d \geq 2$ the weights in $P^{m/d}$ are not integral. The set $P^{m/d}$ is a subset of the set of (principal) admissible weights of $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ defined by Kac and Wakimoto in [32–34]. As follows from their work (see e.g., [32, Proposition 3] or [34, Theorem 3.1]), the generating function for cylindric partitions of rank r and level d (such that d and r are relatively prime) arises as a branching function $b_{\lambda''}^{\lambda \otimes \lambda'}(q)$ corresponding to the decomposition of $\text{ch } L(\lambda) \text{ch } L(\lambda')$ in terms of $\text{ch } L(\lambda'')$, where $\lambda \in P^{r/d-r}$, $\lambda' \in P_+^1$ and $\lambda'' \in P^{r/d-r+1}$. For the benefit of those readers not familiar with the theory of admissible representations of affine Kac–Moody algebras, we will translate the details of [32, Proposition 3] pertaining to the case of cylindric partitions and $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ Andrews–Gordon q -series into the language of formal power series. First of all we note that by setting $e^{-\alpha_i} = x_i/x_{i+1}$ for $i \in \bar{I}$ and $e^{-\delta} = q$, and by using the semi-direct product structure of W , we can write (4.2) as

$$(4.5) \quad e^{-\lambda} \text{ch } L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^{r-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (-x_j)\theta(x_i/x_j; q)} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{(m+r)k_i - \mu_i} q^{(m+r)\binom{k_i}{2}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \left((x_i q^{k_i})^{\mu_j + r - j} \right),$$

where λ is parametrised as in (4.1). Similarly, (4.3) becomes

$$(4.6) \quad e^{-\Lambda_\ell} \text{ch } L(\Lambda_\ell) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^{r-1}} \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{k_i} q^{\binom{k_i}{2} + \chi(i \leq \ell)k_i}.$$

For the characters of the admissible representations indexed by $\lambda = \Lambda(\mu; m/d) \in P^{m/d}$, the Weyl–Kac formula (4.5) generalises to

$$e^{-\lambda} \text{ch } L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(q)_\infty^{r-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (-x_j)\theta(x_i/x_j; q)} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{(m+dr)k_i - \mu_i} q^{d(m+dr)\binom{k_i}{2}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \left((x_i q^{dk_i})^{\mu_j + r - j} \right).$$

According to [32, Proposition 3] we then have the following branching rule.

Proposition 4.1 ($A_{r-1}^{(1)}$ branching formula). *Let d be a positive integer relatively prime to r , and let $\ell \in I$. Then*

$$(4.7) \quad \text{ch } L((r/d - r)\Lambda_0) \cdot \text{ch } L(\Lambda_\ell) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in P^{r/d-r+1} \\ \lambda - (r/d-r)\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_\ell \in \bar{Q}}} q^{\frac{1}{2}\|\lambda\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\Lambda_\ell\|^2} b_\lambda(q) \text{ch } L(\lambda),$$

where, assuming that λ is parametrised as in (4.4) with $m = d + r - dr$,

$$b_\lambda(q) = \frac{(q^{d+r}; q^{d+r})_\infty^{r-1}}{(q)_\infty^{r-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j + j - i}; q^{d+r}).$$

Remark 4.2. A number of comments are in order. (i) The weight $(r/d - r)\Lambda_0$ is the unique element in $P^{(1/d-1)r}$, and by the Macdonald identity (3.14),

$$e^{-(r/d-r)\Lambda_0} \operatorname{ch} L((r/d - r)\Lambda_0) = \frac{(q^d; q^d)_\infty^{r-1}}{(q)_\infty^{r-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \frac{\theta(x_i/x_j; q^d)}{\theta(x_i/x_j; q)}.$$

(ii) Up to an overall power of q , the branching function $b_\lambda(q)$ is $b_\lambda^{(r/d-r)\Lambda_0 \otimes \Lambda_0}(q)$ of [32]. In [32, Proposition 3] $b_\lambda(q)$ is not given in product form since it is stated there as special case of a more general branching rule for which the branching functions typically do not admit product forms. The product form given here once again follows from the Macdonald identity. (iii) In terms of the partition μ parametrising the elements of $P^{r/d-r+1}$, the condition $\lambda - (r/d - r)\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_\ell \in \overline{Q}$ corresponds to $|\mu| \equiv \ell \pmod{r}$. Moreover,

$$\|\lambda\|^2 - \|\Lambda_\ell\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i^2 - \frac{|\mu|^2}{r} - \frac{\ell(r - \ell)}{r}.$$

It thus follows that the identity (4.7) boils down to

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (-x_j) \theta(x_i/x_j; q^d) \sum_{\substack{k_1 + \dots + k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{k_i} q^{\binom{k_i}{2} + \chi(i \leq \ell) k_i} \\ &= \frac{(q^{d+r}; q^{d+r})_\infty^{r-1}}{(q^d; q^d)_\infty^{r-1}} \sum_{\mu} \left(q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^r (\mu_i^2 - \ell) - \frac{|\mu|^2 - \ell^2}{2r}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \theta(q^{\mu_i - \mu_j + j - i}; q^{d+r}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_1 + \dots + k_r = 0}} \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{(d+r)k_i - \chi(i \leq \ell) - \frac{|\mu| - \ell}{r}} q^{d(d+r)\binom{k_i}{2}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \left((x_i q^{dk_i})^{\mu_j + r - j} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the outer sum on the right is over partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$ such that $\mu_r = 0$, $\mu_1 \leq d$ and $|\mu| \equiv \ell \pmod{r}$. This identity, which can be proved using standard q -series methods, is true for all positive integers d and r and does not require d and r to be coprime. For $d = 1$, which fixes $\mu = (1^\ell, 0^{r-\ell})$, the above identity simplifies to the equality between (4.2) for $\lambda = \Lambda_\ell$ and (4.6).

By comparing Proposition 4.1 with (3.15) and (3.16) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{GK}_{(c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})}(1, q) &= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \operatorname{AG}_{c_0 \Lambda_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} \Lambda_{r-1}; r}(q) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} b_{(r/d - d - r + 1 + c_0) \Lambda_0 + c_1 \Lambda_1 + \dots + c_{r-1} \Lambda_{r-1}}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $d = c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1}$.

A third representation theoretic interpretation in terms of the W_r algebra of Zamolodchikov [71] and Fateev and Lukyanov [19] is very closely related to the above. It is well known that the modulus- $(2k+1)$ Andrews–Gordon q -series, $\operatorname{AG}_{k,s}(q)$, correspond to the (normalised) characters of the certain non-unitary representations of the Virasoro algebra. Specifically, let Vir denote the Virasoro algebra [18] with generators L_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), central element c (not to be confused with the profile of a cylindric partition) and commutation relations

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}.$$

Furthermore, let $\chi_{\text{Vir}(c,h)}(q) = \text{Tr } q^{L_0 - c/24}$ be the character of the highest weight representation of Vir with central charge and conformal weight given by

$$c = -\frac{2(k-1)(6k-1)}{2k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad h = -\frac{(s-1)(2k-s)}{2(2k+1)},$$

where $1 \leq s \leq k$. Then (see e.g., [12, 65])

$$\chi_{\text{Vir}(c,h)}(q) = q^{h-c/24} \text{AG}_{k,s}(q).$$

Based on a much more general character formula for W_r , see e.g., [56, 57], it was observed in [6] that the above has a direct generalisation to W_r as follows. Fix a positive integer d , relatively prime to r , and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r)$ be a partition such that $\mu_1 - \mu_r \leq d$. Let $\chi_{W_r(c,h)}(q) = \text{Tr } q^{L_0 - c/24}$ be the character of the (non-unitary) highest weight representation of W_r of central charge

$$c = -\frac{(d-1)(r-1)(d+r+dr)}{d+r}$$

and conformal weight

$$h = \frac{r}{2(d+r)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i^2 - \frac{|\mu|^2}{r} \right) - \frac{d}{d+r} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{r+1}{2} - i \right) \mu_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{W_r(c,h)}(q) &= q^{h-c/24} \text{AG}_{\lambda;r}(q) \\ &= q^{h-c/24} (q)_\infty \text{GK}_{(c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where λ is parametrised as in (1.4) and $c_i = \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle$.

5. HYPERGEOMETRIC PRELIMINARIES

In this section we prove two transformation formulas for sums over q -binomial coefficients. These transformations are needed in the proof of Theorem 2.6 for $k = 2$ and to transform the modulus-8 Andrews–Gordon identities stated in next section into the modulus-8 identities discovered previously by Corteel, Dousse and Uncu. Readers not particularly interested in identities for q -binomial coefficients and in basic hypergeometric series may wish to skip this section.

Throughout we use standard notation from the theory of basic hypergeometric functions and q -series, see e.g., [4, 24]. In general we view identities such as the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities of Theorem 1.1 from the point of view of formal power series, with q a formal variable. In some of our proofs, however, we take an analytic approach, requiring complex q such that $|q| < 1$. The q -shifted factorials $(a)_\infty$ and $(a)_n$ are defined as

$$(a)_\infty = (a; q)_\infty := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i) \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_n = (a; q)_n := \frac{(a)_\infty}{(aq^n)_\infty},$$

where n is an arbitrary integer. In particular,

$$(a)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$$

for n a nonnegative integer, and

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{(q)_n} = 0$$

for n a negative integer. We also adopt the usual condensed notation

$$(a_1, \dots, a_k)_n = (a_1)_n \cdots (a_k)_n$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. For $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ is given by

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q := \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_m (q)_{n-m}} & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The ${}_r\phi_s$ basic hypergeometric series is defined as [24]

$$(5.3) \quad {}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right] := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r)_k}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_s)_k} \left((-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \right)^{s-r+1} z^k,$$

where it is assumed that none of the b_i is of the form q^{-n} for some nonnegative integer n . The series (5.3) is said to be terminating if one of the a_i is of the form q^{-n} for n a nonnegative integer, in which case we may assume all the variables to be indeterminates. If the series is non-terminating, we typically assume that the a_i, b_j as well as z and q are complex such that $|z|, |q| < 1$.

We begin with a simple transformation formula for terminating basic hypergeometric series that we failed to find in the literature.

Lemma 5.1. *For n a nonnegative integer,*

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (c)_{2k}}{(q, aq, c/a)_k} q^k = \frac{(c)_n}{(aq^{1-n}/c)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (aq^{1-n}/c)_{2k}}{(q, aq, q^{1-n}/c)_k} q^k.$$

Note that the transformation (5.4) corresponds to the symmetry

$$(5.5) \quad f_n(a, c; q) = f_n(a, aq^{1-n}/c; q)$$

for the basic hypergeometric function

$$(5.6a) \quad f_n(a, c; q) := (aq, aq^{1-n}/c)_n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (c)_{2k}}{(q, aq, c/a)_k} q^k$$

$$(5.6b) \quad = \left(-\frac{a}{c} \right)^n q^{-\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + (1-n)k} (aq^{k+1}, cq^k/a)_{n-k} (c)_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$(c)_{2k} = (c^{1/2}, -c^{1/2}, (cq)^{1/2}, -(cq)^{1/2}),$$

(5.4) corresponds to a transformation formula for a terminating ${}_5\phi_4$ series in which two of the denominator parameters are equal to zero.

Remark 5.2. More generally we have

$$(5.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (bc)_{2k}}{(q, abq, bc/a)_k} q^k = \frac{(c)_n}{(aq^{1-n}/c)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (abq^{1-n}/c)_{2k}}{(q, abq, bq^{1-n}/c)_k} q^k,$$

provided one of a, b is equal to 1. Since our proof of the two cases is very different and we only require the $b = 1$ case, we leave the proof of (5.7) for $a = 1$ to the reader.

The two identities required in Section 6 follow from Lemma 5.1 through specialisation.

Corollary 5.3. For integers ℓ, m, n such that $0 \leq n \leq \ell$,

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n q^{(k-n)(2k+\ell+2m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - k \\ k + \ell + m - n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(2k-\ell-2m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - k \\ k - m \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n q^{(k-n)(2k+\ell+2m-n+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - k \\ k + \ell + m - n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= q^{-m-n} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(2k-\ell-2m-n+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - k \\ k - m \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (1 - q^{\ell-n}) \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(2k-\ell-2m-n-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell - k - 1 \\ k - m - 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq n \leq \ell$, both identities trivialise to $0 = 0$ unless $-\ell \leq m \leq n$, in which case each side is a (non-zero) polynomial in q .

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Since (5.4) is a transformation formula for terminating basic hypergeometric series, it suffices to give a proof for $c, q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c| < 1$ and $|q| < 1$.

Our starting point for such a proof is the transformation

$$(5.10) \quad {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, 0 \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \frac{1}{(q^{1-n}/c)_n} {}_2\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q/z \\ - \end{matrix}; q, \frac{z}{c} \right]$$

which follows by taking the $b \rightarrow 0$ limit in [24, Equation (III.8)]

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \frac{(c/b)_n}{(c)_n} b^n {}_3\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, q/z \\ bq^{1-n}/c \end{matrix}; q, \frac{z}{c} \right].$$

Let i be an arbitrary nonnegative integer. Making the substitution $(c, z) \mapsto (aq^{1-i}, q^{i+1})$ in (5.10), then multiplying both sides by $(1/a)_i/(q)_i$ and manipulating some of the q -shifted factorials, gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (q^{-k}/a)_i}{(q, aq)_k (q)_i} q^{(2i+1)k} = \frac{1}{(q^{-n}/a)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{n, i\}} \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{-n}/a)_k (q^{-(n-k)}/a)_{i-k}}{(q)_k (q)_{i-k}} a^{-k} q^{ik}.$$

Next we multiply both sides by c^i and sum i over the nonnegative integers. After an interchange in the order of the sums and a shift $i \mapsto i + k$ on the right, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k}{(q, aq)_k} q^k {}_1\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-k}/a \\ - \end{matrix}; q, cq^{2k} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(q^{-n}/a)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{-n}/a)_k}{(q)_k} \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^k q^{k^2} {}_1\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-(n-k)}/a \\ - \end{matrix}; q, cq^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $|c|, |q| < 1$. By the q -binomial theorem [24, Equation (II.3)]

$$(5.11) \quad {}_1\phi_0 \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ - \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \frac{(az)_\infty}{(z)_\infty}$$

for $|q|, |z| < 1$, both ${}_1\phi_0$ series may be evaluated, resulting in

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k}{(q, aq)_k} q^k \frac{(cq^k/a)_\infty}{(cq^{2k})_\infty} = \frac{1}{(q^{-n}/a)_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{-n}/a)_k}{(q)_k} \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^k q^{k^2} \frac{(cq^{2k-n}/a)_\infty}{(cq^k)_\infty}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $(c)_\infty/(c/a)_\infty$ and carrying out some simplification leads to

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n})_k (c)_{2k}}{(q, aq, c/a)_k} q^k = \frac{(aq/c)_n}{(aq)_n} c^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{-n}/a, c)_k}{(q)_k (cq^{-n}/a)_{2k}} \left(\frac{c}{a}\right)^k q^{k^2}.$$

Replacing $k \mapsto n - k$ on the right, and making a few more simplifications yields (5.4). \square

Proof of Corollary 5.3. Let ℓ, m be integers and n a nonnegative integer, and specialise

$$(5.12) \quad (a, c) = (q^{-\ell-1}, q^{m-n})$$

in the symmetry relation (5.5), where we assume the regularised form for $f_n(a, c; q)$ given in (5.6b). This leads to

$$(5.13) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + (1-n)k} (q^{k-\ell}, q^{k+\ell+m-n+1})_{n-k} (q^{m-n})_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ = q^{n(\ell+2m-n)} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2} + (1-n)k} (q^{k-\ell}, q^{k-m+1})_{n-k} (q^{-\ell-m})_{2k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}.$$

The reason for not directly using (5.4) is that the specialisation (5.12) gives

$$\frac{1}{(aq)_k} = \frac{1}{(q^{-\ell})_k}, \quad \frac{1}{(c/a)_k} = \frac{1}{(q^{\ell+m-n+1})_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(q^{1-n}/c)_k} = \frac{1}{(q^{-m})_k},$$

which all have the potential to lead to vanishing denominators for $1 \leq k \leq n$. If we impose the restrictions $n \leq \ell$ and $-\ell \leq m \leq n$ then (5.13) may be simplified to (5.8) by standard manipulations of q -shifted factorials. As remarked previously, both sides of (5.8) trivially vanish if $-\ell \leq m \leq n$ does not hold, so that we may again drop this restriction.

To prove (5.9), we denote the left- and right-hand side of (5.8) by $L_{\ell, m, n}(q)$ and $R_{\ell, m, n}(q)$ respectively. By

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^{-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - q^{-k} (1 - q^n) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(which holds for all $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n q^{(k-n)(2k+\ell+2m-n+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k \\ k+\ell+m-n \end{bmatrix} \\ = q^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{(k-n)(2k+\ell+2m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k \\ k+\ell+m-n \end{bmatrix} \\ - q^{-n} (1 - q^n) \sum_{k=0}^n q^{(k-n)(2k+\ell+2m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k \\ k+\ell+m-n \end{bmatrix} \\ = q^{-n} L_{\ell, m, n}(q) - q^{-n} (1 - q^n) L_{\ell-1, m+1, n-1}(q) \\ = q^{-n} R_{\ell, m, n}(q) - q^{-n} (1 - q^n) R_{\ell-1, m+1, n-1}(q) \\ = q^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(2k-\ell-2m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k \\ k-m \end{bmatrix} \\ - q^{-n} (1 - q^n) \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(2k-\ell-2m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k-1 \\ k-m-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next we use

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ell-k \\ k-m \end{bmatrix} = q^{k-m} \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k \\ k-m \end{bmatrix} + (1 - q^{\ell-k}) \begin{bmatrix} \ell-k-1 \\ k-m-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in the first sum on the right and

$$(1 - q^n) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^{n-k} (1 - q^{\ell-n}) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} - (1 - q^{\ell-k}) \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$$

in the second sum. This leads to four sums on the right, two of which cancel. The resulting identity is exactly (5.9). \square

6. THE MODULUS-8 CASE

In this section we focus on the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities for modulus 8, and the corresponding two-variable generating function for cylindric partitions of rank 3 and level 5. This is also the modulus considered by Corteel, Dousse and Uncu in [15], and we make a comparison between their identities and ours. Relating our sum sides to those in [15] is surprisingly intricate and relies on the new transformation formulas (5.8) and (5.9).

We begin by listing a total of 11 modulus-8 identities. The first six entries in our list are (1.10) and (2.1) for $k = 2$ as well as the companions of (2.1) for $k = 2$ and $s = 2$ or 3 given in Remark 2.3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(q, q, q^2, q^4, q^4, q^6, q^7, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}, \\ & \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + m_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3, q^3, q^4, q^4, q^5, q^5, q^6; q^8)_{\infty}}, \\ (6.1a) \quad & \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \end{aligned}$$

$$(6.1b) \quad \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(q, q^2, q^3, q^4, q^4, q^5, q^6, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}$$

and

$$(6.1c) \quad \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$(6.1d) \quad \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(q, q^2, q^2, q^3, q^5, q^6, q^6, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}.$$

Next, the $k = s = 2$ case of Theorem 2.4 is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \frac{1}{(q, q, q^3, q^3, q^5, q^5, q^7, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}} + \frac{q}{(q^2, q^3, q^3, q^4, q^4, q^5, q^5, q^6; q^8)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.18) with $k = 1$ and $i = 2$ there are a total of five infinite products for modulus 8, so that one product is missing from the above. By setting $z = 1$ in the identity for $\text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(z, q)$ given in Proposition 6.2 below and using

$$\text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(1; q) = \frac{1}{(q, q, q^3, q^3, q^5, q^5, q^7, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}},$$

it follows after some standard manipulations of q -binomial coefficients that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q, q, q^3, q^3, q^5, q^5, q^7, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, with a bit of work one may transform (6.1c) to yield our final two modulus-8 identities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q, q^2, q^2, q^3, q^5, q^6, q^6, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

The five distinct infinite products should be compared with the seven inequivalent profiles as counted by (3.13) for $r = 3$ and $d = 5$. For all seven of the corresponding two-variable generating functions $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$ for cylindric partitions of rank 3 and 5 there is a manifestly positive triple-sum expression, generalising some of the triple sums stated above. In the next theorem we state the first four of these results, corresponding to the $k = 2$ case of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 6.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(2,2,1)}(z, q) &= \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{GK}_{(3,2,0)}(z, q) &= \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{GK}_{(4,1,0)}(z, q) &= \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{GK}_{(5,0,0)}(z, q) &= \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + m_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining three cases we have more complicated series. To shorten the expressions below, we introduce the normalisation

$$\text{gk}_c(z, q) := (zq)_{\infty} \text{GK}_c(z, q).$$

We also recall that $1/(q)_n = 0$ when n is a negative integer.

Proposition 6.2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(z, q) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + m_1} (1 + q^{m_1 - n_1 + n_2 + 1})}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 - 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{gk}_{(4,0,1)}(z, q) \\
&= 1 + \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + m_1} (1 + q^{m_1 - n_1 + n_2 + 1})}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 - 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + n_2 - 1}}{(q)_{n_1 - 1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 - 1 \\ m_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{gk}_{(3,0,2)}(z, q) \\
&= zq + \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 - 1} (1 + q^{m_1 - n_1 + n_2 + 1})}{(q)_{n_1 - 1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 - 2 \\ m_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + 2n_1 + n_2 - 1}}{(q)_{n_1 - 1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 - 1 \\ m_1 - 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.3. Simpler expressions for $\text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(z, q)$, $\text{gk}_{(4,0,1)}(z, q)$ and $\text{gk}_{(3,0,2)}(z, q)$ may be given that are not manifestly positive. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{gk}_{(4,0,1)}(z, q) &= \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + n_2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 + 1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ (z - 1) \sum_{n_1, m_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 + n_2^2 + 2n_1 + m_1 + n_2 + 1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the equality between (6.1a) and (6.1b) this immediately implies that

$$\text{GK}_{(4,1,0)}(1, q) = \text{GK}_{(4,0,1)}(1, q).$$

As mentioned in the introduction, the expressions of Corteel, Dousse and Uncu for $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$ and the corresponding Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities for $\text{GK}_c(1, q)$ take the form of quadruple sums instead of triple sums. We will not state the complete list of seven generating functions from their paper, and instead focus our attention on the four cases related to Theorem 6.1. For the remaining three identities, see [15, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 6.4 (Corteel, Dousse, Uncu). *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{gk}_{(2,2,1)}(z, q) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2 - n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_4}}{(q; q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\text{gk}_{(4,1,0)}(z, q) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2 - n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_4 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4}}{(q; q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\text{gk}_{(5,0,0)}(z, q) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2 + n_4^2 - n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_4 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4}}{(q; q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{gk}_{(3,2,0)}(z, q) \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4+n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4+n_2} (1 + q^{n_1+n_3} + q^{2n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4})}{(q; q)_{n_1-1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 6.1 it suffices to transform each of the triple-sums for $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$ into the corresponding quadruple sum given in Theorem 6.4. We will prove two stronger results as follows.

Proposition 6.5. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.2a) \quad & \sum_{n_1, n_2, m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} w^{m_1+n_2} q^{n_1^2-n_1m_1+m_1^2+n_2^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1+n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} w^{n_2+n_3+n_4} q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.2b) \quad & \sum_{n_1, n_2, m_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} w^{m_1+n_2} q^{n_1^2-n_1m_1+m_1^2+n_2^2+m_1}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1+n_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1} w^{n_2+n_3+n_4} q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4+n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} w^{n_2+n_3+n_4} q^{n_1^2+n_2^2+n_3^2+n_4^2-n_1n_2+n_2n_4+n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1-1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (1 + wq^{n_1+n_3} + w^2q^{2n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4}) \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $w = 1$ in (6.2a) proves the equality of the two expressions for $\text{GK}_{(2,2,1)}(z, q)$, setting $w = q$ in (6.2a) proves the equality of the two expressions for $\text{GK}_{(4,1,1)}(z, q)$ and setting $w = q$ and replacing $z \mapsto zq$ in (6.2a) proves the equality of the two expressions for $\text{GK}_{(5,0,0)}(z, q)$. Finally, setting $w = 1$ in (6.2b) proves the equality of the two expressions for $\text{GK}_{(3,2,0)}(z, q)$.

Proof of Proposition 6.5. Equating coefficients of

$$\frac{z^{n_1} w^{n_2} q^{n_1^2-n_1n_2+n_2^2}}{(q)_{n_1}},$$

in (6.2a), we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m_1=0}^{n_2} q^{(m_1-n_2)(2m_1-n_1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2-m_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1+n_2-m_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{n_3, n_4=0}^{n_1} q^{n_3(n_1-2n_2+2n_3)+n_4(n_1-n_2+n_3+n_4)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2-n_3-n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2-n_3-n_4 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary nonnegative integers n_1, n_2 . Since

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 - n_3 - n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 - n_3 - n_4 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_3 \\ n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

we can carry out the sum over n_4 using the q -Chu–Vandermonde summation [24, Equation (II.7)]

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{N_1} q^{k(k+m)} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 \\ k+m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 + N_2 \\ N_1 + m \end{bmatrix}$$

with $(k, N_1, N_2, m) \mapsto (n_4, n_1, n_1 - n_3, n_1 - n_2 + n_3)$. Also replacing $m_1 \mapsto k - n_1 + n_2$ on the left and $n_3 \mapsto k$ on the right we obtain (5.8) (with $(\ell, m, n) = (2n_1, n_2 - 2n_1, n_1)$), completing the proof of (6.2a).

To prove (6.2b) we proceed in the exact same manner as before, which leaves us to show the following somewhat unwieldy polynomial identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_1=0}^{n_2} q^{(m_1-n_2)(2m_1-n_1)+m_1} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 - m_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 + n_2 - m_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= q^{n_1} \sum_{n_3, n_4=0}^{n_1} q^{\varphi_{1,0}(n_1, n_2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_3 \\ n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (1 - q^{n_1}) q^{n_2} \sum_{n_3, n_4=0}^{n_1-1} q^{\varphi_{-1,-1}(n_1, n_2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_3 - 1 \\ n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (1 - q^{n_1}) q^{2n_1 - n_2} \sum_{n_3, n_4=0}^{n_1-1} q^{\varphi_{2,0}(n_1, n_2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_3 - 1 \\ n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (1 - q^{n_1}) q^{4n_1 - 2n_2} \sum_{n_3, n_4=0}^{n_1-1} q^{\varphi_{3,1}(n_1, n_2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_3 - 1 \\ n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ n_4 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_{a,b}(n_1, n_2) := n_3(n_1 - 2n_2 + 2n_3 + a) + n_4(n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + b).$$

To obtain the above identity we have not just extracted coefficients of $z^{n_1} w^{n_2} q^{n_1^2 - n_1 n_2 + n_2} / (q)_{n_1}$ as before, but also applied (6.3) (and its variants obtained by shifting $n_1 \mapsto n_1 - 1$ or $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto (n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$ or $(n_1, n_2) \mapsto (n_1 - 1, n_2 - 2)$) to rewrite the products of q -binomial coefficients on the right. In all four double sums on the right the sum over n_4 can be carried out by the q -Chu–Vandermonde summation (6.4). Also replacing $m_1 \mapsto k - n_1 + n_2$ on the left and $n_3 \mapsto k$ on the right, this results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} q^{(k-n_1)(2k-3n_1+2n_2+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1 - k \\ k - n_1 + n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= q^{n_1 - n_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1 - k \\ k + 2n_1 - n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (1 - q^{n_1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1 - k - 2 \\ k + 2n_1 - n_2 - 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (1 - q^{n_1}) q^{2n_1 - 2n_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2+2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - 1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1 - k - 2 \\ k + 2n_1 - n_2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ (1 - q^{n_1})q^{4n_1-3n_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2+3)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-2 \\ k+2n_1-n_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Denote the four terms on the right by s_1, \dots, s_4 respectively. By

$$(6.5) \quad q^n \begin{bmatrix} n+m \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n+m \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n+m+1 \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, -1)\},$$

with $(n, m) = (k+2n_1-n_2, n_2-2k-2)$ it follows that

$$s_3 + s_4 = (1 - q^{n_1})q^{2n_1-2n_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2+2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-1 \\ k+2n_1-n_2 \end{bmatrix} =: s'_3.$$

Note that the potentially problematic case $(n, m) = (k+2n_1-n_2, n_2-2k-2) = (0, -1)$ does not arise since it implies $k = 2n_1 - 1$ which would give $\begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ 2n_1-1 \end{bmatrix} = 0$. Next we use

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{bmatrix} n+m-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix} + q^{n-m-1} \begin{bmatrix} n+m \\ n \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} n+m \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix} + q^{n-m-1} \begin{bmatrix} n+m-1 \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0), (1, -1)\},$$

with $(n, m) = (k+2n_1-n_2, n_2-2k-1)$ to find that

$$(6.7) \quad s_2 + s'_3 = (1 - q^{n_1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-1 \\ k+2n_1-n_2-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ + (1 - q^{n_1})q^{2n_1-2n_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2+2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-2 \\ k+2n_1-n_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again the two exceptional cases in (6.6) do not pose a problem as they both correspond to $k = 2n_1 - 2$. If we now replace $k \mapsto k - 1$ in the second sum on the right of (6.7) we get

$$s_2 + s'_3 = (1 - q^{n_1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1-1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-1 \\ k+2n_1-n_2-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ + (1 - q^{n_1})q^{n_1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2-2)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-1 \\ k+2n_1-n_2-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ = (1 - q^{n_1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-1 \\ k+2n_1-n_2-1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the second equality follows from (6.5) with $(n, m) = (n_1 - k, k - 1)$. The upshot of the above manipulations is that it only remains to be shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_1} q^{(k-n_1)(2k-3n_1+2n_2+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k \\ k-n_1+n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ = q^{n_1-n_2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k \\ k+2n_1-n_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ + (1 - q^{n_1}) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} q^{k(2k+n_1-2n_2-1)} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_1-k-1 \\ k+2n_1-n_2-1 \end{bmatrix},$$

for integers n_1, n_2 . Since this is (5.9) with $(\ell, m, n) = (2n_1, n_2 - 2n_1, n_1)$ we are done. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.2. We can either proceed as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 or, more simply, use the three functional equations [15, Equations (3.17)–(3.19)]

$$(6.8a) \quad \text{gk}_{(4,0,1)}(z, q) = \text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(zq; q) + zq \text{gk}_{(4,1,0)}(zq^2; q)$$

$$(6.8b) \quad \text{gk}_{(3,0,2)}(z, q) = \text{gk}_{(2,2,1)}(zq; q) + zq \text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(zq^2; q) + zq^2 \text{gk}_{(4,1,0)}(zq^3; q)$$

$$(6.8c) \quad \text{gk}_{(3,2,0)}(z, q) = \text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(zq; q) + zq \text{gk}_{(2,2,1)}(zq^2; q) \\ + zq^2 \text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(zq^3; q) + zq^3 \text{gk}_{(4,1,0)}(zq^4; q).$$

Given $\text{gk}_{(4,1,0)}(z, q)$, $\text{gk}_{(3,2,0)}(z, q)$ and $\text{gk}_{(2,2,1)}(z, q)$, these three equations uniquely determine $\text{gk}_{(4,0,1)}(z, q)$, $\text{gk}_{(3,0,2)}(z, q)$ and $\text{gk}_{(3,1,1)}(z, q)$. Substituting the expressions for the six generating functions in question, as given by Theorem 6.1 and (the as yet to be proven) Proposition 6.2, it immediately follows that (6.8) holds, thus proving the proposition. \square

Remark 6.6. With a bit of extra work one can show that the seven generating functions given by Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.2 satisfy the full set of functional equations obtained in [15]. Together with some simple initial conditions this provides a proof of the theorem and proposition independent of Theorem 6.4, and hence provides a non-computer assisted proof. The proof of Theorem 6.4 given in [15] heavily uses the Mathematica packages `qFunctions` [1] and `HolonomicFunctions` [37].

7. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

7.1. Proofs of Theorems 1.1, 2.2, 2.4, 2.9 and 2.10. In this section we prove the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities claimed in the introduction and in Section 2. In each case, our starting point is one of the Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities of Andrews, Schilling and the author, which were proved in [6] using an A_2 analogue of the Bailey lemma. (See also [69] for a proof based on Hall–Littlewood polynomials). We also present conditional proofs of Conjectures 2.1 and 2.8 for all s .

7.1.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We begin by defining $F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(a)}(z, q) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[z]$ as

$$(7.1) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(a)}(z, q) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1}}{(q)_{n_k + m_k}} \prod_{i=1}^k q^{n_i^2 - \sigma_i n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{i-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where n_0, m_0 are nonnegative integers, k is a positive integer, $a \in \{-1, 1\}$ and

$$(7.2) \quad (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1}, \sigma_k) := (1, \dots, 1, a).$$

If we further define

$$F_k^{(a)}(z; q) := \lim_{n_0, m_0 \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(a)}(z; q),$$

then, according to [6, Equations (5.22)&(5.28), $i = k$],

$$F_k^{(a)}(1; q) = \frac{(q^{3k+a+3}; q^{3k+a+3})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \theta(q^{k+1}, q^{k+1}, q^{k+a+1}; q^{3k+a+3}).$$

Comparing this with (1.10) and (1.11) (with $k \mapsto k+1$ in the latter), we must thus show that

$$F_k^{(-1)}(1; q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{q^{n_k^2}}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$, and

$$F_k^{(1)}(1; q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2)}}{(q)_{n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_k \\ m_k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Instead we will prove the following stronger result.

Proposition 7.1. *For a positive integer k and nonnegative integers n_0, m_0 ,*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(-1)}(z, q) &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(1)}(z, q) &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2)}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_k \\ m_k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

A crucial ingredient in the proof of Proposition 7.1 is the next lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *For k a positive integer, m_0 a nonnegative integer and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ such that*

$$(7.3) \quad u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots \leq u_{k+1},$$

define

$$(7.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{m_0; u}(q) := \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k m_i(m_i + u_i)}}{(q)_{m_k + u_{k+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} m_{i-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, for $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$,

$$(7.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_{m_0; u}(q) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k m_i(m_i + u_i)}}{(q)_{m_\ell + m_{\ell+1} + u_{\ell+1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \begin{bmatrix} m_{i-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=\ell+1}^k \begin{bmatrix} m_{i+1} + u_{i+1} - u_i \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where $m_{k+1} := 0$.

Note that if $u_{\ell+1}$ is a negative integer then the summand of (7.5) vanishes unless $m_\ell + m_{\ell+1} \geq -u_{\ell+1}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on ℓ , with base case $\ell = k$ corresponding to (7.4).

For the induction step we begin by replacing $z \mapsto z/b$ in Heine's ${}_2\phi_1$ transformation [24, Equation (III.2)]

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \frac{(c/b, bz)_\infty}{(c, z)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} abz/c, b \\ bz \end{matrix}; q, \frac{c}{b} \right] \quad \text{for } |q|, |z|, |c/b| < 1,$$

and then letting b tend to infinity. This yields the ${}_1\phi_1$ transformation

$${}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a \\ c \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \frac{(z)_\infty}{(c)_\infty} {}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} az/c \\ z \end{matrix}; q, c \right] \quad \text{for } |q| < 1,$$

which we write in regularised form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-z)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(a)_k (cq^k)_{\infty}}{(q)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-c)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{(az/c)_k (zq^k)_{\infty}}{(q)_k}.$$

This allows us to specialise $(a, c, z) = (q^{-(n_2-p)}, q^{n_1+1}, q^{n_2+1})$, where n_1, n_2, p are arbitrary integers. Imposing the conditions

$$(7.6) \quad \min\{n_1, n_2\} \geq p,$$

the resulting transformation can be expressed using q -binomial coefficients as⁵

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{q^{m(m+p)}}{(q)_{m+n_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_2 - p \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{q^{m(m+p)}}{(q)_{m+n_2}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - p \\ m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now assume that (7.5) holds for some fixed $1 \leq \ell \leq k$. Applying (7.7) with

$$(m, n_1, n_2, p) = (m_{\ell}, m_{\ell+1} + r_{\ell+1}, m_{\ell-1} + u_{\ell}, u_{\ell})$$

results in

$$(7.8) \quad \mathcal{F}_{m_0;u}(q) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k m_i(m_i+u_i)}}{(q)_{m_{\ell-1}+m_{\ell}+u_{\ell}}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \begin{bmatrix} m_{i-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=\ell}^k \begin{bmatrix} m_{i+1} + u_{i+1} - u_i \\ m_i \end{bmatrix}.$$

The conditions (7.6) translate to

$$m_{\ell+1} + u_{\ell+1} \geq u_{\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\ell-1} \geq 0,$$

which are both satisfied since $u_{\ell+1} \geq u_{\ell}$. Since (7.8) is (7.5) with ℓ replaced by $\ell - 1$ our proof is done. \square

Equipped with Lemma 7.2, the proof of Proposition 7.1 is not difficult.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. Comparing (7.1) with (7.4), we have

$$(7.9) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(a)}(z, q) = \sum_{n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 0} z^{n_1} \mathcal{F}_{m_0;u}(q) \prod_{i=1}^k q^{n_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$u = (-\sigma_1 n_1, \dots, -\sigma_k n_k, n_k) = (-n_1, \dots, -n_{k-1}, -a n_k, n_k).$$

Since (7.3) holds for $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 0$, we can apply Lemma 7.2 with $\ell = 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{F}_{m_0;u}(q) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k m_i(m_i - \sigma_i n_i)}}{(q)_{m_0 - \sigma_1 n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} (a+1)n_k \\ m_k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - \sigma_{i+1} n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

and thus

$$F_{n_0, m_0; k}^{(a)}(z, q) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i^2 - \sigma_i n_i m_i + m_i^2)}}{(q)_{m_0 - \sigma_1 n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} (1+a)n_k \\ m_k \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - \sigma_{i+1} n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

⁵The transformation (7.7) also holds if (7.6) is replaced by $p > n_1 + n_2$. Since both sides trivialise to zero we ignore this second case.

When $a = -1$ (so that $\sigma_k = -1$ and $\sigma_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i < k$) the term $\begin{bmatrix} (1+a)n_k \\ m_k \end{bmatrix}$ in the summand forces $m_k = 0$, resulting in the first claim of the proposition. When $a = 1$ (so that $\sigma_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$) the second claim follows. \square

7.1.2. *Proof of Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 2.9 and 2.10.* We apply the same method used to prove Theorem 1.1 to prove Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 2.9 and 2.10. In fact we will do more, and also give a conditional proof of Conjectures 2.1 and 2.8, assuming an identity that is missing from [6] but probably should have been in that paper.⁶

Define $F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(a)}(z, q) \in \mathbb{Q}(q)[z]$ as

$$(7.10) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(a)}(z, q) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \frac{z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=s}^k n_i + \sum_{i=t}^k m_i}}{(q)_{n_k + m_k + 1}} \prod_{i=1}^k q^{n_i^2 - \sigma_i n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_{i-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where k, s, t are positive integers such that $1 \leq s, t \leq k+1$, n_0, m_0 are nonnegative integers, $a \in \{-1, 1\}$ and the σ_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are again fixed as in (7.2). The following proposition, which complements Proposition 7.1, lies at the heart of each of the proofs given below.

Proposition 7.3. *Let k, s, t be positive integers and n_0, m_0 nonnegative integers. If $1 \leq s \leq k+1$ and $1 \leq t \leq k$, then*

$$(7.11) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(-1)}(z, q) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i + \sum_{i=t}^{k-1} m_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1 + \delta_{t,1}}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i, t-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

and if $1 \leq s \leq k$, $t = k+1$ and $k \geq 2$, then

$$(7.12) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, k+1}^{(-1)}(z, q) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 - n_k + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i + \delta_{i, k-1} \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where $m_k := 2n_k$ in both (7.11) and (7.12). Similarly, if $1 \leq s \leq k+1$ and $1 \leq t \leq k+1$, then

$$(7.13) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(1)}(z, q) \\ = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2) + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i + \sum_{i=t}^k m_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1 + \delta_{t,1}}} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_k + \delta_{t, k+1} \\ m_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i, t-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

⁶The third author of [6] takes full responsibility for the omission and hopes to prove Conjecture 7.4 in a future publication.

Proof. Recalling (7.4), we have

$$(7.14) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(a)}(z, q) = \sum_{n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 0} z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=s}^k n_i} \mathcal{F}_{m_0; u}(q) \prod_{i=1}^k q^{n_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ is given by

$$u = \left(\underbrace{-\sigma_1 n_1, \dots, -\sigma_{t-1} n_{t-1}}_{t-1 \text{ terms}}, \underbrace{1 - \sigma_t n_t, \dots, 1 - \sigma_k n_k}_{k-t+1 \text{ terms}}, 1 + n_k \right).$$

Since for $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 0$ the inequalities (7.3) hold, we may apply Lemma 7.2 with $\ell = 0$. Hence

$$(7.15) \quad F_{m_0; u}(z, q) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0} \left(\frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k m_i(m_i - \sigma_i n_i) + \sum_{i=t}^k m_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - \sigma_1 n_1 + m_1 + \delta_{t,1}}} \times \begin{bmatrix} (a+1)n_k + \delta_{t, k+1} \\ m_k \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - \sigma_i n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i, t-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

If $a = -1$ and $1 \leq t \leq k$ then the summand vanishes unless $m_k = 0$. Substituting the resulting expression for $F_{m_0; u}(q)$ into (7.14) yields (7.11). If $a = 1$, so that $\sigma_i = 1$ for all i , the substitution of (7.15) into (7.14) immediately gives (7.13). The case requiring more work corresponds to $a = -1$ and $t = k + 1$. Then the summand of (7.15) vanishes unless $m_k = 0$ or $m_k = 1$, so that

$$F_{m_0; u}(z, q) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i(m_i - n_i)}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \\ + \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} m_i(m_i - n_i)}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1 + \delta_{k,1}}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i, k-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where now $m_k := 2n_k$. Substituting this into (7.14) gives

$$F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, k+1}^{(-1)}(z, q) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \left. \right) \\ + \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 + n_k + 1 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1 + \delta_{k,1}}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} + \delta_{i, k-1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \left. \right).$$

Assuming $1 \leq s \leq k$ and $k \geq 2$, and replacing $n_k \mapsto n_k - 1$ (so that also $m_k \mapsto m_k - 2$) in the second multiset on the right, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, k+1}^{(-1)}(z, q) &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} n_{k-1} \\ n_k \end{bmatrix} + q^{-n_k} \begin{bmatrix} n_{k-1} \\ n_k - 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \left. \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_{k-1} \geq 0}} \left(\frac{z^{n_1} q^{n_k^2 - n_k + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{m_0 - n_1 + m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_{k-1} + 1 \\ n_k \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} \left. \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the final equality follows from (6.5) with $(n, m) = (n_k, n_{k-1} - n_k)$. \square

First we use Proposition 7.3 to prove Theorems 2.2 and 2.9, and to give a conditional proof of Conjectures 2.1 and 2.8. Our starting point is the aforementioned identity missing from [6].

Conjecture 7.4. *For integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k+1$ and $a \in \{-1, 1\}$, let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ be fixed as in (7.2). Then*

$$(7.16) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0 \\ m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 0}} \frac{q^{\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i^2 - \sigma_i n_i m_i + m_i^2 + m_i) + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i}}{(q)_{n_1} (q)_{m_1} (q)_{n_k + m_k + 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m_i \\ m_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{(q^{3k+a+3}; q^{3k+a+3})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+a+3}).$$

Proposition 7.5. *Conjecture 7.4 holds for $s \in \{1, k, k+1\}$.*

Proof. Let $a = -1$. Then the $s = 1$ case is [6, Equation (5.28), $i = 1$], the $s = k$ case is [6, Equation (5.29), $\sigma = 1$] and the $s = k+1$ case is [6, Equation (5.29), $\sigma = 0$]. Next let $a = 1$. Then the $s = 1$ case is [6, Equation (5.22), $i = 1$], the $s = k$ case is [6, Equation (5.23), $\sigma = 1$] and the $s = k+1$ case is [6, Equation (5.23), $\sigma = 0$]. \square

If we define

$$F_{k,s,t}^{(a)}(z; q) := \lim_{n_0, m_0 \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(a)}(z; q),$$

then (7.16) can be stated succinctly as

$$(7.17) \quad F_{k,s,1}^{(a)}(1, q) = \frac{(q^{3k+a+3}; q^{3k+a+3})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+a+3}).$$

Taking the large- n_0, m_0 limit of (7.11) and (7.13) for $z = t = 1$ results in Conjecture 2.1 and Conjecture 2.8 (with $k \mapsto k+1$) respectively. Assuming $s \in \{1, k, k+1\}$ this proves Theorems 2.2 and 2.9.

Next we apply Proposition 7.3 to also prove Theorems 2.4 and 2.10. First we note that according to [6, Theorem 5.5] and [6, Theorem 5.7] (with $k \mapsto k+1$)

$$F_{k,s,s}^{(a)}(1; q) = \frac{(q^{3k+a+3}; q^{3k+a+3})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \sum_{i=1}^s q^{s-i} \theta(q^i, q^i, q^{2i}; q^{3k+a+3})$$

for integers k, s such that $1 \leq s \leq k$. Hence, taking the large- n_0, m_0 limit of (7.11) and (7.13) for $z = 1$ and $t = s$, yields Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.10 (with $k \mapsto k + 1$).

7.1.3. *Proof of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7).* In this (sub)section we prove (or conditionally prove) the identities (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7). As alluded to in Remark 2.3, the idea is it to take advantage of the near-symmetry exhibited by (7.16). In particular, we exploit the fact that from (7.10) it follows that

$$(7.18) \quad F_{n_0, m_0; k, s, t}^{(a)}(1, q) = F_{m_0, n_0; k, t, s}^{(a)}(1, q)$$

but that this symmetry is not at all manifest in Proposition 7.3. In fact, we only require (7.18) in the limit of large n_0 and m_0 :

$$F_{k, s, t}^{(a)}(1, q) = F_{k, t, s}^{(a)}(1, q).$$

By (7.17) this implies

$$(7.19) \quad F_{k, 1, s}^{(a)}(1, q) = \frac{(q^{3k+a+3}; q^{3k+a+3})_{\infty}^2}{(q)_{\infty}^3} \theta(q, q^s, q^{s+1}; q^{3k+a+3}).$$

Letting n_0, m_0 tend to infinity in the $(s, t, z) \mapsto (1, s, 1)$ case of (7.11) and equating the resulting multisum for $F_{k, 1, s}^{(-1)}(1, q)$ with (7.19) yields (2.2). Similarly, letting n_0, m_0 tend to infinity in the $(s, z) = (1, 1)$ case of (7.12) and equating the resulting multisum for $F_{k, 1, k+1}^{(-1)}(1, q)$ with (7.19) yields (2.3) for $k \geq 2$. The missing $k = 1$ case of (2.3) simply corresponds to (2.1) for $(k, s) = (1, 2)$. Finally, letting n_0, m_0 tend to infinity in the $(s, t, z) \mapsto (1, s, 1)$ case of (7.13) and equating the resulting multisum for $F_{k, 1, s}^{(1)}(1, q)$ with (7.19) yields (2.7).

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.6 for $k = 1$.** To shorten some of our expressions, throughout this section we write $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}$, $\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q)$ and $\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d; n}(q)$ instead of $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(\mathbb{N}_0)$, $\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q; \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d; n}(q; \mathbb{N}_0)$.

The $k = 1$ case of Theorem 2.6 is given by

$$(7.20) \quad \text{GK}_{(a+1, 1-a, 0)}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{n(n+a)}}{(q)_n}$$

for $a \in \{0, 1\}$. This is an immediate consequence of the level-rank duality (3.20) and the rank-2 identity [15, page 6]

$$(7.21) \quad \text{GK}_{(a+2, 1-a)}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n q^{n(n+a)}}{(q)_n}.$$

Below we present an alternative proof based on a bounded analogue of (7.20).

Proposition 7.6. *For L a nonnegative integer and $a \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$(7.22) \quad \text{GK}_{(L+1, L, L)/(1-a, 0, 0)/2}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_{3L+a}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{n(n+a)} \begin{bmatrix} 3L - n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Letting L tend to infinity using (3.11) yields (7.20). We remark that it is an open problem to find the bounded analogues of Theorem 2.6 for $k = 2$ and (which should be easier) Conjecture 2.11 for $k = 2$, i.e., [17, Theorem 3.2].

Our first step in proving Proposition 7.6 is to again use level-rank duality; (7.22) is implied by the following rank-2 identity.

Proposition 7.7. For L a nonnegative integer and $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$(7.23) \quad \text{GK}_{(L+b+1, L)/(1-a, 0)/3}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_{2L+a+b}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{n(n+a)} \begin{bmatrix} 2L+b-n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Replacing $L \mapsto 3L + b$ in (7.23) and applying (3.8) with $r = 2$, $d = 3$, $\lambda = (2b + 1, b)$, $\lambda' = (b + 1, b, b)$, $\mu = (1 - a, 0)$ and $\mu' = (1 - a, 0, 0)$ yields (7.22) with $L \mapsto 2L + b$.

Remark 7.8. More generally it may be shown that for integers b, L, k, s such that $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $L \geq 0$ and $1 \leq s \leq k$,

$$(7.24) \quad \text{GK}_{(L+b+k-1, L)/(s-1, 0)/2k-1}(z, q) \\ = \frac{1}{(zq)_N} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=s}^{k-1} n_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} q^{n_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} N - n_i - n_{i+1} - \varphi_{i-s+1} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j \\ n_i - n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $n_k := 0$, $N := 2L + b + k - s$ and $\varphi_i := \max\{0, i\}$. Using (3.4b), (3.9) and

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n_0} [z^m] \left(\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n_1}}{(zq)_N} f_{n_1}(q) \right) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{n_0} \begin{bmatrix} N + n_0 - n_1 \\ n_0 - n_1 \end{bmatrix} f_{n_1}(q),$$

it follows from (7.24) that

$$\text{GK}_{(L+b+k-1, L)/(s-1, 0)/2k-1; n_0}(q) \\ = \sum_{j=-n_0}^{n_0} \left((-1)^j q^{(2k+1)\binom{j}{2} + js} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 + \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(N + (2k-1)j - k + s) \rfloor \\ n_0 - j \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} n_0 + \lceil \frac{1}{2}(N - (2k-1)j + k - s) \rceil \\ n_0 + j \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i^2 + \sum_{i=s}^{k-1} n_i} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_0 + N - n_i - n_{i+1} - \varphi_{i-s+1} - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} n_j \\ n_i - n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $k = 1$ and even N , the is equivalent to Burge's doubly-bounded analogue of Euler's pentagonal number theorem [11, page 216]. Similarly, the case of odd N is equivalent to Burge's second analogue of the pentagonal number theorem [11, page 221]. For $k = 2$ and even N , the above corresponds to identities for the generating functions $P(N, M, 2, 3, 1, 1)$ and $P(N, M, 1, 4, 1, 1)$ of partition pairs (Burge's notation and terminology) [11, page 218], which may be viewed as doubly-bounded analogues of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities (1.1). For $k \geq 3$ the above result corresponds to doubly-bounded analogues of the Andrews–Gordon identities, which for even N are implicit in [22].

As an even level counterpart of (7.24), it may further be shown that for integers L, k, s such that $L \geq 0$ and $1 \leq s \leq k + 1$,

$$(7.25) \quad \text{GK}_{(L+k, L)/(s-1, 0)/2k}(z, q) = \frac{1}{(zq)_N} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \left(z^{n_1} q^{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i} \begin{bmatrix} L - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} n_j \\ n_k \end{bmatrix} \right)^2 \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} N - n_i - n_{i+1} - \varphi_{i-s+1} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j \\ n_i - n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where, now, $N := 2L + k - s + 1$. As per the above, this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{GK}_{(L+k,L)/(s-1,0)/2k;n_0}(q) \\ &= \sum_{j=-n_0}^{n_0} (-1)^j q^{2(k+1)\binom{j}{2}+js} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 + kj + \frac{1}{2}(N - k + s - 1) \\ n_0 - j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 - kj + \frac{1}{2}(N + k - s + 1) \\ n_0 + j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \left(q^{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i^2 + \sum_{i=s}^k n_i} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \begin{bmatrix} N + 2n_0 - n_i - n_{i+1} - \varphi_{i-s+1} - 2\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} n_j \\ n_i - n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} n_0 + \frac{1}{2}(N - k + s - 1) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} n_j \\ n_k \end{bmatrix}_{q^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 0$ (and hence $s = 1$ and N even) this is equivalent to an identity for $P(N, M, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ due to Burge [11, page 217]. For $k = 1$ and $s = 2$ (and hence N even) the above corresponds to Burge's identity for $P(N, M, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ [11, page 218], and for $k \geq 2$ the above gives doubly-bounded analogues of Bressoud's Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities for even moduli [9, 10].

Proof of Proposition 7.7. Our proof is a bounded version of the proof of (7.21) given in [15]. The latter is based on a system of functional equations for $\text{GK}_c(z, q)$ with fixed level $d := c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1}$, due to Corteel and Welsh [17, Proposition 3.1]. Below we consider a bounded version of these functional equations, and although much of what we do can be generalised to arbitrary shapes $\lambda/\mu/d$, we restrict considerations to the rank-2 case, which is the only case we know how to solve.

Let

$$\mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(m) := \{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d} : \max(\pi) = m\}$$


and

$$\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d;m}(q) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(m)} q^{|\pi|}.$$

Then

$$\text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d}(z, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} z^m \text{GK}_{\lambda/\mu/d;m}(q).$$

For integers d, i, j such that $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d + j$ and $d, i \geq 1$, let $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(1,0)/d}(m)$. By adding a box with filling $n \geq m$ in the first row of π as in



(where $m = \max\{\nu_2, \tau_1\}$) we obtain a cylindric partition in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(0,0)/d}(n)$. Since every cylindric partition in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(0,0)/d}(n)$ is uniquely obtained in this way from a cylindric partition in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(1,0)/d}(m)$ for some m , it follows that

$$\text{GK}_{(i,j)/(0,0)/d;n}(q) = q^n \sum_{m=0}^n \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(1,0)/d;m}(q).$$

Multiplying both sides by z^n and summing over n , this gives

$$(7.26) \quad \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(0,0)/d}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(i,j)/(1,0)/d}(zq; q)}{1 - zq}.$$

Next, for integers d, i, j, s such that $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d+j$ and $d, i \geq s \geq 2$, let $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s,0)/d}(m)$. Adding a box with filling n in the first row of π as in

$$(7.27) \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow d \longrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} \tau_1 & & & & & \tau_j \\ & \nu_{s'} & & & & \nu_i \\ \tau_1 & & \tau_s & & & \tau_j \end{array} \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow d \longrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} \tau_1 & & & & & \tau_j \\ & n \nu_{s'} & & & & \nu_i \\ \tau_1 & & \tau_s & & & \tau_j \end{array} \end{array}$$

where $s' := s + 1$ and $m = \max\{\nu_{s'}, \tau_1\}$, yields a cylindric partition in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d}(n)$. Similarly, adding a box with filling n in the second row of a cylindric partition in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s-1,1)/d}(m)$ (with $j \geq 1$) as in

$$(7.28) \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow d \longrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} \tau_2 & & & & & \tau_j \\ & \nu_s & & & & \nu_i \\ \tau_2 & \tau_s & & & & \tau_j \end{array} \quad \mapsto \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow d \longrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} n \tau_2 & & & & & \tau_j \\ & \nu_s & & & & \nu_i \\ n \tau_2 & \tau_s & & & & \tau_j \end{array} \end{array}$$

(where $m = \nu_s$) once again yields a cylindric partition in $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d}(n)$. Clearly, those cylindric partitions in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d}(n)$ of the form

$$(7.29) \quad \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow d \longrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} n \tau_2 & & & & & \tau_j \\ & n \nu_{s'} & & & & \nu_i \\ n \tau_2 & \tau_s & & & & \tau_j \end{array} \end{array}$$

will be generated twice (take $\tau_1 = n$ in (7.27) and $\nu_s = n$ in (7.28)), and a correction is required to avoid double counting. Hence

$$(7.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d;n}(q) &= q^n \sum_{m=0}^n \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s,0)/d;m}(q) + q^n \sum_{m=0}^n \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s-1,1)/d;m}(q) \\ &\quad - q^{2n} \sum_{m=0}^n \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s,1)/d;m}(q). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, by adding a box with filling $n \geq m$ to both rows of a cylindric partition

$$\begin{array}{c} \leftarrow d \longrightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} \tau_2 & & & & & \tau_j \\ & \nu_{s'} & & & & \nu_i \\ \tau_2 & \tau_s & & & & \tau_j \end{array} \quad (m := \max\{\nu_{s'}, \tau_s\}) \end{array}$$

in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s,1)/d}(m)$ results in a cylindric partition in $\mathcal{C}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d}(n)$ of the form (7.29). Multiplying both sides of (7.30) by z^n and summing over n gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d}(z, q) &= \frac{\text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s,0)/d}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} \\ &\quad + \frac{\text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s-1,1)/d}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s,1)/d}(zq^2, q)}{1 - zq^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we recall that by (3.5) the last two terms on the right vanish unless $j \geq 1$. Applying the translation symmetry (3.6) to the second and third term on the right yields

$$(7.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s-1,0)/d}(z, q) &= \frac{\text{GK}_{(i,j)/(s,0)/d}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} \\ &\quad + \frac{\text{GK}_{(i-1,j-1)/(s-2,0)/d}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(i-1,j-1)/(s-1,0)/d}(zq^2, q)}{1 - zq^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The integer s in (7.31) is restricted to $2 \leq s \leq d$, but by the cyclic symmetry we can in fact limit the range of s to $2 \leq s \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1$. To this end we take (7.31) with $s = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1$ and

apply the cyclic symmetry (3.7) followed by the translation symmetry (3.6) to the first term on the right. This leads to

$$(7.32) \quad \text{GK}_{(i,j)/(\lfloor d/2 \rfloor, 0)/d}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(j+\lceil d/2 \rceil-1, i-\lfloor d/2 \rfloor-1)/(\lceil d/2 \rceil-1, 0)/d}(zq, q)}{1-zq} \\ + \frac{\text{GK}_{(i-1, j-1)/(\lfloor d/2 \rfloor-1, 0)/d}(zq, q)}{1-zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(i-1, j-1)/(\lfloor d/2 \rfloor, 0)/d}(zq^2, q)}{1-zq^2}.$$

Depending on the parity of d , (7.26), (7.31) for $2 \leq s \leq \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1$ and (7.32) yield a closed system of equations. In particular, for $d = 2k - 1$ and $(i, j) = (L + k + b - 1, L)$, with $b \in \{0, 1\}$ and L a nonnegative integer, the following system of equations (subject to the initial conditions $\text{GK}_{(b+k-2, -1)/(s-1, 0)/2k-1}(z, q) = 0$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$ and $\text{GK}_{(k-1, 0)/(k-1, 0)/2k-1}(z, q) = 1$) uniquely determines $\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-1, L)/(s-1, 0)/2k-1}(z, q)$ for all $b \in \{0, 1\}$, $1 \leq s \leq k$ and $L \geq 0$:

$$\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-1, L)/(0, 0)/2k-1}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-1, L)/(1, 0)/2k-1}(zq; q)}{1-zq}$$

and

$$\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-1, L)/(s-1, 0)/2k-1}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-1, L)/(s, 0)/2k-1}(q)(zq, q)}{1-zq} \\ + \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-2, L-1)/(s-2, 0)/2k-1}(zq, q)}{1-zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-2, L-1)/(s-1, 0)/2k-1}(zq^2, q)}{1-zq^2},$$

for $2 \leq s \leq k - 1$, and

$$\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-1, L)/(k-1, 0)/2k-1}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k-1, L+b-1)/(k-1, 0)/2k-1}(zq, q)}{1-zq} \\ + \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-2, L-1)/(k-2, 0)/2k-1}(zq, q)}{1-zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k+b-2, L-1)/(k-1, 0)/2k-1}(zq^2, q)}{1-zq^2},$$

where in the last equation $L \geq 1 - b$. One can show, using telescopic expansions for q -binomial coefficients in the spirit of [7, 62], that the above system of equations is solved by (7.24). Restricting to the $k = 2$ case, we get the following bounded analogues of [15, Equations (2.4)&(2.5)]:

$$(7.33) \quad \text{GK}_{(L+b+1, L)/(0, 0)/3}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+b+1, L)/(1, 0)/3}(zq; q)}{1-zq},$$

for $L \geq 0$, and

$$\text{GK}_{(L+b+1, L)/(1, 0)/3}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+1, L+b-1)/(1, 0)/3}(zq, q)}{1-zq} + \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+b, L-1)/(0, 0)/3}(zq, q)}{1-zq} \\ - \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+b, L-1)/(1, 0)/3}(zq^2, q)}{1-zq^2},$$

for $L \geq 1 - b$. Using the first equation to eliminate $\text{GK}_{(L+b, L-1)/(0, 0)/3}(zq, q)$ from the second equation, we further obtain the a bounded analogue of [15, Equation (2.6)]:

$$(7.34) \quad \text{GK}_{(L+b+1, L)/(1, 0)/3}(z, q) \\ = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+1, L+b-1)/(1, 0)/3}(zq, q)}{1-zq} + \frac{zq \text{GK}_{(L+b, L-1)/(1, 0)/3}(zq^2, q)}{(1-zq)(1-zq^2)}.$$

Together with the initial conditions

$$(7.35) \quad \text{GK}_{(1, -1)/(1, 0)/3}(z, q) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{GK}_{(1, 0)/(1, 0)/3}(z, q) = 1$$

this uniquely determines $\text{GK}_{(L+b+1, L)/(1, 0)/3}(z, q)$ for all $L \geq 0$ and $b \in \{0, 1\}$. Since for negative integers values of L the right-hand side of (7.23) trivially vanishes, the claim of Proposition 7.7

holds for all integers L . Assuming this extended range of L , (7.23) for $a = 0$ satisfies the initial conditions (7.35). Moreover, substituting the $a = 0$ case of (7.23) into (7.34) and clearing common denominators yields (after replacing $2L + 1 - b$ by m)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{n^2} \begin{bmatrix} m - n + 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n q^{n^2+n} \begin{bmatrix} m - n \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n+1} q^{(n+1)^2} \begin{bmatrix} m - n - 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix}$$

for m a nonnegative integer. This polynomial identity is readily proved by substituting $n \mapsto n - 1$ in the second sum on the right and by then applying the q -binomial recurrence

$$q^n \begin{bmatrix} m - n \\ n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} m - n \\ n - 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m - n + 1 \\ n \end{bmatrix}$$

for $m, n \geq 0$.

To complete the proof of Proposition 7.7 we note that the $a = 1$ case of the proposition is a direct consequence of the $a = 0$ case and (7.33).

For completeness we mention that the system of equations (7.26), (7.31) and (7.32) for $d = 2k$ is closed for $(i, j) = (L+k, L)$ for L a nonnegative integer, eliminating the need for the parameter b . In this even- d case we thus get

$$\text{GK}_{(L+k,L)/(0,0)/2k}(z, q) = \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k,L)/(1,0)/2k}(zq; q)}{1 - zq}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(L+k,L)/(s-1,0)/2k}(z, q) &= \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k,L)/(s,0)/2k}(q)(zq, q)}{1 - zq} \\ &+ \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k-1,L-1)/(s-2,0)/2k}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k-1,L-1)/(s-1,0)/2k}(zq^2, q)}{1 - zq^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq s \leq k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GK}_{(L+k,L)/(k,0)/2k}(z, q) &= \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k-1,L-1)/(k-1,0)/2k}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} \\ &+ \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k-1,L-1)/(k-1,0)/2k}(zq, q)}{1 - zq} - \frac{\text{GK}_{(L+k-1,L-1)/(k,0)/d}(zq^2, q)}{1 - zq^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation $L \geq 1$. It is again not difficult to show that this system of equations is solved by (7.25). \square

8. TOWARDS THE ANDREWS–GORDON IDENTITIES FOR A_{r-1}

An important open problem is to find the A_{r-1} (or $A_{r-1}^{(1)}$) Rogers–Ramanujan and Andrews–Gordon identities for arbitrary rank r . By level-rank duality, a number of low-level results for A_{r-1} are implied by those for $r = 2$ and $r = 3$. Specifically, the classical or A_1 Andrews–Gordon identities (1.2) for modulus $2k + 1$ imply identities for A_{2k-2} at level 2, and the A_2 Andrews–Gordon identities for modulus $3k + \sigma + 1$ ($\sigma = 0, 1$) imply identities for $A_{3k+\sigma-3}$ at level 3. However, to be able to see the full A_{r-1} -structure of the sum-side of an arbitrary-level A_{r-1} Andrews–Gordon identity one needs at least $r - 1$ summation variables, and none of the available low-level identities suffices.

At the opposite end of the spectrum are the q -series identities corresponding to the infinite-level limit of the A_{r-1} Andrews–Gordon identities. Before considering the case of arbitrary rank, we briefly discuss this limit for $r = 2$ and $r = 3$.

In the case of $r = 2$ we take the large- k limit of the Andrews–Gordon identity (1.2) for $s = k$ and $s = 1$. The resulting pair of identities are the $z = 1$ and $z = q$ instances of

$$(8.1) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots \geq 0} \frac{1}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i \geq 1} z^{n_i} q^{n_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(zq)_\infty}.$$

This is the $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the rational function identity

$$(8.2) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots = 0}^{n_0} \prod_{i \geq 1} z^{n_i} q^{n_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(zq)_{n_0}},$$

where n_0 is a nonnegative integer. The easiest way to understand this last identity is to note that the terminating form of the ${}_1\phi_1$ summation [24, Equation (II.5)] may be written as

$$(8.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a^k q^{k^2}}{(aq)_k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(aq)_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Hence, if

$$\Phi_n(z; q) := \frac{1}{(zq)_n}$$

for n a nonnegative integer, then

$$(8.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} z^n q^{n^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n \end{bmatrix} \Phi_n(z; q) = \Phi_{n_0}(z; q).$$

Iterating (8.4) yields

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k = 0}^{n_0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k z^{n_i} q^{n_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \right) \Phi_{n_k}(z; q) = \Phi_{n_0}(z; q),$$

from which (8.2) follows by letting k tend to infinity.

Next let $r = 3$. Then the large- k limit of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 2.2 for $s = 1$ or $s = k + 1$ and Theorem 2.9 for $s = 1$ or $s = k$ all follow from

$$(8.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, \dots \geq 0 \\ m_1, m_2, \dots \geq 0}} \frac{1}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i \geq 1} z^{n_i - m_i} w^{m_i} q^{n_i^2 - m_i n_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(zq)_\infty (wq)_\infty}.$$

Again this is the $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ limit of a rational function identity:

$$(8.6) \quad \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, \dots \geq 0 \\ m_1, m_2, \dots \geq 0}} \prod_{i \geq 1} z^{n_i - m_i} w^{m_i} q^{n_i^2 - m_i n_i + m_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} \\ n_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_{i-1} - n_i + m_i \\ m_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \frac{1}{(zq)_{n_0 - m_0} (wq)_{n_0}} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ m_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for n_0, m_0 nonnegative integers. Defining

$$\Phi_{n,m}(z, w; q) := \frac{1}{(zq)_{n-m} (wq)_n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix},$$

the identity (8.6) is a consequence of the following A_2 -analogue of (8.4):

$$(8.7) \quad \sum_{0 \leq m \leq n \leq n_0} z^{n-m} w^m q^{n^2 - mn + m^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_0 - n + m \\ m_0 \end{bmatrix} \Phi_{n,m}(z, w; q) = \Phi_{n_0, m_0}(z, w; q).$$

It is not difficult to generalise (8.4) and (8.7) to A_{r-1} and to then use this to prove the A_{r-1} analogues of (8.1) and (8.5). First we prove an A_{r-1} rational function identity.

Proposition 8.1. *Let n_1, \dots, n_{r-1} be integers such that*

$$(8.8) \quad 0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_{r-1}.$$

Then

$$(8.9) \quad \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{r-1} \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{z_i^{m_i - m_{i+1}} q^{m_i^2 - m_i m_{i+1}}}{(z_i q)_{m_i - m_{i+1}}} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - m_1 + m_i \\ m_i - m_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(z_i q)_{n_i}},$$

where $m_r := 0$.

As follows from the proof, the condition (8.8) is necessary. We also note that if $C = (C_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{r-1}$ is the Cartan matrix of A_{r-1} , i.e.,

$$C_{ij} = 2\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j-1},$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (m_i^2 - m_i m_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{r-1} m_i C_{ij} m_j,$$

where, as in the theorem, $m_r := 0$. Finally, if $\varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q)$ denotes either side of (8.9), then

$$\varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^{A_r}(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, 0, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_r; q) = \varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_{j-1}, n_{j+1}, \dots, n_r}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{j-1}, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_r; q).$$

Proof of Proposition (8.1). Assume that (8.8) holds, and denote the left-hand side of (8.9) by $\varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q)$. Clearly,

$$(8.10) \quad \varphi_{n_1}^{A_1}(z_1; q) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{n_1} \frac{z_1^{m_1} q^{m_1^2}}{(z_1 q)_{m_1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(z_1 q)_{n_1}}$$

by (8.3). Now assume that $r \geq 2$ and replace $m_i \mapsto m_i + m_r$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ in the expression for $\varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^{A_r}$. Then

$$(8.11) \quad \varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^{A_r}(z_1, \dots, z_r; q) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{r-1} \geq 0} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{z_i^{m_i - m_{i+1}} q^{m_i^2 - m_i m_{i+1}}}{(z_i q)_{m_i - m_{i+1}}} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - m_1 + m_i \\ m_i - m_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{1}{(z_r q)_{m_1}} \phi_{n_r - m_1}^{A_1}(z_r q^{m_1}; q) \right),$$

where, now, $m_r := 0$. We would like to use (8.10) (or, equivalently (8.3)) to simplify the second line on the right of (8.11) to

$$\frac{1}{(z_r q)_{m_1} (z_r q^{m_1+1})_{n_r - m_1}} = \frac{1}{(z_r q)_{n_r}},$$

resulting in

$$(8.12) \quad \varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_r}^{A_r}(z_1, \dots, z_r; q) = \frac{1}{(z q)_{n_r}} \varphi_{n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q).$$

Caution is required, however, since (8.3) is false if n is a negative integer, with the left-but not the right-hand side vanishing for such n . We thus need to verify that the summand in (8.11) still vanishes for $m_1 > n_r$ after replacing the second line by $1/(z_r q)_{n_r}$. To this end we note that the q -binomial coefficient corresponding to the $i = r-1$ term in the product is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n_{r-1} - m_1 + m_{r-1} \\ m_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The summand thus vanishes if $m_1 > n_{r-1}$. Since $n_{r-1} \leq n_r$ this implies the desired vanishing for $m_1 > n_r$, so that the recursion relation (8.12) is valid. By induction this completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to state the A_{r-1} -analogue of (8.4) and (8.7). Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_{r-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{r-1}$, and define the rational function

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) := \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(z_i q)_{n_1 - n_{i+1}}} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $n_r := 0$. Further define the polynomial

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) := \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} z_i^{m_i - m_{i+1}} q^{m_i^2 - m_i m_{i+1}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_{i+1} - m_1 + m_i \\ n_i - n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $n_r = m_r := 0$.

Theorem 8.2. For $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{r-1}$,

$$(8.13) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) \Phi_{\mathbf{m}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) = \Phi_{\mathbf{n}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q),$$

where \mathbf{m} is summed over \mathbb{N}_0^{r-1} .

Proof. In (8.9) we carry out the simultaneous substitutions

$$(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{r-1}) \mapsto (n_1 - n_2, n_1 - n_3, \dots, n_1 - n_r),$$

where $n_r := 0$. Then

$$\sum_{m_1, \dots, m_{r-1} \geq 0} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{z_i^{m_i - m_{i+1}} q^{m_i^2 - m_i m_{i+1}}}{(z_i q)_{m_1 - m_{i+1}}} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_{i+1} - m_1 + m_i \\ m_i - m_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(z_i q)_{n_1 - n_{i+1}}},$$

where $n_r = m_r := 0$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 0$. Multiplying both sides by

$$(8.14) \quad \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and using that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_{i+1} - m_1 + m_i \\ m_i - m_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \begin{bmatrix} m_i \\ m_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_{i+1} - m_1 + m_i \\ n_i - n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

yields (8.13). Since (8.14) vanishes unless $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 0$ holds, the claim is true for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{r-1}$. \square

Iterating (8.13) immediately leads to

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{n}^{(k)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{n}^{(i-1)}, \mathbf{n}^{(i)}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{n}^{(k)}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) = \Phi_{\mathbf{n}^{(0)}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q)$$

for k a positive integer. Taking the large- k limit this yields

$$\sum_{\mathbf{n}^{(1)}, \mathbf{n}^{(2)}, \dots} \prod_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{n}^{(i-1)}, \mathbf{n}^{(i)}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q) = \Phi_{\mathbf{n}^{(0)}}^{A_{r-1}}(z_1, \dots, z_{r-1}; q),$$

generalising (8.2) and (8.6). As a final step we let $n_1^{(0)}$ tend to infinity, resulting in our final theorem.

Theorem 8.3. *We have*

$$\sum \frac{1}{(q)_{n_1^{(1)}}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} z_j^{n_j^{(i)} - n_{j+1}^{(i)}} q^{n_j^{(i)} (n_j^{(i)} - n_{j+1}^{(i)})} \right. \\ \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} n_1^{(i)} \\ n_1^{(i+1)} \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=2}^{r-1} \begin{bmatrix} n_1^{(i)} - n_{j+1}^{(i)} - n_1^{(i+1)} + n_j^{(i+1)} \\ n_j^{(i)} - n_{j+1}^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(z_j q)_\infty},$$

where the sum is over nonnegative integers $n_j^{(i)}$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r-1$, and where $n_r^{(1)} = n_r^{(2)} = \dots := 0$.

For $z_1 = \dots = z_{r-1} = 1$ this should correspond to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{AG}_{k\Lambda_0 + \dots + k\Lambda_{r-2} + (k-1)\Lambda_{r-1}; r}(q) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{AG}_{k\Lambda_0 + (k-1)\Lambda_1 + \dots + (k-1)\Lambda_{r-1}; r}(q).$$

For example, if for $r = 4$ we define

$$S_k(q) := \text{AG}_{k\Lambda_0 + k\Lambda_1 + k\Lambda_2 + (k-1)\Lambda_3; 4}(q) \\ = \frac{(q^{4k+3}; q^{4k+3})_\infty^3}{(q)_\infty^3} \theta(q^k, q^{k+1}, q^{k+1}, q^{k+1}, q^{2k+1}, q^{2k+1}; q^{4k+3})$$

and let $S_\infty(q) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k(q)$, then we have the two extremal cases

$$S_1(q) = \sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2 - nm + m^2}}{(q)_n} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$S_\infty(q) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, \dots \geq 0 \\ m_1, m_2, \dots \geq 0 \\ p_1, p_2, \dots \geq 0}} \frac{1}{(q)_{n_1}} \prod_{i \geq 1} \left(q^{n_i^2 - n_i m_i + m_i^2 - m_i p_i + p_i^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_i \\ n_{i+1} \end{bmatrix} \right. \\ \left. \times \begin{bmatrix} n_i - p_i - n_{i+1} + m_{i+1} \\ m_i - p_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_i - n_{i+1} + p_{i+1} \\ p_i \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

It is not yet clear to us how to interpolate between these two results, but one further piece of the puzzle follows from the generalisation of Conjecture 2.7 to arbitrary rank r . Recall that by Kummer's theorem $(d+r)/\gcd(d, r)$ divides $\binom{d+r}{r}$.

Conjecture 8.4. *Fix $d, r \geq 1$ and let $c = (c_0, \dots, c_{r-1})$ be a profile of level d , i.e., $c_0 + \dots + c_{r-1} = d$. Then the formal power series $Q_{n,c}(q)$ defined in (2.4) is a polynomial in q with nonnegative coefficients, such that*

$$Q_{n,c}(1) = \left(\frac{\gcd(d, r)}{d+r} \binom{d+r}{r} - \gcd(d, r) \right)^n.$$

This Conjecture was also proposed by Corteel [14], and the polynomiality and value at 1 have once again been established by Welsh [70]. We in particular have

$$S_2(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{n,(2,2,2,1)}(q)}{(q)_n},$$

where $Q_{n,(2,2,2,1)}(1) = 29^n$. For small n the polynomial $Q_{n,(2,2,2,1)}(q)$ can be computed explicitly, and the first few polynomials are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{0,(2,2,2,1)}(q) &= 1, \\ Q_{1,(2,2,2,1)}(q) &= q(3 + 5q + 5q^2 + 5q^3 + 4q^4 + 3q^5 + 2q^6 + q^7 + q^8), \\ Q_{2,(2,2,2,1)}(q) &= q^3(3 + 12q + 20q^2 + 32q^3 + 39q^4 + 49q^5 + 52q^6 + 57q^7 + 56q^8 + 58q^9 + 53q^{10} \\ &\quad + 53q^{11} + 48q^{12} + 46q^{13} + 39q^{14} + 38q^{15} + 31q^{16} + 29q^{17} + 24q^{18} + 21q^{19} \\ &\quad + 16q^{20} + 16q^{21} + 11q^{22} + 10q^{23} + 7q^{24} + 6q^{25} + 4q^{26} + 4q^{27} + 2q^{28} \\ &\quad + 2q^{29} + q^{30} + q^{31} + q^{33}) \\ &= 3q^3 + 12q + \dots + q^{34} + q^{36}. \end{aligned}$$

This rules out that $Q_{n_1,(2,2,2,1)}(q)$ is of the form

$$Q_{n_1,(2,2,2,1)}(q) = \sum_{n_2, m_1, m_2, p_1 \geq 0} \left(q^{n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 - m_1 p_1 + p_1^2 + n_2^2 - n_2 m_2 + m_2^2} \times \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2n_2 \\ m_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 - n_2 - p_1 + m_2 \\ m_1 - p_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ p_1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

since, regardless of the precise form of $\begin{bmatrix} \dots \\ p_1 \end{bmatrix}$,

$$n_1^2 - n_1 m_1 + m_1^2 - m_1 p_1 + p_1^2 + n_2^2 - n_2 m_2 + m_2^2 \Big|_{n_1=2} \neq 36$$

for any $n_2, m_1, m_2, p_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n_2 \leq 2$, $m_2 \leq 2n_2$, $m_1 \geq p_1$ and $2 - n_2 + m_2 \geq m_1$.

Note added. Recently Kanade and Russell [35, Conjecture 5.1] further generalised Conjecture 7.4, proposing a multisum expression for

$$\frac{(q^K; q^K)_\infty^2}{(q)_\infty^3} \theta(q^r, q^s, q^{r+s}; q^K)$$

for all $1 \leq r, s \leq \lfloor (K+1)/3 \rfloor$. Their conjecture also implies generalisations of Conjectures 2.5 and 2.11, extending both to a much larger set of profiles of level $3k-1$ and $3k-2$ respectively while also allowing for profiles of level $3k$.

Acknowledgements. Helpful discussions with Sylvie Corteel, Ali Uncu and Trevor Welsh are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ablinger and A. K. Uncu, *qFunctions — a Mathematica package for q-series and partition theory applications*, J. Symbolic Comput. **107** (2021), 145–166.
- [2] A. K. Agarwal, G. E. Andrews and D. M. Bressoud, *The Bailey lattice*, J. Ind. Math. Soc. **51** (1987), 57–73.
- [3] G. E. Andrews, *An analytic generalization of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities for odd moduli*, Prod. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **71** (1974), 4082–4085.
- [4] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1976.
- [5] G. E. Andrews, *Multiple series Rogers–Ramanujan type identities*, Pacific J. Math. **114** (1984), 267–283.
- [6] G. E. Andrews, A. Schilling and S. O. Warnaar, *An A_2 Bailey lemma and Rogers–Ramanujan-type identities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), 677–702.
- [7] A. Berkovich, *Fermionic counting of RSOS states and Virasoro character formulas for the unitary minimal series $M(\nu, \nu+1)$: exact results*, Nuclear Phys. B **431** (1994), 315–348.
- [8] A. Borodin, *Periodic Schur process and cylindrical partitions*, Duke Math. J. **140** (2007), 391–468.
- [9] D. M. Bressoud, *An analytic generalization of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities with interpretation*, Quart. J. Maths. Oxford (2) **31** (1980), 385–399.

- [10] D. M. Bressoud, *Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (1980), 1–54.
- [11] W. H. Burge, *Restricted partition pairs*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **63** (1993), 210–222.
- [12] S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, *The Rogers–Selberg recursions, the Gordon–Andrews identities and intertwining operators*, *Ramanujan J.* **12** (2006), 379–397.
- [13] S. Corteel, *Rogers–Ramanujan identities and the Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), 2011–2022.
- [14] S. Corteel, unpublished.
- [15] S. Corteel, J. Dousse and A. K. Uncu, *Cylindric partitions and some new A_2 Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (2022), 481–497.
- [16] S. Corteel, C. Savelief and M. Vuletić, *Plane overpartitions and cylindric partitions*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 1239–1269.
- [17] S. Corteel and T. A. Welsh, *The A_2 Rogers–Ramanujan identities revisited*, *Ann. Comb.* **23** (2019), 683–694.
- [18] F. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, *Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [19] V. A. Fateev and S. L. Lykhanov, *The models of two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory with Z_n symmetry*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **3** (1988), 507–520.
- [20] B. Feigin, O. Foda and T. A. Welsh, *Andrews–Gordon type identities from combinations of Virasoro characters*, *Ramanujan J.* **17** (2008), 33–52.
- [21] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Coinvariants of nilpotent subalgebras of the Virasoro algebra and partition identities*, in *I. M. Gel'fand Seminar*, pp. 139–148, *Adv. Soviet Math.*, 16, Part 1, AMS, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [22] O. Foda, K. S. M. Lee and T. A. Welsh, *A Burge tree of Virasoro-type polynomial identities*, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **13** (1998), 4967–5012.
- [23] O. Foda and T. A. Welsh, *Cylindric partitions, W_r characters and the Andrews–Gordon–Bressoud identities*, *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016), 164004, 37 pp.
- [24] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, second edition, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [25] I. M. Gessel and C. Krattenthaler, *Cylindric partitions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 429–479.
- [26] B. Gordon, *A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961), 393–399.
- [27] M. J. Griffin, K. Ono and S. O. Warnaar, *A framework of Rogers–Ramanujan identities and their arithmetic properties*, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), 1475–1527.
- [28] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and the Dedekind η -function*, *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen* **8** (1974), 77–78.
- [29] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional algebras, Dedekind's η -function, classical Möbius function and the very strange formula*, *Adv. in Math.* **30** (1978), 85–136.
- [30] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [31] V. G. Kac and D. H. Peterson, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms*, *Adv. in Math.* **53** (1984), 125–264.
- [32] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Modular invariant representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **85** (1988), 4956–4960.
- [33] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras*, in *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups*, pp. 138–177, *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.* 7, World Scientific Publishing, Teaneck, NJ, 1989.
- [34] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Branching functions for winding subalgebras and tensor products*, *Acta Appl. Math.* **21** (1990), 3–39.
- [35] S. Kanade and M. C. Russell, *Completing the A_2 Andrews–Schilling–Warnaar identities*, arXiv:2203.05690, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, to appear.
- [36] S. Koshida, *Free field theory and observables of periodic Macdonald processes*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **182** (2021), Paper No. 105473, 42 pp.
- [37] C. Koutschan, *A fast approach to creative telescoping*, *Math. Comput. Sci.* **4** (2010), 259–266.
- [38] C. Krattenthaler, *Alternative proof of a proposition on cylindric partitions by Alexei Borodin*, <https://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~kratt/papers.html>, unpublished manuscript, 2008, 3pp.
- [39] R. Langer, *Enumeration of cylindric plane partitions*, *DMTC Proc. AR* (2012) 793–804.
- [40] R. Langer, *Enumeration of cylindric plane partitions – part II*, arXiv:1209.1807.
- [41] J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Verma modules, loop space cohomology and Macdonald-type identities*, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **12** (1979), 169–234.
- [42] J. Lepowsky, *Affine Lie algebras and combinatorial identities*, in *Lie algebras and related topics*, pp. 130–156, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* No. 933, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1982.

- [43] J. Lepowsky and S. Milne, *Lie algebras and classical partition identities*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **75** (1978), 578–579.
- [44] J. Lepowsky and S. Milne, *Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities*, Adv. in Math. **29** (1978), 15–59.
- [45] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, *The Rogers–Ramanujan identities: Lie theoretic interpretation and proof*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **78** (1981), 699–701.
- [46] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, *A new family of algebras underlying the Rogers–Ramanujan identities and generalizations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **78** (1981), 7254–7258.
- [47] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, *A Lie theoretic interpretation and proof of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, Adv. in Math. **45** (1982), 21–72.
- [48] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, *The structure of standard modules. I. Universal algebras and the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, Inv. Math. **77** (1984), 199–290.
- [49] I. G. Macdonald, *Affine root systems and Dedekind’s η -function*, Inv. Math. **15** (1972), 91–143.
- [50] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, second edition, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [51] P. A. MacMahon, *Memoir on the theory of the partition of numbers — Part I*, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **187** (1897), 619–673.
- [52] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory analysis, Vol. I & II*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004.
- [53] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ and combinatorial identities*, Adv. in Math. **64** (1987), 177–240.
- [54] K. C. Misra, *Realization of the level two standard $sl(2k+1, \mathbb{C})^\sim$ -modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **316** (1989), 295–309.
- [55] K. C. Misra, *Realization of the level one standard \tilde{C}_{2k+1} -modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **321** (1990), 483–504.
- [56] S. Mizoguchi, *The structure of representations for the W_3 minimal model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **6** (1991), 133–162.
- [57] T. Nakanishi, *Non-unitary minimal models and RSOS models*, Nucl. Phys. B **334** (1990), 745–766.
- [58] P. Paule, *On identities of the Roger–Ramanujan type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **107** (1985), 225–284.
- [59] L. J. Rogers, *Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **25** (1894), 318–343.
- [60] L. J. Rogers, *On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **16** (1917), 315–336.
- [61] L. J. Rogers and S. Ramanujan, *Proof of certain identities in combinatory analysis*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **19** (1919), 211–216.
- [62] A. Schilling, *Multinomials and polynomial bosonic forms for the branching functions of the $\widehat{su}(2)_M \times \widehat{su}(2)_N / \widehat{su}(2)_{M+N}$ conformal coset models*, Nuclear Phys. B **467** (1996), 247–271.
- [63] I. J. Schur, *Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie und zur Theorie der Kettenbrüche*, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl. (1917), 302–321.
- [64] A. V. Sills, *An invitation to the Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 2018.
- [65] A. V. Stoyanovsky and B. L. Feigin, *Functional models of the representations of current algebras, and semi-infinite Schubert cells*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **28** (1994), 55–72.
- [66] P. Tingley, *Three combinatorial models for \widehat{sl}_n crystals, with applications to cylindric plane partitions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, Art. ID rnm143, 40 pp.
- [67] M. Wakimoto, *Lectures on Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebra*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [68] S. O. Warnaar *50 Years of Bailey’s lemma*, in *Algebraic Combinatorics and Applications*, pp. 333–347, A. Betten et al. eds., Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [69] S. O. Warnaar, *Hall–Littlewood functions and the A_2 Rogers–Ramanujan identities*, Adv. Math. **200** (2006), 403–434.
- [70] T. A. Welsh, unpublished.
- [71] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite additional symmetries in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory*, Teo. Mat. Fiz. **65** (1985), 347–359.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, BRISBANE, QLD 4072, AUSTRALIA
 Email address: o.warnaar@maths.uq.edu.au