

# A RANDOM GRAPH

**The construction.** A random (undirected) graph with  $n$  vertices is constructed in the following way: pairs of vertices are selected one at a time in such a way that each pair has the same probability of being selected on any given occasion, and, each selection is made independently of previous selections. If the vertex pair  $\{x, y\}$  is selected, then an edge is constructed which connects  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Are multiple edges possible?** In my model, *yes!* For example, if the vertex pair  $\{x, y\}$  were to be selected  $k$  times, there would be  $k$  edges connecting  $x$  and  $y$ : a *multiple edge* contributing  $\binom{k}{2}$  *cycles of length 2*.

# ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR

Suppose that  $m$  edges have been selected. We shall be concerned with the behaviour of the graph in the limit as  $n$  and  $m$  become large, but in such a way that  $m = O(n)$ .

**The problem.** Our problem is to determine the limiting probability that the graph is acyclic.

**Motivation.** Havas and Majewski\* present an algorithm for *minimal perfect hashing* (used for memory-efficient storage and fast retrieval of items from static sets) based on this random graph. Their algorithm is optimal when the graph is acyclic.

\*[HM] Havas, G. and Majewski, B.S. (1992), *Optimal algorithms for minimal perfect hashing*, Technical Report No. 234, Key Centre for Software Technology, Department of Computer Science, The University of Queensland.

## WHY ACYCLIC?

Consider a set  $W$  of  $m$  words (or keys). Every bijection  $h : W \rightarrow I$ , where  $I = \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ , is called a *minimal perfect hash function*. HM find hash functions of the form

$$h(w) = (g(f_1(w)) + g(f_2(w))) \bmod m;$$

$f_1, f_2$  map keys to integers (they identify the pair of vertices of the graph corresponding to the edge  $w$ ) and  $g$  maps integers to  $I$ .

Given  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , can  $g$  be chosen so that  $h$  is a bijection?

If the graph is acyclic then, yes, it is easy to construct  $g$  from  $h$ . Traverse the graph: if vertex  $w$  is reached from vertex  $u$  then set

$$g(w) = (h(e) - g(u)) \bmod m,$$

where  $e = (u, w)$ .

## EFFICIENCY

HM's algorithm generates  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  at random until an acyclic graph is found:

$$f_k(w) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{|w|} T_k(i)w[i] \right) \bmod m,$$

where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are tables of random integers and  $w[i]$  denotes the  $i$ -th character (an integer) of key  $i$ .

The efficiency of the algorithm is determined by the probability  $p^{(n)}$  that the graph is acyclic: the expected number of iterations needed to find an acyclic graph will be  $1/p^{(n)}$  (typically between 2 and 3).

## EVALUATING $p^{(n)}$

**Theorem.** If  $n$  and  $m$  tend to  $\infty$  in such a way that  $m \sim cn$ , where  $c$  is a positive constant, the limiting probability  $p$  that the graph is acyclic is given by

$$p = \begin{cases} e^c \sqrt{1 - 2c} & \text{if } 0 < c < 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{if } c \geq 1/2 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* On request. It uses results from [HM] and Erdős and Renyi\*.

\*[ER] Erdős, P. and Renyi, A. (1960). On the evolution of random graphs. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.* **5**, 17–61.

## SKETCH PROOF

Let  $X_k^{(n)}$  be the number of cycles of length  $k$  and let  $p_k^{(n)} = \Pr(X_k^{(n)} = 0)$ . Following [HM] write

$$p^{(n)} = \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} p_k^{(n)}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Now let  $q_k^{(n)} = -\log p_k^{(n)}$ , so that  $0 \leq q_k^{(n)} < \infty$  and

$$p^{(n)} = \exp\left(-\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q_k^{(n)}\right), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

ER show that the distribution of  $X_k^{(n)}$  is asymptotically Poisson: in particular,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_k^{(n)} = e^{-\lambda_k}, \quad \text{where } \lambda_k = (2c)^k / 2k.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_k^{(n)} = -\log \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_k^{(n)} \right) = \lambda_k.$$

So, formally,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q_k^{(n)} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_k^{(n)} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k,$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{(n)} = e^{-\lambda}, \text{ where } \lambda = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k. \quad (1)$$

By Fatou's Lemma, we always have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q_k^{(n)} \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_k^{(n)} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k,$$

from which it follows immediately that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{(n)} \leq e^{-\lambda};$$

this argument is valid even if the sum in (??) is divergent. We deduce immediately that if  $c \geq 1/2$ ,  $p^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$ .

When  $c < 1/2$ , we have  $0 < \lambda_k < 1$  and

$$\lambda = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k = -c + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1}{1-2c} \right).$$

From Markov's inequality we have  $\Pr(X_k^{(n)} \geq 1) \leq \mathbb{E}X_k^{(n)}$  and so  $p_k^{(n)} = \Pr(X_k^{(n)} = 0) \geq 1 - \mathbb{E}X_k^{(n)}$ . By Lemma 2 of [HM], we have, for each fixed  $k \geq 2$ , that  $\mathbb{E}X_k^{(n)} \uparrow \lambda_k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, for each  $k \geq 2$ , the sequence  $\{\mathbb{E}X_k^{(n)}\}$  is bounded above by  $\lambda_k$ . It follows that  $\{q_k^{(n)}\}$  is bounded above by  $d_k := -\log(1 - \lambda_k)$ . Further, since  $\lambda_k < 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k = -\log \left( \prod_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda_k) \right) < \infty.$$

Thus, by Dominated Convergence, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q_k^{(n)} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_k^{(n)} = \lambda,$$

and, hence,  $p^{(n)} \rightarrow e^{-\lambda}$ .



# **THE FIVE STAGES OF EVOLUTION**

## PRIMORDIAL STEW: $m(n) = o(n)$

If  $m(n)/n \rightarrow 0$ , then (with limiting probability 1) *all components are trees*.

Trees of order  $k$  appear when  $m$  reaches order  $n^{(k-2)/(k-1)}$ . In particular,  $T_k$ , the number of trees of order  $k$ , has a (limiting) Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda_k = (2\rho)^{k-1} k^{k-2}/k!$ , where

$$\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(n) n^{(k-1)/(k-2)}.$$

Finally, if  $m(n) n^{(k-1)/(k-2)} \rightarrow \infty$ , the number of trees of order  $k$  is asymptotically normally distributed with mean and variance equal to

$$\mu_n = n \frac{k^{k-2}}{k!} \left( \frac{2m(n)}{n} \right)^{k-1} e^{-2km(n)/n}.$$

To be precise,  $(T_k - \mu_n)/\sqrt{\mu_n} \Rightarrow N(0, 1)$ . This result holds in the next two stages of evolution; we only require  $\mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**SPOOKY:**  $m(n) \sim cn$ , where

$$0 < c < 1/2$$

*Cycles of all orders start to appear:*  $C_k$ , the number of cycles of order  $k$ , has a (limiting) Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda_k = (2c)^k / (2k)$ .

Furthermore, with limiting probability 1, all components are either trees or consist of exactly one cycle ( $k$  vertices and  $k$  edges), the latter having a Poisson distribution with mean

$$\lambda_k = \frac{(2ce^{-2c})^k}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} \frac{k^i}{i!},$$

where  $k$  is the order of the cycle.

The largest component is a tree; it has

$$\frac{1}{2c - 1 - \log 2c} \left( \log n - \frac{5}{2} \log \log n \right)$$

vertices (with probability tending to 1).

## A MONSTER APPEARS:

$$m(n) \sim cn, \text{ where } c \geq 1/2$$

When  $m(n) \sim n$  ( $c = 1/2$ ), the largest component has (with probability tending to 1)  $n^{2/3}$  vertices. When  $m(n) \sim cn$  with  $c > 1/2$ , a *giant component appears*: the largest component in the graph has  $G(c)n$  vertices, where  $G(c) = 1 - X(c)/2c$  and

$$X(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{i-1}}{i!} (2ce^{-2c})^i.$$

Note that  $G(1/2) = 0$  and  $G(c) \rightarrow 1$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ .

Almost all the other vertices belong to trees: the total number of vertices belonging to trees is almost surely  $n(1 - G(c)) + o(n)$ .

For  $c > 1/2$ , the expected number of components in the graph is asymptotically

$$\frac{n}{2c} \left( X(c) - \frac{1}{2} X^2(c) \right).$$

## CONNECTEDNESS:

$$m(n) \sim cn \log n, \text{ where } 0 < c \leq 1/2$$

*The graph is becoming connected: if*

$$m(n) = \frac{n}{2k} \log n + \frac{k-1}{2k} n \log \log n + \alpha n + o(n),$$

then (with probability tending to 1) there are only trees of order  $\leq k$  outside the giant component, the limiting distribution of the number of trees of order  $l$  being Poisson with mean  $e^{-2\alpha l} / (l.l!)$ . For example ( $k = 1$ ), if

$$m(n) = \frac{n}{2} \log n + \alpha n + o(n),$$

there are (almost surely) only isolated vertices outside the giant component, the number of these having a limiting Poisson distribution with mean  $e^{-2\alpha}$ . And, the chance that the graph is indeed connected tends to  $\exp(-e^{-2\alpha})$  (which itself tends to 1 as  $\alpha$  grows).

## **ASYMPTOTIC REGULARITY:**

$m(n) \sim \omega(n)n \log n$ , **where**  $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$

*The whole graph becomes regular:* with probability tending to 1, the graph becomes connected and the orders of all vertices are equal.